

HYBRID ALGORITHMS

①

We wish to simulate a system with potential $U(r_1, \dots, r_N)$

The idea is to enlarge the configuration space adding "momenta"

$$\pi(\vec{p}, \vec{r}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\beta}{2Q} \sum_i p_i^2 - \beta U(r_1, \dots, r_N)\right)$$

The parameter Q is a free parameter in the simulation unrelated to the real mass of the molecule

The basic hybrid move is the following

① Generate \bar{p}_i as independent Gaussian variables of variance Q Correction: $Q \rightarrow Q/\beta$

② Perform NMD molecular-dynamics iterations (using e.g. Verlet) with time step Δt starting from $\{r_1, \dots, r_N\}$ and $\{p_1, \dots, p_N\}$
At the end we obtain $\{r'_1, \dots, r'_N\}, \{p'_1, \dots, p'_N\}$

③ Perform a standard Metropolis check
If H_{tot} is the full Hamiltonian, compute

$$\Delta H = H_{\text{tot}}(r'_i, p'_i) - H_{\text{tot}}(r_i, p_i)$$

and perform a standard Metropolis accept/reject check

This algorithm can be easily justified

1) The enlargement of the configuration space is harmless:
averages over the coordinates are the same

2) We use MD to generate a proposal

$$(r_1 \dots r_N, p_1 \dots p_N) \longrightarrow (r'_1 \dots r'_N, p'_1 \dots p'_N)$$

Verlet is reversible and phase-space conserving

Therefore, the proposal is symmetric

We can thus use the usual Metropolis check

NOTE: in the Verlet approach H_{tot} is "almost" conserved, so that ΔH is small



These moves have a high acceptance rate.
