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#### Abstract

$\square$ Abstract Dosage compensation in mammals is achieved by the transcriptional inactivation of one X chromosome in female cells. From the time X chromosome inactivation was initially described, it was clear that several mechanisms must be precisely integrated to achieve correct regulation of this complex process. X-inactivation appears to be triggered upon differentiation, suggesting its regulation by developmental cues. Whereas any number of X chromosomes greater than one is silenced, only one X chromosome remains active. Silencing on the inactive X chromosome coincides with the acquisition of a multitude of chromatin modifications, resulting in the formation of extraordinarily stable facultative heterochromatin that is faithfully propagated through subsequent cell divisions. The integration of all these processes requires a region of the X chromosome known as the $X$-inactivation center, which contains the Xist gene and its cis-regulatory elements. Xist encodes an RNA molecule that plays critical roles in the choice of which $X$ chromosome remains active, and in the initial spread and establishment of silencing on the inactive X chromosome. We are now on the threshold of discovering the factors that regulate and interact with Xist to control X-inactivation, and closer to an understanding of the molecular mechanisms that underlie this complex process.
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## INTRODUCTION

Over 40 years ago, Mary Lyon postulated that equalization of X-linked gene dosage between male and female mammals occurs by the transcriptional silencing of one X chromosome in female cells (86). Early observations established that this process occurs at random, such that the X chromosome inherited from either parent is silenced in $50 \%$ of cells. The silencing of one X chromosome occurs early in development and roughly coincides with differentiation of pluripotent cells to restricted lineages (105). Analysis of X chromosome aneuploidies showed that one X chromosome remains active in a diploid cell, while all additional X chromosomes are silenced (53). Genetic studies indicated that the silencing of the inactive X chromosome ( Xi ) is initiated at one location on the X chromosome, termed the X-inactivation center (Xic in mouse and XIC in human). Silencing spreads in cis from the Xic/XIC $(137,155)$, indicating that this cis-regulatory element contains sequences that initiate a chromosome-wide alteration in chromatin structure. Cytological analysis revealed that, once established, the Xi is clonally propagated, such that females are functionally mosaic for X-linked traits $(86,136)$. Thus, random X -inactivation has often been described as a multistep process involving choice of the active X chromosome ( Xa ), initiation and spread of silencing on the Xi , and subsequent maintenance of the Xi's silent state. Mouse embryonic stem (ES) cells have become a valuable tool in the study of X-inactivation because they undergo random $X$-inactivation upon induction to differentiate in culture $(115,150)$. ES cells can be genetically manipulated and subsequently transmitted through the germline, allowing study of X-inactivation both in culture and in the embryo. As a result, the molecular mechanisms involved in regulation of X-inactivation are best understood in the mouse.

## Xist/XIST RNA IS CRITICAL FOR X-INACTIVATION

## The Xist/XIST Gene Is Located in the Xic /XIC

The location of the Xic/XIC was determined by analyzing X chromosome translocations that resulted in inactivation of the autosome to which the X chromosome fragment had fused (56). The minimal Xic/XIC regions are syntenic between mouse and human, although multiple inversions have resulted in shuffled gene order between the species, as depicted in Figure $1(42,56)$. The discovery in 1991 of one gene located within the Xic/XIC, the Xi-specific transcript (Xist in mouse, and


Figure 1 Mouse and human Xic/XIC and Xist/XIST. A. Schematic diagram of the area surrounding the XIST/Xist gene on the human and mouse X-chromosomes. The XIC/Xic and the surrounding regions of the human and mouse X-chromosomes are depicted; both include the XIST/Xist gene. In human, the candidate region for the XIC encompassing 700-1200 kb is located within band Xq13 on the proximal long arm of the X chromosome (56). In mouse, the Xic region identified by X chromosome rearrangements is considerably larger than that in human (56). However, the regions required to recapitulate all functions of the Xic, as defined by transgenic analysis in mouse, contain only the Xist gene and minimal surrounding sequences (57, 62, 79, 81, 82, 92). The segment of the X chromosome containing the Xic/XIC locus is syntenic but inverted between mouse and human (dashed lines) $(42,56)$. Small black boxes depict the location of genes in the Xic/XIC area, and the arrow above the gene name gives the orientation of transcription. Linkage of the two orthologous regions shows some discontinuity as local inversions of transcription units have occurred (42). The existence of a human TSX homolog is unclear $(100,108)$. $B$. Comparison of the human and mouse Xist/XIST genes. P1 indicates the major transcriptional start site for Xist/XIST. In mouse, two RNA isoforms of 17 and 17.9 kb may be produced by usage of alternative polyadenylation sites denoted by stars. In mouse, a second promoter, P2, has been described (69) but is not conserved in other Xist/XIST genes analyzed to date (108). Extensive alternative splicing of the human gene has been described, yielding XIST RNA isoforms lacking, for example, exon 4 , half of exon 6 , or exon $7(18,20)$. Only the linear splicing events are shown here. As indicated by the broken lines, the two $3^{\prime}$ introns may not always be excised from the processed RNA, yielding an RNA molecule of up to 19.3 kb in length (64). Short, colinear stretches of high homology have been identified throughout the genes including the very $3^{\prime}$ ends $(64,108)$.

XIST in human), has revolutionized our understanding of X-inactivation (13-15, 18,20 ). Xist/XIST is the only gene transcribed from the Xi and not from Xa in somatic cells. No significant open reading frame has been identified, suggesting that Xist/XIST does not encode a protein. Xist has subsequently been shown to be the pivotal player in choice of which $X$ chromosome remains active (89), and in the spread of silencing on the future Xi $(90,117)$. In simplest terms, the Xic can be thought of as the Xist gene and cis-elements that ensure its correct developmental regulation on both the Xa and Xi .

## Xist/XIST Gene Structure Is Conserved, But Sequence Varies

The genomic lengths of mouse Xist and human XIST are approximately 23 kb and 35 kb , respectively. Transcripts of both genes are spliced and polyadenylated. Mouse Xist is comprised of unusually large initial and terminal exons flanking five smaller exons ( $15,63,95$ ). Transcription initiating from the promoter designated P1 may give rise to Xist transcripts of up to 17.9 kb depending on polyadenylation site usage ( 63,95 ). The overall structure of the gene, including the transcription start site P1 and exon/intron boundaries, is similar in the human counterpart $(17,18)$. The longest human XIST transcript may be 19.3 kb in length (64). In human, many different XIST RNA isoforms are produced by extensive alternative splicing occurring within the $3^{\prime}$ half of the gene $(17,18,64)$. So far, the significance of the heterogeneity of Xist/XIST transcripts is unknown.

On the sequence level, all Xist/XIST genes sequenced to date display a relatively low degree of conservation (60, 61, 108). Mouse and human Xist/XIST show 49\% sequence identity overall, with exons being slightly more conserved than introns $(60,108)$. The homology between rodent and human Xist/XIST exons is considerably lower than the average identity for protein-coding regions (85\%) and even lower than identity between $5^{\prime}$ or $3^{\prime}$ untranslated regions of rodent and human mRNA ( $\sim 65-80 \%$ ) (108). Although several short stretches of unique sequence show relatively high homology between the species $(64,108)$, the most salient feature of the known Xist/XIST sequences is the conservation of six repeated elements, designated A through $\mathrm{F}(15,18,108)$.

## Xist/XIST RNA Is Localized to the Xi in Female Somatic Cells

From initial sequence analysis of the Xist/XIST gene, it was unknown whether its function in X-inactivation, if any, would be mediated by the DNA sequence of the gene or its untranslated RNA product. Analysis of Xist/XIST RNA distribution quickly led investigators to search for a role for the RNA. Xist/XIST transcripts are retained in the nucleus of female somatic cells $(15,18)$. When analyzed by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), Xist/XIST RNA appears highly particulate and is located to a large nuclear domain that corresponds to the space occupied by the Xi $(18,31,114)$. Xist/XIST RNA particles are released from the Xi during mitosis $(31,44)$. A three-dimensional analysis showed that XIST RNA is not just bound to the surface of the Xi , but seems to reside within the entire space delineated
by the Xi (31). Such an extensive and intimate association of an RNA with an entire chromosome was unprecedented at the time, but now appears to be shared by the roX1 and roX2 RNAs that coat the dosage-compensated X chromosome in male Drosophila (71). The close association of Xist/XIST RNA with the Xi supported a model in which the RNA acts as a functional molecule that regulates X-inactivation.

## Cis-Spread of Xist RNA Correlates with X-Inactivation During Mouse Development

In male and female mouse embryos, Xist is transcribed in the pluripotent epiblast lineage prior to its differentiation into the embryonic tissues. By FISH, Xist RNA is detected as a pinpoint signal at the site of its transcription on all $X$ chromosomes, which are active at this point $(113,143)$. Mouse ES cells, which are derived from pluripotent cells of the early embryo, show this same pinpoint Xist expression (114). Consistent with a role in X-inactivation, Xist levels increase dramatically in female but not male cells in the developmental window in which silencing of the X chromosome occurs (70). When epiblast cells differentiate into the embryonic germ layers during gastrulation, and when ES cells are differentiated in vitro, both cell types undergo X-inactivation $(115,150,153)$ and exhibit similar dynamic Xist expression patterns $(113,143)$. Xist RNA coats the presumptive Xi, while the Xa retains a low-level pinpoint of Xist RNA that is subsequently extinguished (113, 143). FISH studies on ES cells indicated that genes are silenced soon after Xist transcripts coat the Xi $(114,143)$. That initial coating of the X chromosome by Xist RNA coincides with the onset of silencing suggested a causative role for Xist in X-inactivation.

## Xist RNA Is Necessary and Sufficient for Chromosome-Wide Gene Silencing

To investigate Xist function, two groups engineered large deletions, removing the promoter and first exon of the Xist gene (117) or the majority of exon 1 through exon 5 (90). The X chromosome bearing the loss-of-function allele could never be inactivated in ES cells or in the embryonic tissues of mice heterozygous for the deletion. Instead, only the wild-type X chromosome ever became the Xi $(89,90,117)$, indicating that Xist is required in cis for silencing of the X chromosome. In addition, use of antisense oligonucleotide analogs to block Xist RNA's ability to coat the presumptive Xi during differentiation prevented the formation of a silenced X chromosome (7). These results demonstrate that expression of Xist RNA and coating of the chromosome are required to silence the Xi during X-inactivation.

An elegant series of studies from Wutz and colleagues has demonstrated unequivocally that expression of Xist RNA is sufficient to induce chromosome-wide silencing $(164,165)$. A transgene was generated containing a $15-\mathrm{kb}$ Xist cDNA, lacking approximately 3 kb at the end of the terminal exon, under control of a tetracycline-inducible promoter. Induction of Xist transcription from this transgene
in male ES cells results in cis-spread of Xist RNA into linked autosomal sequences and silencing of genes (164). This result indicates that high-level expression of Xist RNA is sufficient for chromosome coating and silencing, even in male cells. It has been suggested that Xist RNA mediates these functions as part of a ribonucleoprotein complex $(162,165)$. Although Xist RNA can induce chromosome-wide silencing in ES cells (164), activation of XIST/Xist expression and subsequent coating of the Xa in differentiated cells is insufficient to cause silencing (30, 49, 156, 164). Thus, Xist transcripts can always coat the chromosome in cis, but the RNA can only mediate silencing in a narrow window during differentiation (164). Proper spatial and developmental regulation of Xist expression is therefore critical, so that a female cell can reliably keep one X chromosome active, and inactivate the other.

## CHOOSING THE ACTIVE X CHROMOSOME

## Xa Choice Is Regulated by Several Classes of cis-Elements

In contrast to the dosage compensation mechanisms of Drosophila and Caenorhabditis elegans, where all X chromosomes in the dosage-compensated sex are treated equivalently (33), the two X chromosomes in the cells of female mammals take on radically different fates. In random X-inactivation, mammalian cells designate a single Xa , and then carry out X -inactivation on any remaining X chromosome(s). Cells must choose between two equivalent X chromosomes and randomly make a differentiating mark on only one of them, the future Xa . The simplest model to explain the marking of one X chromosome as Xa-elect hypothesizes that a blocking factor, so named since it functions to block X-inactivation, is responsible for making this mark (Figure 2) (128). Since Xa number increases with the number of autosome complements $(21,46,161)$, the amount of blocking factor activity must be determined by autosomal ploidy such that each diploid set of autosomes produces sufficient blocking factor to choose only one Xa. The region of the Xic required to mediate blocking factor activity is referred to as the counting element. Modification of the counting element by the blocking factor represents the first molecular difference between the Xa-elect and the presumptive Xi. The consequence of blocking factor's interaction with the counting element on the Xa-elect is the interference with Xist RNA's silencing function on this chromosome. The counting element can therefore be seen as the fundamental cis-element required for choosing the Xa .

When two X chromosomes bearing identical Xic regions are present in a female cell, Xa choice occurs randomly because of the equal probability that blocking factor will interact with either counting element (99). Genetic studies of the Xic region have shown the existence of additional cis-elements, termed choice elements, that can skew the Xa choice event such that one X chromosome becomes Xa more frequently than the other (103). Skewing of random X-inactivation in female cells heterozygous for a choice element is thought to be the outcome of better or worse competition for the blocking factor interaction compared to the wild-type X. A


Figure 2 Xa choice by blocking factor. (A) An autosomally encoded blocking factor $(\mathrm{BF})$ is produced in sufficient quantity to choose one Xa. (B) During the Xa choice process, both X chromosomes compete for the limiting blocking factor at the cis-acting counting element (CE). Choice of the Xa is achieved when blocking factor interacts with the counting element on one X chromosome. In this time period, Xist RNA molecules (indicated by black squiggles) are produced but restricted to the site of transcription. (C) During the enactment period, the activity of Xist RNA is repressed on the Xa-elect as a consequence of its modification by blocking factor, and Xist expression is eventually shut off, resulting in commitment to the Xa fate. On the presumptive Xi, Xist RNA, most likely in a ribonucleoprotein complex (indicated by the addition of the black oval), coats the chromosome in cis, leading to inactivation of X-linked genes, and committing the chromosome to the Xi fate. The location of the counting element and the implication of the drawing that blocking factor is bound at the counting element are speculative.
choice element must therefore affect the affinity of the cis-linked counting element for blocking factor, such that there is an increase or decrease in the likelihood that the blocking factor will interact with it. Thus, a combination of cis-elements within the Xic are employed to allow cells to differentiate an Xa from an Xi during random X-inactivation.

## The Minimal Counting Element Is Closely Linked to Xist

The definitive test of counting element function is the ability of a sequence to induce inactivation of the single X chromosome in a male cell when integrated as an autosomal transgene. The presence of the counting element in the transgene titers blocking factor away from the endogenous Xic such that the single

X becomes the presumptive Xi in a fraction of cells. Several Xist-containing transgenes have been reported to induce silencing of the endogenous X chromosome in male cells $(57,62,79,81,82,92)$. The minimal region sufficient for counting element function is defined by a $35-\mathrm{kb}$ transgene containing genomic Xist flanked by 9 kb of upstream and 3 kb of downstream sequences [(62) based on the recent $3^{\prime}$ end mapping by Hong and colleagues (63)], demonstrating that the counting element is very closely linked to Xist (Figure 3). The counting element is unlikely to reside within the transcribed region of the Xist gene sufficient for silencing as the Xist cDNA transgene is unable to induce ectopic X-inactivation in male cells (164). Like all functional Xic transgenes examined so far, the $35-\mathrm{kb}$ transgene containing counting element activity is present in multiple tandem copies (62). No singlecopy transgene has been shown to faithfully recapitulate Xic activity (57). These


Figure 3 Xist and Tsix region on the mouse X chromosome. The figure displays the $200-\mathrm{kb}$ region surrounding the mouse Xist gene in detail with the zero point of the scale set at Xist promoter P1. Transcripts derived from the sense and antisense strands are drawn above and below the line, respectively. Exons are depicted as solid blocks. Major and minor Tsix transcription start sites are each associated with CpGrich region (indicated by the triangles). The CpG-rich region at the major promoter is known as DXPas34 (37). Both Tsix transcription start sites are the $5^{\prime}$ ends of short ~200-bp exons that are spliced to an acceptor site located in the antisense region complementary to the $5^{\prime}$ end of Xist exon 1. The final exon of Tsix is from 2 to 4 kb in length, depending on polyadenylation site usage (indicated by stars) (139). The chromatin domain immediately upstream of the Xist transcriptional start site P1 is characterized by methylation of histone H 3 on lysine 9 (indicated by ovals) (59). A 35-kb transgene containing minimal Xic activity and sufficient for counting element function (62), and a $65-\mathrm{kb}$ deletion thought to remove counting element function (32) are shown. Two $80-\mathrm{kb}$ Xic transgenes, one able ( + ) and one unable ( - ) to enact the Xi fate upon differentiation (81), are shown.
results suggest either that position effects, to which single-copy transgenes are often subject, interfere with counting element function, or that the counting element is multipartite, perhaps requiring a certain threshold number of minor elements to function. Even in the cases when multicopy transgenes induce X-inactivation of the endogenous X chromosome, they do not confer truly random choice, because the endogenous X chromosome is chosen as Xa more frequently than expected $(81,82)$. In contrast, X: A translocations containing the Xic compete equally with a normal X chromosome for choice as Xa (128a). Thus, although the transgene contains the counting element, additional sequences regulating the choice process are missing from these transgenes.

Given its pivotal role in receiving the blocking factor-mediated Xa choice signal, the counting element should be absolutely required for an X chromosome to be chosen as Xa . Therefore, deletion of the counting element is predicted to result in ectopic X-inactivation of the single X chromosome in male cells. A 65-kb deletion implicates the region $3^{\prime}$ of Xist, beginning in Xist exon 7 and continuing downstream, as containing the counting element (Figure 3) (32). Nearly all cells carrying this deletion on their only X chromosome died upon differentiation due to ectopic Xist RNA coating and silencing. Although this deletion may have removed the counting element such that the single $X$ chromosome could not be chosen as the Xa , it is also possible that the counting element is unaffected and that a second critical element required for downregulation of Xist activity on the Xa, acting downstream of Xa choice, may have been perturbed. Three smaller regions contained within the $65-\mathrm{kb}$ region have been deleted independently in male ES cells without resulting in ectopic X -inactivation upon differentiation (80, 130, 139). Identification of the counting element may provide important clues about the mechanism of Xa choice and may allow isolation of the blocking factor hypothesized to interact with this sequence.

## Xist Acts in cis to Influence Xa Choice

Like a counting element deletion, deletion of a choice element causes skewed Xinactivation in female cells. In contrast to a counting element deletion, however, deletion of a choice element does not result in aberrant X-inactivation in males, since choice elements affect the relative affinity of the cis-linked counting element for blocking factor. Thus, mutations that skew X-inactivation in females but do not cause ectopic X-inactivation in males define choice elements.

Xist has been implicated as a choice element. Upon differentiation of female cells heterozygous for Xist deletions, only the wild-type X chromosome was ever inactivated $(90,117)$. There were two possible explanations for this result. Choice could have occurred normally, but the $50 \%$ of cells choosing the wild-type X chromosome as the Xa may have died owing to the inability to silence the Xist deletion-bearing presumptive Xi. Alternatively, choice may have been completely skewed such that the deletion-bearing X chromosome was always chosen as Xa and thus the wild-type X chromosome would always be inactivated. By distinguishing
between the wild type and deleted Xist alleles using FISH probes, it was determined that the wild-type X chromosome was never selected as the Xa in differentiating embryonic cells (89). The effect of this deletion implicates the transcribed region of Xist or Xist RNA itself as a choice element that reduces the affinity of the cis-linked counting element for blocking factor.

Although loss of Xist function increased the likelihood of choosing the mutant X chromosome as the Xa , a chromosome with greater Xist expression showed a decreased chance of becoming the Xa . In male ES cells, replacement of 2.5 kb of Xist upstream sequences with a selectable marker driven by a constitutive Pgk-1 promoter resulted in an increase in Xist RNA levels (109). The somatic cells of female mice heterozygous for this replacement exhibited skewed X-inactivation with the targeted X chromosome becoming the Xa in only $10-20 \%$ of cells. When the Pgk-l promoter and selectable marker were removed, random X-inactivation was restored. These results suggest that increased transcription of Xist has a negative influence on Xa choice, consistent with the hypothesis that Xist RNA decreases the affinity of a cis-linked counting element for blocking factor. Since all the factors required for Xist RNA-mediated coating and silencing are present in ES cells (164), one mechanism by which Xist RNA could negatively influence Xa choice is by causing local coating and silencing, and regulating accessibility of the closely linked counting element for the blocking factor.

## Tsix, an Antisense Xist Transcript, Positively Influences Xa Choice

In 1999, several groups simultaneously reported the identification of antisense transcription through the Xist locus in ES cells $(41,78,102)$. The transcript, named Tsix in recognition of it being antisense to Xist, was found to initiate at a major transcription start site 13 kb downstream of the Xist 3' end, and to extend across Xist into its promoter region (Figure 3) (78). Subsequently, a minor Tsix promoter has been identified, and mature Tsix transcripts of up to 4 kb have been shown to be produced by splicing (139). Like Xist, Tsix has no significant open reading frame and is not thought to encode a protein.

The expression pattern of Tsix yielded some exciting clues about its function. Tsix RNA is detected in ES cells and differentiating cells, and not in somatic cells $(41,78,102)$, suggesting that its role might be in regulating initiation of X inactivation. Whenever low-level Xist pinpoint expression is observed in male and female ES cells, antisense Tsix RNA is detected as an overlapping pinpoint signal (78). Upon differentiation, Tsix expression is extinguished from the presumptive Xi concomitant with Xist spreading to coat the chromosome (41,78). Pinpoint expression from the Xa continues for several days and persists longer than pinpoint Xist expression $(78,139)$. Tsix shows the same dynamic expression patterns during random X-inactivation in developing embryonic tissues (77,139). The correlation between Tsix expression and unstable Xist expression in cis led to speculation that Tsix might be a negative regulator of Xist.

Deletion of the major Tsix promoter in female cells dramatically reduced antisense transcription and skewed Xa choice away from the targeted Tsix allele $(80,139)$. In female cells, the X chromosome bearing the deletion was chosen as Xa in at most $4 \%$ of cells, but male cells correctly chose a deletion-bearing X chromosome as Xa , demonstrating that Tsix is not the counting element. Insertion of a transcriptional stop signal between the Tsix promoter and the $3^{\prime}$ end of Xist, with (139) or without (85) deleting the major Tsix promoter, abolished antisense transcription and caused a similar dramatic skewing phenotype in female cells. Ectopic X-inactivation occurred in a small proportion of male cells $(85,139)$. Thus, when in competition with a wild-type Xic, the chromosome carrying the Tsix loss-of-function allele became the Xi in nearly every cell $(41,80,85,139)$. These results suggest that the normal role of Tsix RNA, or the process of transcription antisense to Xist, is to promote Xa choice by increasing the affinity of the cis-linked counting element for blocking factor. Surprisingly, insertion of the constitutive EF- $1 \alpha$ promoter increased Tsix RNA levels in undifferentiated cells (146) but did not skew X-inactivation, indicating that higher than normal Tsix levels cannot further promote Xa choice.

In addition to skewing Xa choice, Tsix transcription affects the abundance of Xist RNA in cis. In cells with the strongest Tsix loss-of-function alleles, Xist RNA levels were dramatically higher than in wild-type cells $(85,139)$. In the presence of the allele that retained transcription from the Tsix minor promoter, Xist RNA levels rose threefold compared to wild type (78). These results are consistent with Tsix transcription normally acting to reduce Xist RNA steady-state levels. In contrast, increased Tsix transcription from the EF- $1 \alpha$ promoter insertion did not affect Xist RNA levels (146).

## Tsix May Act Through Xist to Influence Xa Choice

Both mutations that increase Xist RNA levels, such as the introduction of the Pgk-1 promoter (109), and mutations that decrease Xist RNA levels, such as an internal Xist deletion (89), skew Xa choice. Interestingly, only those Tsix alleles that affect Xist RNA levels in cis also skew Xa choice, suggesting that Tsix could act through Xist to regulate Xa choice. Indeed, the levels of Xist RNA in cells with different Tsix alleles correlate with the degree of skewed Xa choice observed upon differentiation (Figure 4) (80, 85, 139, 146). These results are consistent with a model in which Tsix transcription acts to reduce the amount of Xist RNA in cis, thus reducing Xist's negative influence on the likelihood of blocking factor interacting with the counting element.

How could the process of Tsix antisense transcription or the Tsix antisense transcript itself affect Xist RNA levels? If the process of antisense transcription through the Xist locus is important, then this process might prevent efficient transcription of Xist, lowering the amount of functional Xist molecules that are produced. Alternatively, if the antisense RNA is functional, then Tsix RNA may actively destabilize Xist transcripts or prevent Xist RNA from becoming fully active. One possibility


Figure 4 Tsix functions through modulation of the Xist steady-state level in Xa choice. This figure depicts the effects of Tsix transcription on the abundance of Xist RNA transcribed in cis. The gray band indicates a range of Xist RNA steady-state levels achieved by a population of cells bearing the corresponding Tsix allele. Four Tsix alleles are depicted in order of increasing $T$ six transcription. Tsix alleles are described in the text [allele a (85, 139); allele b (80); allele c, wild type; allele d (146)]. Hypothetically, in a female cell homozygous for a certain Tsix allele, each chromosome produces identical steady-state levels of Xist RNA in cis, and choice occurs randomly. In cells heterozygous for Tsix alleles, the allele with lower Xist RNA levels will more likely be chosen as Xa ; for example, in a cell heterozygous for a wild-type Tsix allele (c) and a strong Tsix loss-of-function allele (a), the wild-type Tsix allele out-competes the other allele for Xa choice, since the steady-state level of Xist is lower on the wild-type allele. This model could be tested by generating female cells heterozygous for these Tsix alleles and determining the skewing phenotype.
is that a Tsix/Xist duplex stimulates a double-stranded RNA-mediated turnover of both transcripts (121). Another possibility is that Tsix transcripts interfere with Xist RNA folding, Xist ribonucleoprotein complex formation, or cis-spread along the Xi. Interestingly, the spliced form of Tsix RNA contains only 2 kb of overlap with the mature Xist transcript (139). This overlap occurs within a domain of Xist that is critical for silencing activity (165), suggesting that Tsix modulation of Xa choice could involve regulation of Xist RNA's silencing activity by affecting its interactions with trans-acting factors. ES cells carrying a $65-\mathrm{kb}$ deletion removing both Tsix promoters, and possibly the counting element, produced higher levels of Xist transcripts that appeared to freely diffuse from the site of transcription (106). Reinsertion of the Tsix promoter restored normal transcript levels and reestablished pinpoint localization of Xist (106). These results are consistent with the possibility that Tsix can affect some aspect of Xist RNA metabolism that regulates cis-spread.

Tsix was the first example of a presumably nonfunctional RNA whose transcription is critical to negative regulation of its functional gene partner. Recently, transcription of another antisense RNA, Air, has been shown to be required for regulating imprinted expression of the coding sense gene, $\operatorname{Igf} 2 r$, with which it overlaps (145). As both Air and Tsix are antisense to the genes they regulate, this may indicate a common antisense transcription or antisense RNA-mediated mechanism for gene regulation in mammals. Antisense transcription appears to be a common phenomenon associated with imprinted genes, and has been detected at the UBE3A gene in the Prader-Willi/Angelman syndrome locus (135) and at the Gnas locus (83).

## Xce Effects May Be Correlated with Xist RNA Steady-State Levels

When some divergent mouse strains are crossed, F1 mice exhibit skewing of Xa identity away from the normal 50/50 ratio (56). This skewing is mediated by the X choosing element (Xce) locus, which lies downstream of Xist, beyond Tsix (144). X chromosomes bearing a stronger Xce allele are thought to be chosen more frequently as Xa . Interestingly, female somatic tissues from a strain with a strong Xce allele showed markedly lower levels of Xist RNA than those from a strain with a weak Xce allele (14). Indeed, even before differentiation, a female ES cell line heterozygous for Xce alleles shows lower levels of Xist RNA and somewhat higher levels of Tsix transcripts from the stronger Xce allele (146). This observation raises the possibility that, like Tsix, the Xce acts by modulating Xist RNA levels in cis, either directly or perhaps indirectly through Tsix. One study correlated Xce strength with methylation levels at DXPas34 (37), the CpG island located at the major Tsix promoter (Figure 3), though differential methylation appeared to follow X -inactivation (122).

## ENACTMENT OF THE ACTIVE AND INACTIVE X FATES

After choosing the number of active X chromosomes appropriate to autosome dosage, the next step in establishing proper dosage compensation is to carry out, or enact, the fate assigned to each X chromosome. In addition to the events occurring on the presumptive Xi to achieve inactivation, a distinctly different series of events occurs on the Xa-elect. To protect the Xa-elect from Xist-mediated silencing, this chromosome must be shielded from the factors that are required to enact the Xi fate.

## An Increase in Xist RNA Levels Correlates with Coating and Silencing

The silencing of the presumptive Xi is mediated by cis-spread of Xist RNA to coat the chromosome (164). Quantitative RT-PCR and slot blot experiments demonstrated a 10- to 20 -fold difference in the amount of Xist RNA in ES cells versus
female somatic cells ( $80,106,143,146$ ). These results suggest that an increase in steady-state levels of Xist RNA coincides with spreading of Xist transcripts in cis to coat the X chromosome and mediate gene silencing. Indeed, increased levels of Xist RNA in undifferentiated cells can result in premature enactment of the Xi fate $(85,109,139,164,165)$. The mature Xist transcript in somatic cells has a 10to 20 -fold longer half-life than in embryonic cells $(113,143)$. Thus, an attractive hypothesis is that an increase in Xist RNA half-life on the presumptive Xi is a prerequisite for its inactivation.

## Multiple Mechanisms Regulate Increases in Xist RNA Abundance

The increase in Xist RNA half-life that occurs upon differentiation could be achieved by changes in the Xist transcript itself or changes in factors that regulate Xist RNA stability. Several groups examined whether the increased amount of Xist RNA that correlates with onset of X chromosome silencing is caused by production of an alternative transcript possessing higher stability. When analyzed by RT-PCR, the major splicing pattern appeared to be the same in ES cells and female somatic cells $(113,143)$, suggesting that there are no major changes in processing occurring during Xi-enactment. With an RNA of this enormous size, however, alternative processing may be difficult to detect. An attractive model proposed that Xist transcripts might be produced from different promoters in undifferentiated and differentiated cells (69). Transcripts from the somatic promoter P1 might be inherently stable, whereas an alternative embryonic promoter P0, located 6.6 kb further upstream, was suggested to produce unstable transcripts (69). Upon differentiation, a switch from P0 to P1 promoter usage on the presumptive Xi could trigger production of stable Xist transcripts, enacting the Xi fate. However, subsequent studies have been unable to detect Xist transcription from P0, and transcripts from P1 have been shown to be unstable in ES cells, effectively ruling out the P0 hypothesis (160). The presence of Tsix antisense transcription and a highly conserved ribosomal protein pseudogene immediately downstream of the P 0 promoter was found to have complicated transcript analysis in this region $(133,160)$.

Since Tsix expression reduces Xist RNA levels in cis during Xa choice (80, 85, 139), developmentally regulated shutoff of Tsix on the presumptive Xi is an excellent candidate for the mechanism of Xist RNA stabilization. Tsix is shut off as soon as Xist transcripts can be seen coating the presumptive Xi $(41,78)$. Furthermore, when Tsix cannot be shut off on the presumptive Xi due to constitutive high-level expression from the EF- $1 \alpha$ promoter, Xist cannot coat and silence the presumptive Xi (146). Taken in combination, these results suggest that silencing of Tsix on the Xi could result in Xist RNA stabilization, facilitating its cis-spread and X-inactivation. However, lack of Tsix transcription does not result in ectopic Xinactivation in the majority of ES cells prior to differentiation (80, 85, 139). Thus, additional developmentally regulated mechanisms contribute to the increase in Xist RNA coating and silencing activity on the presumptive Xi upon differentiation.

The increase in Xist RNA half-life could be controlled by the developmentally regulated production of trans factors that stabilize the RNA. High-level Xist RNA produced from the cDNA transgene in ES cells has a half-life equivalent to that of Xist RNA in somatic cells and can coat and silence the chromosome in cis (164). These results indicate that Xist RNA can recruit the factors required for its stabilization in ES cells. However, since Xist was expressed at an extremely high level in these experiments, it is still possible that a developmentally regulated stabilizing factor could increase Xist activity upon differentiation.

Developmentally regulated activation of Xist transcription is also an attractive explanation for the increase in Xist activity seen upon differentiation of female cells, since increased Xist transcription can enact the Xi fate (109, 165). Xist transcription rates in male ES cells and female somatic cells are roughly comparable (113, 143), suggesting that any transcriptional activation at the time of Xist RNA cis-spread and coating may be minor or transient. Such a minor or transient boost might be sufficient to account for the observed increase in Xist RNA half-life on the presumptive Xi. There may be a threshold level of Xist RNA that must reached in order to form stable ribonucleoprotein complexes. In theory, Xist transcription rates could be tuned precisely relative to the Tsix-mediated destabilization rate to limit the amounts of these complexes that assemble in undifferentiated ES cells or even prevent their formation altogether. A slight increase in Xist transcription upon entry into the enactment phase could result in assembly of or increase in abundance of functional Xist ribonucleoprotein complexes. Since Xist RNA can silence very closely linked genes (42), it is reasonable to propose that it could also shut off Tsix expression. Tsix shutoff by the Xist ribonucleoprotein complex would positively reinforce Xist activity, since Tsix-mediated destabilization of Xist transcripts would be eliminated.

## Regions Flanking Xist Are Implicated in Xi-Enactment

Though many Xic transgenes are capable of pinpoint Xist expression in ES cells as analyzed by FISH, no single-copy transgene has been found to produce Xist RNA that spreads to coat the chromosome in cis and mediates silencing upon differentiation (57). Instead, a few days into differentiation, some cells exhibit a faint, dispersed Xist signal emanating from the transgene, and all cells ultimately extinguish Xist expression. This result suggests that cis-elements required to direct increases in Xist RNA levels or that facilitate Xist RNA spread are missing from these transgenes, or that single-copy transgenes cannot overcome position effects. In contrast, multicopy transgenes as small as 35 kb in size can recapitulate Xic function (62), suggesting that duplicate elements present in neighboring copies of the transgene can substitute for endogenous elements missing in a single-copy transgene, or that multicopy transgenes are not as sensitive to position effects (57).

One $80-\mathrm{kb}$ Xic transgene containing less than 1 kb of sequence upstream of the Xist promoter P1 is notable in that it does not support X chromosome coating and silencing by Xist RNA even when present in more than 10 copies (81). The
functioning of other 80-kb transgenes containing 30 kb of Xist upstream sequence argues that a critical Xi-enactment element lies in the approximately 30 kb of additional upstream sequence contained in the functional transgene (Figure 3) (81). The minimal region containing this element may be defined by a functional multicopy $35-\mathrm{kb}$ transgene containing 9 kb of upstream sequence (62). A $2.5-\mathrm{kb}$ region containing DNase I hypersensitive sites lies within this candidate region, but its deletion had no effect on X-inactivation, further delineating the location of the cis-element required for enactment of the Xi fate (109).

## Xa Enactment Employs Several Mechanisms to Repress Xist in cis

During the period in which stable Xist RNA initiates silencing on the presumptive Xi, the Xa-elect continues to express Tsix and unstable Xist $(78,113,143)$. Although Tsix destabilizes Xist in cis, it is not strictly required to block Xist RNA-mediated silencing during differentiation since the vast majority of male cells lacking Tsix function still enact the Xa fate on their single X chromosome $(80,85,139)$. One possibility is that blocking factor functions to prevent the increase in steady-state levels of Xist RNA on the Xa-elect, perhaps by interfering with the hypothesized transcriptional activation of Xist.

A role for DNA methylation in controlling Xist expression during Xa-enactment was suggested by differential methylation of the Xist promoter on the Xa and the Xi (111). The Xist promoter is methylated on the silent Xist allele on the Xa in somatic cells, and less methylated on the Xist-expressing Xi in somatic cells and on both X chromosomes in undifferentiated ES cells (6). The phenotype of the $\underline{D N A}$ $\underline{\text { methyltransferase } \underline{1} \text { (Dnmt1) mutant demonstrated that proper regulation of DNA }}$ methylation is involved in enactment of the Xa fate. The Xist promoter showed extremely low levels of DNA methylation in undifferentiated male Dnmtl mutant ES cells, and did not acquire methylation upon differentiation (6). Mutant male ES cells exhibited normal pinpoint expression of Xist RNA. Upon differentiation, these cells showed Xist RNA coating and silencing of X-linked genes on the presumed Xa-elect (114). A low but significant percentage of male and female somatic cells in Dnmtl mutant embryos also exhibited ectopic X-inactivation (114). These results indicate that DNA methylation is employed in the Xa-enactment mechanism, most likely in repressing Xist transcription from the Xa-elect.

## An Integrated View of Choice and Enactment

When the data relating to Xa choice and enactment of the Xa and Xi fates are taken together, a coordinated view of these processes emerges. Prior to differentiation, an embryonic cell is uncommitted in its choice of the Xa . Upon differentiation the choice of the Xa is made. During the choice process, the two X chromosomes in a female cell compete for the limiting quantity of blocking factor. Choice elements act in cis to influence the counting element's affinity for blocking factor interaction. Xist RNA is a choice element, negatively influencing the likelihood
of blocking factor interaction with the cis-linked counting element. This negative choice activity may be a local manifestation of the Xist ribonucleoprotein complex's silencing activity, affecting the chromatin structure or accessibility of the counting element. Tsix transcription, on the other hand, promotes Xa choice, most likely by destabilizing Xist RNA and lowering the abundance of functional Xist complexes acting on the counting element in cis. The blocking factor interaction with the counting element of the Xa -elect represents the first differentiation between the two X chromosomes, allowing the cell to distinguish the Xa-elect from the presumptive Xi.

The Xa and Xi fates are carried out in the enactment phase. To enact the fate of the presumptive Xi, Xist activity increases in cis. A trans-acting factor modulating Xist transcription or stability could be regulated by entry into the enactment phase. Even a small boost in Xist steady-state levels may lead to an increase in the abundance of functional Xist complexes. An increase in Xist activity could lead to local silencing of Tsix, thus shutting off Tsix-mediated destabilization of Xist and further increasing Xist activity. Xist would then be free to spread along the presumptive Xi, silencing genes. Choice as the Xa protects the Xa-elect from this developmentally regulated boost in Xist activity; perhaps modification of the counting element by blocking factor does so directly. Tsix continues to be expressed on the Xa, contributing to low Xist steady-state levels, and DNA methylation may be responsible for turning off Xist expression. When the cell exits the enactment window, the active and silent states of the Xa and Xi become irrevocable.

## DESIGNATION OF THE ACTIVE AND INACTIVE X CHROMOSOMES IN IMPRINTED X-INACTIVATION

In contrast to random X -inactivation in embryonic tissues, X -inactivation occurs in an imprinted manner in the extraembryonic tissues that support the developing mouse embryo (152). In imprinted X -inactivation, the maternally inherited X chromosome ( Xm ) always remains active and the paternal X chromosome ( Xp ) is silenced. Since normal male embryos lack Xp and normal females have a single Xp , this imprinting system results in appropriate dosage compensation. Xist and Tsix expression patterns during early embryogenesis suggest that differential regulation of both transcripts may be critical to setting the imprint or carrying out the information contained in it. The dynamics of Xist and Tsix expression are depicted in Figure 5. Xist expression begins from the Xp at the onset of zygotic transcription (166) and transcript levels rise quickly during the early cleavage stages (55). By FISH, Xist RNA appears to partially coat the Xp $(41,143)$, which exhibits partial silencing during the cleavage stages $(76,154)$, but has not yet committed to the Xi fate. On the Xm, a weak pinpoint of Xist RNA can be detected (41). While Tsix is not expressed from the Xp , it is strongly expressed as a pinpoint signal from the Xm $(41,77)$. By the late-blastocyst stage of development, when the pluripotent epiblast has reversed Xist RNA coating, the extraembryonic lineages have differentiated


Figure 5 Xist and Tsix expression during early female mouse development. At the single-cell stage of female mouse embryogenesis (a), Xist expression is undetectable by RT-PCR or FISH. Xist expression commences at the 2-cell stage at the onset of zygotic transcription (166). By FISH, cleavage stage embryos (b) exhibit differential biallelic Xist expression starting at the 2-cell stage, with Xist RNA appearing to coat the Xp at least partially $(41,91,107,143)$, and a weak Xist pinpoint signal at the Xm (41). The late blastocyst (c) consists of the differentiated extraembryonic lineages, the trophectoderm $(d)$ and primary endoderm $(e)$, and the pluripotent embryonic lineage precursor, the epiblast $(g)$. The trophectoderm and primary endoderm have undergone imprinted X-inactivation by the mid and late blastocyst stages, respectively (151). The Xp (which is now the Xi) has become fully coated by Xist RNA $(113,143)$. After the early embryo implants into the uterus, the extraembryonic tissues derived from the trophectoderm and primary endoderm $(f)$ shut off low-level Xist expression on the Xm and continue to exhibit Xist RNA coating of the Xp throughout subsequent cell divisions. At the late blastocyst stage, the cells of the epiblast have reversed the partial Xist RNA coating of the Xp and now exhibit low-level Xist RNA pinpoint signals from both the Xm and the $\mathrm{Xp}(113,143)$. Between implantation and completion of gastrulation, epiblast cells differentiate into the embryonic germ layers and undergo random X-inactivation (105, 153, 154). During this time period, Xist transcription once again displays differential biallelic expression in the embryonic derivatives $(h)(113,143)$. After completion of gastrulation, embryonic cells cease to express the Xist pinpoint signal from the $\mathrm{Xa}(113,143)$. Tsix antisense RNA is coexpressed whenever low-level pinpoint Xist expression is found $(41,78)$, and persists from the Xa for a limited period of time after Xist RNA shutoff (139).
and the Xp has become completely coated and silenced by Xist RNA $(113,143)$. The Xp in the extraembryonic lineages has now become a committed Xi (151). Thus, high-level Xist expression from the Xp and Tsix transcription from the Xm correlate with their fates as the Xi and Xa, respectively. Xist and Tsix expression programmed in the gametes may allow imprinted tissues to bypass the Xa choice mechanism of random X-inactivation.

## Xist and Tsix Have Opposite, Parent-of-Origin-Specific Effects

Xist deletions are lethal when inherited from the father, but not from the mother. The lethality arising from paternal inheritance of an Xist deletion is due to the total lack of X-inactivation in the extraembryonic tissues, indicating that Xist is required for imprinted silencing of the Xp (90). As the Xm , which bears a functional Xist allele, does not upregulate Xist to compensate for the lack of paternal X-inactivation, it appears that the imprint cannot be overridden. Tsix promoter deletions have the opposite parent-of-origin-specific effects. Tsix deletions are lethal only when inherited from the mother, owing to ectopic Xist expression and X-inactivation of the Xm in most extraembryonic cells $(77,139)$. Therefore, the Tsix promoter region, Tsix antisense transcription through the Xist locus, or the Tsix transcript itself is implicated in repression of Xist activity on the Xm during imprinted Xinactivation. As expected for genes with opposite effects on the same process, a paternally inherited Xist deletion can be rescued by maternal inheritance of a Tsix loss-of-function allele (139). The parent-of-origin-specific effects of Xist and Tsix deletions are limited to the extraembryonic tissues, as X-inactivation occurs normally in the embryonic tissues due to the random choice mechanism. Lethality is due to extraembryonic defects.

A small number of pups inheriting a maternal Tsix deletion survive to term, indicating that proper dosage compensation can be achieved in some mutant extraembryonic cells $(77,139)$. Imprinted X-inactivation is not absolute in extraembryonic tissues, suggesting that the random choice mechanism can function to some extent to establish proper dosage compensation in extraembryonic cells (65). The imprint may normally direct binding of the blocking factor to the Xm in a constitutive manner during imprinted X -inactivation (103). One explanation for occasional maternal X-inactivation in the extraembryonic tissues is that the imprint may be lost at low frequency, allowing the Xm and Xp to compete equally for blocking factor and resulting in random X -inactivation in a subset of cells (65). The ultimate test of the hypothesis that blocking factor is involved in imprinted X-inactivation will require deleting the counting element or knocking out blocking factor activity and testing the effects on imprinted X -inactivation in the mouse.

## The Xm Epigenotype Is More Rigid than that of the Xp

Embryos inheriting exclusively paternal X chromosomes or extra maternal X chromosomes have defects in dosage compensation and aberrantly express other
imprinted genes, resulting in embryonic death midgestation. Embryos with exclusively paternal X chromosomes exhibit Xist RNA coating of all X chromosomes during the cleavage stages. However, XpXp androgenetic embryos $(75,112)$ and XpO embryos (91) are progressively able to repress Xist expression from the Xp over time and ultimately achieve nearly correct dosage compensation. Correction of dosage compensation in XmXm parthenogenotes by upregulation of Xist on at least one X chromosome was highly variable between embryos $(75,91,107)$. Whereas XpO embryos had shut off Xist expression from the single Xp in nearly $50 \%$ of cells by the blastocyst stage, XmXmY embryos activated Xist expression from one Xm in at most $10 \%$ of cells at this stage (91). The greater lability of the Xp epigenotype compared with the Xm may explain why some embryos survive maternal inheritance of Tsix deletions $(77,139)$, while paternal inheritance of an Xist deletion is always lethal (90). Xist may be downregulated on the Xp in the former more easily than it may be upregulated from the Xm in the latter. This differential ability to reprogram Xist expression patterns on the Xp and the Xm suggests that the imprint controlling X -inactivation is located on the Xm , designating the Xa fate. Thus, as in the choice phase of random X-inactivation, the imprinting mechanism may specifically designate the Xa rather than the Xi . Nuclear transplantation experiments with cytologically marked X chromosomes suggest that the maternal X chromosome's resistance to inactivation is acquired during oocyte growth between prophase of meiosis I and meiosis II, a time when other imprints are placed on the maternal genome (149).

## The Xa and Xi Epigenotypes Are Functionally Equivalent to the Xm and Xp

Eggan and colleagues examined X-inactivation patterns in mice cloned by nuclear transfer of female somatic nuclei. Resulting mouse clones exhibited complete nonrandom X -inactivation of the former Xi in the imprinted extraembryonic tissues, whereas X -inactivation was random in embryonic lineages (45). This result indicates that the epigenetic states of the Xist alleles on the Xa and Xi in somatic cells are functionally equivalent to the epigenetic states of the Xm and the Xp , respectively. It would be an elegant solution to the imprinting problem if the imprints controlling Xist expression in the early embryo were the same as the somatic epigenotypes controlling Xist expression from the Xi and the Xa.

## Changes in Xist Expression During Gametogenesis

 Correlate with Parental ImprintsLike female somatic cells, the primordial germ cells (PGCs) that will give rise to the germline undergo X -inactivation during gastrulation. However, prior to entry into meiosis midgestation, female PGCs reactivate their Xi (154). This reactivation is accompanied by a loss of Xist expression without an apparent restoration of

Tsix expression (107). Xist cannot be detected in mature oocytes by RT-PCR, suggesting that transcripts are absent or extremely rare $(3,70)$. This repression of Xist expression during female gametogenesis correlates with extremely low Xist expression from the Xm upon fertilization $(41,77)$.

Male PGCs do not contain an Xi or express Xist RNA. During the process of spermatogenesis, however, Xist becomes expressed at low levels and is present in mature spermatids ( $93,111,132,140$ ). By in situ RT-PCR, Xist RNA appears to be associated with the heterochromatic sex chromatin body, which consists of the X and Y chromosomes that have been sequestered away from the autosomes during meiosis I of spermatogenesis (4). Even though it is expressed in the male germline, Xist is dispensable for spermatogenesis (90), suggesting that Xist expression in the male germline may be the result of epigenetic modifications that facilitate Xist expression from the Xp early in development.

## DNA Methylation Is a Candidate for Establishing or Maintaining the Imprint

Many imprints in mammals require DNA methylation, acquired in the male or female germline, for establishment or maintenance (66). Several groups have searched for differential methylation of the Xist gene. In the male germline, CpG dinucleotides at the Xist promoter are methylated prior to meiosis, but this methylation is erased during spermatogenesis and is absent in mature spermatids $(2,167)$ and on the Xp in preimplantation embryos $(2,94,167)$. In the female germline, methyl-sensitive restriction analysis suggested that CpGs at the Xist promoter and in the first exon become methylated during oogenesis and retain this methylation upon fertilization (2, 167). Using bisulfite sequencing to detect CpG methylation, another group failed to confirm methylation of Xist on the Xm in oocytes and early embryos (94). It is therefore unclear whether the Xist gene on the Xm is methylated in the early embryo, which would correlate with lack of Xist transcription from the Xm. Both male-specific demethylation and female-specific methylation of Xist would require reprogramming in germ cells, and suggest that both parental genomes have the potential to acquire epigenetic marks.

Another attractive target for the X -inactivation imprint is the Tsix gene. A maternal imprint would direct high maternal Tsix expression, whereas a paternal imprint would direct low or absent expression. It has been suggested that the CpG island at the Tsix promoter, DXPas34, is an imprinting center involved in keeping the Xist allele on the Xm silent during early embryogenesis (77). Although DXPas 34 is differentially methylated on the Xa and Xi (37), bisulfite sequencing in oocytes and spermatocytes failed to find any differences in the methylation patterns between the two (122). Binding sites for CCCTC binding factor (CTCF) have been identified in DXPas34, the CpG island associated with the major Tsix promoter, and CTCF binds to this sequence in vitro (27). Differential methylation of CTCF binding sites has been implicating in establishing the imprint required for expression of H19/Igf2 gene pair ( $8,52,148$ ). While CpG methylation has
relatively little effect on CTCF binding to DXPas34 in vitro, non-CpG methylation abolishes CTCF binding in this assay. If CTCF binding occurs in vivo during Xa choice, then searching for an imprint in the form of differential non-CpG methylation at DXPas34 would be a logical next step.

## ACTIVE X CHROMOSOME CHOICE IN HUMANS

## Humans and Mice Differ in Developmental Regulation of XIST/Xist

In comparison to mouse, little is known about the developmental regulation of Xinactivation in humans. Human XIST RNA can be detected by RT-PCR in oocytes and in both male and female preimplantation embryos, increasing in abundance until the blastocyst stage $(40,129)$. This early XIST expression is not exclusively paternal in humans, consistent with a lack of strict paternal X-inactivation in human extraembryonic tissues $(54,134)$. In addition, both maternally and paternally derived supernumerary $X$ chromosomes are tolerated in humans ( 88,123 ), in contrast to the mouse. These data suggest that X -inactivation does not occur in an imprinted fashion in humans. Thus, the skewing toward paternal X-inactivation detected in some extraembryonic tissue samples (48) could either be a primary effect due to nonrandom Xa choice or a secondary effect due to differential cell survival (99). Given that secondary effects have been demonstrated to be significant in humans (141), this issue can only be addressed by analyzing tissues immediately after X-inactivation.

## The Xa Choice Machinery Exhibits Limited Species Conservation

The molecular machinery involved in random choice of a single Xa must be largely conserved between mouse and human. Male mouse ES cells containing 480-kb human XIC transgenes exhibited rare cases of Xist expression and coating of the single mouse $X$ chromosome upon differentiation $(58,101)$, suggesting that the human and mouse counting elements can both compete for mouse blocking factor. Surprisingly, human XIST is expressed at high levels prior to differentiation of the transgenic ES cells, suggesting that XIST is not unstable in undifferentiated mouse cells. Human TSIX transcription has been identified in transgenic mouse ES cells as well as in human embryocarcinoma cells and cell lines derived from human PGCs (100). However, the mouse and human Tsix/TSIX promoter regions are poorly conserved $(78,100)$, and the TSIX transcript does not appear to traverse the entire XIST gene (100). These differences between human TSIX and its mouse counterpart could render TSIX RNA unable to destabilize XIST. RNA FISH for XIST and TSIX in human preimplantation embryos and ES cells would allow determination of the distribution of these two transcripts in early development and provide insights into their role in Xa choice in humans.

## SILENCING AND ALTERATIONS IN CHROMATIN STRUCTURE

Silencing by Xist Can Be Divided Into Three Phases

The enactment of the Xi fate requires an increase in the steady-state level of Xist RNA on the presumptive Xi. At this time, Xist transcripts spread to coat the chromosome in cis, and induce gene silencing within 24 hours (164), leading to the formation of extraordinarily stable heterochromatin. Studies employing the inducible Xist cDNA in male ES cells suggest that the silencing process can be divided into three steps: initiation, establishment, and maintenance (Figure 6) (164). In the initiation phase, high-level Xist expression can cause de novo silencing. Initiation of gene silencing can occur as long as the cells remain undifferentiated and up to 1.5 days after induction of differentiation. In this phase, silencing is reversible, such that cis-linked genes can be reactivated if Xist expression is extinguished. Extending this result to female development, endogenous Xist expression is normally upregulated within one to two days of differentiation in female ES cells (143). The period in which enactment of the Xi fate occurs corresponds to the initiation phase of silencing. A differentiation milestone triggers the establishment phase, which is characterized by the requirement for continued coating by


Figure 6 The three steps of the silencing process. The Xist RNA-mediated silencing process can be divided into initiation, establishment, and maintenance phases (164). Only during the initiation phase (up to the first 1.5 days of ES cell differentiation), can induction of Xist RNA initiate gene silencing. Both the initiation phase and the subsequent establishment phase are characterized by their dependence on coating by Xist RNA and the reversibility of the silent state such that continued Xist expression is required to prevent loss of transcriptional repression. The maintenance phase is distinguished by the irreversible and Xist RNA-independent propagation of silencing, but shares the resistance to Xist RNA-mediated initiation of silencing with the establishment phase. The brief 24-hour establishment phase can be envisioned as a window in which the silenced state is locked in. This locking in is tightly linked to ES cell differentiation since it does not occur when silencing by Xist RNA takes place in undifferentiated cells. The fact that silencing by Xist RNA can be initiated in undifferentiated ES cells is indicated by the extension of the initiation phase to a time before differentiation ( -1 days) with dashed lines.

Xist RNA for transcriptional repression and the resistance to de novo silencing by Xist RNA. After a defined period of time, which is approximately 24 hours in length, silencing becomes irreversible and Xist-independent, demonstrating entry into the maintenance phase. The establishment step may therefore be seen as the process of locking in the silent state, which is then stably maintained.

## Silencing Is Accompanied by a Cascade of Chromatin Modifications

The Xi heterochromatin is characterized by a multitude of chromatin modifications that distinguish it from the Xa. The Xi replicates late in S-phase (151), is methylated at promoter CpG islands (163), and hypoacetylated at histones H4, H2A, and H3 $(10,68)$. In addition, increased histone H3 lysine-9 (H3 Lys-9) methylation and decreased histone H3 lysine-4 (H3 Lys-4) methylation were recently identified as components of the Xi chromatin (12,59, 97, 119). Each of the above modifications is generally associated with regions of heterochromatin (131), suggesting that X -inactivation employs general mechanisms that are used to regulate gene expression in other contexts. The remodeled Xi chromatin is further characterized by the accumulation of variant histone H 2 A isoforms termed macroH2A (34). In addition, in human cells, the exclusion of the Barr Body-deficient histone H 2 A variant (H2ABBD) from the Xi has been reported (26). The function of these H 2 A variants is unclear.

The appearance of chromatin modifications on the Xi has been catalogued relative to the induction of differentiation, Xist RNA coating and gene silencing in female ES cells (Figure 7). Xist RNA spread occurs between the first and second days of differentiation and is followed closely by methylation of histone H3 Lys-9,


Figure 7 Chromatin modifications on the Xi occur sequentially during the differentiation of female ES cells. The scheme shows the timing of appearance of chromatin modifications that characterize the heterochromatin on the Xi. Solid lines indicate cytological observations (by FISH or immunofluorescence) made when cells were differentiated in embryoid body cultures; the dotted parts of the bars depict results obtained from retinoic acid-induced ES cell differentiation, which appear to place the appearance of modifications at earlier times ( $59,73,96,127$ ). Once set up, all features of the Xi are stably maintained throughout all somatic cell divisions, as indicated by the open ends of the bars. Although methylation of promoter CpG islands has been observed on the Xi in somatic cells, DNA methylation is not depicted in this figure due to insufficient information on the timing of acquisition of this modification during differentiation.
such that the region of H3 Lys-9 methylation always appears either smaller than or equal in size to the Xist RNA domain $(59,97)$. Concomitant with the appearance of H3 Lys-9 methylation, hypoacetylation of H3 Lys-9 and hypomethylation of H3 Lys-4 on the Xi were observed, indicating that these modifications may be coordinated. These data are consistent with the fact that deacetylation of lysine 9 is a prerequisite for methylation of this residue. The spread of Xist RNA and H3 Lys-9 methylation precedes gene silencing and late replication timing, both of which begin on day 2 and are essentially complete around day 4 and day 6 , respectively (73). The appearance of H4 hypoacetylation is currently placed either at day 2 or day 4 , depending on the differentiation method used; therefore, this modification may occur coincident with or subsequent to gene silencing $(59,73)$. The accumulation of macroH2A has been detected around day 6 or $7(96,127)$, although one study placed the appearance earlier (126). The timing of the first appearance of promoter CpG methylation has not been analyzed, but has been detected at day 21 post-differentiation $(73,84)$. When these data are taken together, a sequential progression of chromatin changes appears to underlie the X -inactivation process in female ES cells.

If any one of these modifications is crucial for setting up the silent state of the Xi, then it should be present in the initiation phase of silencing. Using the Xist cDNA transgene, the initiation stage can be separated from the differentiation-dependent establishment phase by expressing the Xist RNA in undifferentiated ES cells (Figure 6) (164). Xist cDNA-induced gene silencing was achieved in undifferentiated ES cells without a shift in the affected chromosomes' replication timing or H 4 acetylation status. The relatively early appearance of both modifications during the differentiation of female ES cells suggests, however, that they play a role in the establishment of silencing $(73,164)$. The co-localization of macroH2A with the Xi takes place only after the transition to the irreversible maintenance phase $(127,164)$, suggesting that macroH2A does not play a role in establishment. Although it has yet to be shown that modifications on histone H 3 occur during the Xist RNA-induced initiation phase in undifferentiated ES cells, H3 Lys-9 methylation is the only alteration that appears nearly coincident with Xist RNA spread in differentiating female ES cells, implying that modifications of histone H3 are involved in the initiation of silencing $(59,97)$. Studies to determine whether H3 Lys- 9 methylation is necessary for the initation of silencing on the Xi would be of great interest.

## A Histone H3 Methylation Hotspot May Be Required for the Initiation of Silencing

A hotspot of H3 Lys-9 methylation, spanning a region of roughly $100 \mathrm{~kb} 5^{\prime}$ of the Xist P1 promoter, was identified by both immunostaining and chromatin immunoprecipitation in undifferentiated female and male ES cells (Figure 3) (59). As ES cells are induced to differentiate, this hotspot gives way to chromosome-wide H3 Lys- 9 methylation on the future Xi , while it is retained for up to 5 days on the

Xa-elect. Heard and colleagues proposed that this hotspot of H3 Lys-9 methylation may serve as a nucleation site for the spread of Xist RNA along the X chromosome (59). The H3 Lys-9 hotspot is also present on single- and multicopy 480-kb transgenes in undifferentiated male ES cells (59). Upon differentiation, the hotspot disappears from single-copy transgenes more rapidly than associated Xist/Tsix expression, suggesting that the lack of Xist RNA spread from single-copy transgenes may be due to lack of the H3 Lys-9 methylation nucleation center. The absence of a crucial cis-element, such as a boundary element that blocks spread of chromatin modifications from sequences flanking the site of transgene insertion, may subject single-copy transgenes to position effects that prevent proper maintenance of the H3 Lys-9 methylation hotspot. Presumably, multicopy transgenes can be shielded from such position effects. Whether or not pinpoint Xist expression plays a role in the formation of this hotspot has yet to be tested.

As H3 Lys-9 methylation is a self-propagating modification in other systems $(5,74)$, it is possible that spread of H3 Lys-9 methylation on the Xi is also selfpropagating and does not require Xist RNA. Alternatively, Xist RNA could simultaneously coat the Xi and propagate H3 Lys-9 methylation along the chromosome by binding nucleosomes that contain H3 Lys-9 methylation, and then inducing this modification on adjacent nucleosomes in a self-reinforcing mechanism. However, H3 Lys-9 methylation per se is not sufficient to mediate Xist RNA spread, as Xist RNA is absent from regions of constitutive heterochromatin such as centromeres (31, 44), which are characterized by H3 Lys-9 methylation (120). Lys-9 of H3 in constitutive heterochromatin of centromeres is methylated by the histone methyltransferases Suv39h1 and Suv39h2 (120), neither of which is required for H3 methylation on the Xi (119). In addition, the heterochromatin protein HP1, which interacts with H3 methylated on Lys-9 (74), is enriched on centromeric heterochromatin but not on the Xi (119). Thus, facultative heterochromatin of the Xi may employ different histone methyltransferases and methyl-histone binding proteins than constitutive heterochromatin.

## MacroH2A Is Recruited to the Xi by Xist

The enrichment of macroH2A histone variants on the Xi in female somatic cells revealed the first evidence of differential protein composition between the Xa and $\mathrm{Xi}(34,36)$. MacroH2A1 contains an amino-terminal domain with $64 \%$ homology to core histone H2A, which mediates macroH2A's incorporation into nucleosomes as well as its enrichment on the Xi , and a large carboxy-terminal domain of unknown function $(24,116,118)$. Two subtypes of macroH2A1 (macroH2A1.1 and 1.2 ), differing in only a putative leucine zipper within the non-histone domain, are generated by differential splicing of the same gene $(116,125)$. The third subtype, macroH2A2, is produced from a separate gene and has a histone domain highly similar to that of macroH2A1, but differs slightly throughout the entire non-histone domain $(25,35)$. Most studies have concentrated on the macroH2A1 subtypes. MacroH2A1 may not only be involved in X-inactivation since it is expressed at
similar levels in male and female ES and somatic cells, is found throughout the entire nucleus, and is located on centrosomes ( $34,96,98,125,126$ ). When Xist is conditionally deleted from the Xi in female somatic cells (39), or when Xist RNA is prevented from coating the Xi (7), macroH2A1 disappears from the Xi . These results suggest that this histone variant is recruited to the Xi in an Xist RNAdependent fashion. A tight association between Xist RNA and the Xi chromatin is suggested by the fact that Xist RNA co-immunoprecipitates with macroH2A1 and also with core histones (47).

## Multiple Mechanisms Contribute to the Maintenance of the Xi

The continued expression and association of Xist RNA with the Xi in somatic cells hinted that this RNA plays a role in the maintenance of the Xi (31). However, X-inactivation appears to be stable without continued Xist/XIST expression in differentiated cells, suggesting that the RNA is not strictly required to maintain the silent state $(19,38,39,124,164)$. To investigate a possible role for Xist RNA in maintenance, Csankovszki and colleagues generated somatic cells containing an Xi that allowed for the conditional loss of the Xist gene (38). The stability of the Xi was analyzed by monitoring the reactivation of two cis-linked genes, Hprt and a GFP transgene. The loss of Xist expression on the Xi allowed reactivation of the formerly silent GFP and Hprt genes in a significant number of cells. Cells generally reactivated only one of the two genes, suggesting that silencing is maintained on a gene-by-gene basis. The approximately 50 -fold greater effect of the conditional Xist deletion on the endogenous Hprt gene compared to the GFP transgene suggested that bona fide X -linked genes are more dependent on Xist-mediated maintenance of silencing. These data are consistent with the idea that Xist RNA coating contributes to the stability of the Xi. When the loss of Xist was coupled with treatment by DNA demethylating agents, DNA methyltransferase mutants, and/or deacetylase inhibitors, synergistic reactivation of the GFP and Hprt genes was observed (38), indicating that multiple redundant mechanisms maintain the silent state of the Xi.

DNA methylation appears to be extremely important for the stability and maintenance of gene silencing on the X chromosome. The use of DNA demethylating agents on human Xi-containing somatic cell hybrids resulted in reactivation of several genes in vitro (104). When similar studies were performed on mouse somatic cell lines, there was a 10 - to 20 -fold increase in reactivation of X-linked genes (38). A greater effect was seen upon loss of the Dnmtl gene from a conditional allele, which resulted in genome-wide hypomethylation. A 1500 -fold increase in reactivation of the X-linked GFP transgene occurred upon loss of Dnmtl activity (38). Similarly, mouse embryos with a mutation in Dnmtl cannot maintain the Xi in embryonic tissues, leading to the reactivation of a formerly silenced X-linked LacZ transgene in many cells (138).

Inactivation of autosomal genes in cells with X :autosome translocations can be stably maintained without the spread of late replication from the Xi into the translocated autosomal region (142). Therefore, late replication timing is not
absolutely necessary for continued silencing, most likely due to the redundancy in Xi maintenance mechanisms. The importance of late replication timing is only apparent in the absence of CpG island DNA methylation. Humans with ICF syndrome (Immunodeficiency, Centromeric instability, and Facial anomalies syndrome), which is caused by mutations in the de novo DNA methyltransferase $D N M T 3 b$, can properly establish an Xi even with global hypomethylation at promoter regions of X-linked genes $(50,51)$. Reactivation of formerly silenced genes in these patients' cells was observed only when regions on the Xi had shifted to an earlier replication timing.

Although delayed appearance of H 4 hypoacetylation during differentiation suggests a role in establishment and maintenance of X-inactivation, the use of histone deacetylase inhibitors on female cells did not result in reactivation of the Xi $(38,73)$. However, when deacetylase inhibitors were combined with treatments that reduce DNA methylation, a twofold increase in reactivation was seen over demethylation treatment alone, indicating that histone hypoacetylation plays some role in the stable maintenance of silencing (38). Considering the importance of proper dosage compensation during development, it is not surprising that multiple mechanisms ensure the stability of the Xi.

## Genes that Escape X-Inactivation Lack Chromatin Modifications Characteristic of the Xi

A subset of genes escapes X-inactivation in humans and mice (43). The mechanisms by which X-linked genes can escape Xist RNA-mediated silencing remain mysterious. FISH provides insufficient resolution to determine whether escapees are coated by Xist RNA. However, it appears that Xist/XIST RNA does not uniformly coat the X chromosome in mouse and human cells as the RNA is absent from constitutive heterochromatin $(31,44)$. Chromatin isolated using antibodies directed against histone H3 methylated on Lys-9 contained promoter regions of silenced genes on the human Xi , but not of two X -linked genes escaping silencing (12). Instead, the promoter regions of these escapees could be precipitated using antibodies directed against H3 methylated on Lys-4 (12), consistent with the association of H3 Lys-4 methylation with active regions of transcription and H3 Lys-9 methylation with inactive regions (67). Extending the correlation between escaping genes and modifications associated with actively transcribing regions, the pseudoautosomal region (PAR), which contains a number of genes that escape X-inactivation (43), is methylated on H3 Lys-4 in human cells (12), and acetylated on H 4 in both mouse and human cells (68). The human X chromosome region Xp11.2-3, known to harbor escaping genes, and the homologous region A2 in mouse show the same pattern of histone methylation and acetylation as the PAR $(11,68)$. Furthermore, escapees do not show late replication timing or methylation of CpG islands in their promoter regions $(22,157)$. Thus, active genes on the Xi are not subject to the same chromatin modifications as silent genes, indicating that there may be cis-elements that determine whether Xist RNA can mediate silencing on particular regions of the X chromosome.

The genes that escape silencing in humans tend to be found in clusters, suggesting that their expression may be regulated at the level of chromatin domains (23). DNA boundary elements have been demonstrated to separate regions of differentially regulated chromatin $(9,29,72,110)$. Boundary elements could play a similar role in insulating genes that escape X -inactivation from the surrounding heterochromatic environment of the Xi. Another hypothesis is that escaping genes are deficient in sequences promoting Xist-mediated silencing. It has recently been suggested that long interspersed nuclear elements (LINEs) enhance Xist RNA spread, since these repetitive elements are enriched on the X chromosome relative to autosomes (87). However, Xist cDNA transgenes can coat and silence LINEpoor chromosomes $(101,164)$, indicating that high LINE density is not absolutely required for chromosome coating and silencing.

## Xi Chromatin Modifications Play Different Roles in the Extraembryonic Tissues

The appearance as well as the importance of Xi chromatin modifications differ in mouse extraembryonic and embryonic tissues, which undergo imprinted and random X -inactivation, respectively. Whereas macroH2A association with the Xi appears to be a late event in random X -inactivation, this histone variant becomes enriched on one X chromosome between the 12-cell stage and blastocyst formation (36). In blastocysts, macroH2A accumulation is mostly restricted to the differentiated extraembryonic cells, suggesting that macroH2A might be involved in the silencing of the Xp in this lineage (36). Aside from Xist RNA spread, macroH2A recruitment is the earliest known chromatin modification in extraembryonic tissues. The presence of H3 Lys-9 hypermethylation and hypoacetylation, H3 Lys-4 hypomethylation, and H4 hypoacetylation, chromatin modifications that are associated with the Xi in embryonic lineages, have yet to be examined in preimplantation embryos and the extraembryonic tissues.

From the blastocyst stage until gastrulation, the Xi in the extraembryonic lineages replicates very early in S-phase, before autosomes and the Xa (151). The Xi then shifts to the more familiar late replication timing within a single cell cycle $(147,153)$. It is unclear why the Xi in the extraembryonic tissues initially replicates very early and subsequently shifts to late replication, although the shift does follow the first appearance of a late replicating Xi in the embryo proper (153).

Whereas DNA methylation is critical for X-inactivation in embryonic tissues, it does not appear to play a major role in extraembryonic tissues. There is less CpG methylation in extraembryonic cells than in those of the embryo proper (28), with genes such as Hprt seemingly devoid of CpG methylation compared to their counterparts in the embryonic tissue (84). Consistent with a minor role for DNA methylation in extraembryonic tissues, Xi maintenance in these lineages is unaffected by mutations in Dnmtl (138).

Since there is little DNA methylation in extraembryonic tissues, one might expect the maintenance of the extraembryonic Xi to rely more heavily on other
mechanisms. Wang and colleagues showed that mutations in the Polycomb group protein extraembryonic deficient (eed) cause defects in the development of certain extraembryonic tissues, with females showing a more severe phenotype (159). Further analysis using a paternally inherited X-linked GFP transgene showed that eed mutants are deficient in maintaining the Xi in the extraembryonic, but not the embryonic tissues (159). Following imprinted X -inactivation, extraembryonic cells were negative for GFP fluorescence. Subsequently, GFP expression reappeared, supporting the idea that these tissues initially underwent X-inactivation, but then failed to maintain it. Since the eed protein has been shown to interact with histone deacetylases, and their activity is crucial to eed-mediated repression (158), maintenance of the Xi could be more reliant on histone hypoacetylation in extraembryonic than in embryonic lineages. It remains to be determined whether the extraembryonic Xi is hypoacetylated, and if so, whether deacetylation occurs in an eed-dependent manner. Even though X-inactivation in the embryo proper was shown to be stable, it would be interesting to challenge embryonic cells from eed mutants by compromising an Xi maintenance mechanism to see if eed may also play a role in maintaining the Xi in embryonic tissues.

## Xist RNA CONSISTS OF FUNCTIONAL MODULES

## Xist/XIST RNA Displays a Conserved Repeat Structure

Mouse Xist and human XIST RNA contain 6 direct repeats, designated $A$ through $F$, whose order and sequence are highly conserved (Figure 8). The delineation of these repeats was reinforced and extended by comparison to Xist sequences of four common vole species (108). The Xist/XIST RNA repeats $A, B$, and $F$ are highly conserved in copy number, whereas the more complex $C, D$, and $E$ repeats have been differentially amplified in mouse and human ( $15,18,108$ ). In total, almost half of the Xist/XIST transcript is composed of tandem repeats. The strong conservation of repeats contrasts with the overall low homology between mouse Xist and human XIST RNA, suggesting that the Xist/XIST repeats play an important role in the function of the RNA. The differential amplification of $C, D$, and $E$ repeats in human and mouse suggests that they may be functionally redundant.

## Xist Contains Multiple Redundant Domains that Mediate X Chromosome Coating

The inducible Xist cDNA transgene approach allowed the first thorough analysis of the requirement of Xist RNA sequences for chromosome coating and silencing. In an impressive study, Wutz and colleagues generated 43 Xist cDNA constructs containing different deletions, which were individually integrated into the same site on the X chromosome in male ES cells (165). Xist expression levels, RNA spread along the X chromosome, and transcriptional inactivation of genes located on the single X chromosome were examined.


Figure 8 The repeat structure of Xist/XIST RNA. A. Repeats are conserved between human and mouse Xist/XIST RNA. XIST/Xist RNA contains six types of repeats, labeled A-F. The E-repeat is located at the $5^{\prime}$ end of exon 6 of XIST and at the $5^{\prime}$ end of exon 7 of Xist, respectively; all other repeats are contained within the large first exon of XIST/Xist. The $A$ repeat, located within the first 1000 nt of XIST/Xist RNA, consists of 8.5 copies of a 43-59 nt unit in the human RNA and of 7.5 copies of a 42-74 nt unit in mouse counterpart [for a more detailed description, see Figure $8 B(15,18)$ ]. The short $F$-repeat is located approximately 750 nt downstream of the $A$-repeat (108). It contains two copies of a G/C-rich 10 -nt sequence motif (UGGCGGGCUU) separated by 8 nt in mouse Xist and 16 nt in human XIST. This repeat was identified recently based on its extension to 5 copies in vole Xist and may actually function as DNA element (108). Besides the $A$-repeat, the $B$-repeat is the most conserved repeat in Xist/XISTRNA $(15,18,108)$. In the mouse RNA, the low-complexity $B$-repeat is composed of 32 C-rich 4-8-nt units, with 21 of those containing the motif (A/U)GCCCC. In human XIST RNA, the B-repeat is split. Twelve C-rich 6-9-nt units, eight of which contain the motif ACCCCCC, are separated by approximately 700 nt of unrelated sequence from 17 C-rich 4-11-nt units, ten of which contain the motif PuPuCCC.

In contrast to the $A, B$, and $F$ repeats, the three most $3^{\prime}$ Xist/XIST repeats have been differentially amplified in mouse and human $(15,18)$. In mouse, the C-repeat contains 14 copies of 120 -nt units, which show more than $90 \%$ similarity to each other. Only one weakly homologous copy of the $C$-repeat unit can be found in human XIST RNA, which, however, is located in the equivalent part of the RNA. In contrast to the $C$-repeat, the $D$-repeat is emphasized in the human RNA $(15,18)$. The $D$-repeat appears to have the greatest sequence complexity. Recently, the $D$-repeat region of human XIST RNA has been extended. The originally described $D$-core region, comprised of seven 300 -nt units with more than $75 \%$ homology, was shown to be flanked on both sides by truncated copies of the $D$-repeat unit for a total of 19 truncated copies, such that the entire $D$-repeat region encompasses 6000 nt (108). In mouse Xist RNA, only 10 partial copies of the $D$-repeat unit were identified within a region of 3000 nt (108). The $E$-repeat encompasses 1350 nt in mouse and 700 nt in human Xist/XIST RNA, and contains highly variable copies of 20-25-nt units ( $15,18,108$ ).

This analysis allowed designation of functions to certain domains of Xist RNA (Figure 9). Large regions, covering roughly the first two thirds of Xist RNA, mediate the association of Xist transcripts with the Xi, and are functionally redundant such that not all of them have to be present for coating to occur (165). The regions implicated in Xist RNA localization contain all of the Xist repeats ( $A, B$, $D, E, F$, and at least parts of the $C$ repeat; Figure 9). Therefore, it seems likely that all 6 Xist repeats are involved in localizing Xist transcripts to the Xi, but no single one of them is essential. These observations could be explained if the repeats and surrounding sequences contain low-affinity binding sites for trans-acting factors whose occupancy is increased by cooperative interactions, conferring full Xist RNA coating function (165). In a different experimental approach, oligonucleotide analogs antisense to Xist RNA were used to physically disrupt Xist RNA function. By this approach, a highly conserved sequence within the $C$-repeat was found to be important for localizing Xist RNA to the Xi, since antisense oligonucleotides to this region induced dissociation of Xist transcripts from the Xi in female somatic cells (7) (Figure 9). As this manipulation may have caused a general disruption of Xist RNA secondary structure and/or ribonucleoprotein complex formation, these results do not necessarily implicate the $C$-repeat directly in Xist RNA localization.

## Trans-Acting Factors Required for X Chromosome Coating Are Species-Specific

Interspecies experiments support the idea that trans-acting factors are required for the association of Xist RNA with the Xi and may give some insights into their nature. In rodent somatic cell hybrids containing a human Xi, XIST is highly

Figure 8 (Continued) B. Each $A$-repeat unit forms two conserved stem loops. The consensus sequence of the $A$-repeat unit for mouse and human XIST/Xist RNA is shown ( $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{U}$ or $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{C}$ or $\mathrm{U},(18)$ ). Each $A$-repeat unit contains, within a $25-\mathrm{nt}$ core region, two highly conserved GC-rich motifs of 10 nt and 12 nt , respectively. A variable, primarily U -rich stretch, here depicted as a stretch of 20 uracil residues, serves as spacer between the GC-rich regions of neighboring $A$-repeat units. The length of the spacer ( $17-49 \mathrm{nt}$ ) and its composition vary between individual repeat units, and can be decreased down to 8 residues in every unit without interfering with the function of the $A$-repeat (165). Both the $12-\mathrm{nt}$ and $10-\mathrm{nt} \mathrm{GC}$-rich regions fold into stem loop structures as supported by secondary structure predication and mutational analysis (165). In the secondary structure model of the two GC-rich regions depicted here, nucleotides shown in small font size occur less frequently at the corresponding positions. Mouse Xist RNA contains 7.5 copies of the $A$-repeat unit, comprising 8 of the first, larger, and 7 of the second, smaller stem loop; human XIST RNA contains 8.5 copies with 9 large stem loops and 8 small ones.


Figure 9 Functional domains of Xist RNA. Xist RNA is depicted as in Figure 8A. Domains required for silencing, chromosome coating, and macroH2A1 recruitment as determined by deletion analysis are shown (165). Deletion of the $A$-repeat region results in an RNA molecule that can coat but not silence the chromosome. The coating function requires multiple partly redundant domains contained within a large sequence block at the $5^{\prime}$ end. A short antisense molecule directed against a highly conserved sequence of the $C$-repeat (indicated by a star) completely disrupts localization of Xist RNA to the Xi (7).

The deletion study by Wutz et al. (165) defined various large $3^{\prime}$ truncations that for the most part do not interfere with the silencing function of Xist RNA. The silencing activity correlates tightly with the coating activity such that on a scale from high $(+++++)$ to no $(-)$ activity, the silencing activity decreases with a reduction of the coating activity. The smaller the minimal Xist RNA molecule becomes, the lower the coating activity due to the requirement of multiple domains for coating. MacroH2A1 colocalization is not essential for the initiation of the silencing process, since minimal functional RNA molecules do not recruit macroH2A1 (indicated by + or - ).
expressed but not localized tightly to the X chromosome (30). In these cells, some XIST RNA is located within the region delineated by the human X chromosome, but it is mostly distributed throughout the rodent nucleus, having a particulate appearance $(30,49)$. Similar results were obtained when human XIC transgenes were analyzed in mouse ES cells. Human XIST RNA was found to incompletely coat the mouse autosome carrying the transgene showing a less compact accumulation around the transgenic chromosome $(58,101)$. Since mouse ES cells contain all the trans-acting factors required for chromosome coating by mouse Xist RNA ( 164,165 ), it seems that these factors are unable to confer the ability of human XIST RNA to coat the chromosome. Thus, factors that mediate localization of mouse Xist and human XIST transcripts may be species-specific (30). The low degree of primary sequence conservation between mouse and human Xist/XIST RNA within regions required for chromosome association, and the differential amplification of
the $C, D$, and $E$ repeats, could explain the requirement for species-specific factors in Xist/XIST RNA localization.

Despite the fact that XIST RNA is not properly associated with the Xi in rodent cell hybrids or transgenic mouse ES cells, the half-life, and presumably the steady-state level, of XIST RNA is similar to that of XIST transcripts in human female somatic cells $(30,49,58,101)$. Therefore, in contrast to localization factors, trans-acting factors that stabilize the RNA could be conserved between mouse and human. The hybrid cell data suggest Xist/XIST RNA can be stable without chromosomal association. This idea is supported by the fact that Xist RNA accumulates at a steady-state level similar to that normally seen in female somatic cells when association with the chromosome is blocked by addition of antisense oligonucleotides (7). While all short Xist RNA molecules encoded by cDNA fragments that are able to coat the chromosome are also stable, no fragment that is defective in coating has been shown to be stable (165). Thus, a minimal length of Xist RNA may be required to achieve stability.

## The A-Repeat Mediates Xist RNA's Silencing Function

Wutz and coauthors clearly demonstrated that the silencing function of Xist RNA can be attributed to the $A$-repeat region (Figure 9) (165). Deletion of the $A$-repeats in inducible Xist cDNA transgenes does not disrupt Xi localization of Xist RNA, but abolishes silencing activity. Thus, chromosome coating alone is not sufficient for initiation of X -inactivation (165). When the $A$-repeat region is present, however, coating of the Xi by Xist RNA is a prerequisite for silencing $(7,165)$. Since the $A$-repeat region functions properly even when moved to the $3^{\prime}$ end of Xist, it can be viewed as a position-independent silencing module (165). It seems most likely that the $A$-repeat functions by recruiting proteins that mediate silencing.

Wutz and colleagues provide data that support a model in which the highly conserved 25-nucleotide core region of each $A$-repeat RNA unit forms 2 stem loops that are necessary for silencing (Figure $8 B$ ) (165). Mutations that should disrupt a base pair in the first stem results in the loss of silencing activity. Mutational analysis further showed that the first stem loop, but not the sequence of either the stem or the loop, is essential (165). Detailed analysis of the second stem loop has not been reported. Inversion of the $A$-repeat region in the Xist cDNA transgene resulted in production of an RNA containing antisense $A$-repeats that did not confer silencing function (165), indicating some requirement for sequence-specificity of the two stem loops for the silencing function. The length and sequence of the spacer separating the core regions is less important (165). At least 5.5 copies of the $A$-repeat unit, replacing the endogenous $A$-repeat region, are necessary to confer silencing function (165). Higher $A$-repeat copy number improved the efficiency of silencing only slightly. Since no silencing at all is observed with 4 copies, it is very likely that proteins mediating the silencing activity of Xist

RNA interact with the stem loops of different $A$-repeat units in a cooperative fashion.

Based on the high degree of conservation of the $A$-repeat sequence between Xist and XIST RNA, proteins interacting with the $A$-repeat may be conserved between mouse and human. The ability of mouse $A$-repeat-interacting proteins to bind the human $A$-repeat and mediate silencing is suggested by the fact that XIST RNA expressed from human XIC transgenes in mouse cells can mediate silencing of linked autosomal genes $(58,101)$. Two groups have identified proteins that interact with the $A$-repeat in vitro. Using an entire $A$-repeat region, hnRNP C1/2 were isolated from nuclear extracts (16). It is perhaps not surprising that two proteins with affinity for polyU stretches, which are highly enriched in the $A$-repeat spacer regions, were isolated. In a second approach, a synthetic RNA oligonucleotide comprising just the first stem loop bound a $120-\mathrm{kD}$ protein of unknown identity (1). Given that the secondary structure rather than the primary sequence of first stem loop is crucial for $A$-repeat function, it is unclear whether specificity will lie within this region of the $A$-repeat. Clearly, identification of the hypothesized $A$ -repeat-interacting proteins would provide insight into the mechanism of $A$-repeatmediated silencing.

Developmental regulation of $A$-repeat-interacting proteins could explain how Xist RNA can induce silencing only during the initiation phase of X-inactivation (164). Alternatively, hypothetical $A$-repeat-interacting proteins may be present throughout development, but changes in chromatin structure that occur upon differentiation could interfere with their silencing activity. Of the Xist RNA regions required for Xi association, the $A$-repeat region could contribute indirectly to localization, since $A$-repeat-interacting proteins may bind the Xi-chromatin to mediate their silencing function. Thus, the Xist RNA domains required for coating and silencing could be non-overlapping. It will also be of interest to determine whether the $A$-repeat is required for Xist RNA's role in Xa choice or maintenance of the Xi, which would implicate the silencing activity of Xist RNA as crucial in these processes.

Wutz and colleagues found two large deletions in the $3^{\prime}$ half of Xist RNA that still allow spreading along the chromosome but are deficient in macroH2A recruitment (165) (Figure 9). The deletion of the $A$-repeat region has no effect on macroH2A localization, indicating that the Xist RNA-mediated accumulation of macroH2A on the Xi occurs independently of Xist RNA's silencing function. This finding further supported the idea that macroH2A is not involved in the initiation or establishment of silencing but could play a role in the maintenance of the silent state of the $\mathrm{Xi}(96,126,127)$. It remains possible that the macroH2A histone variants could mediate Xist RNA's role in the maintenance of the Xi heterochromatin. Xist cDNA transgenes lacking domains required for macroH2A colocalization should be tested for their ability to confer Xist RNA's Xi maintenance function.

Taken together, initial analysis of the sequence requirements for Xist RNA function indicates that the RNA can be divided into separate domains conferring the activities of chromosome coating in cis, gene silencing, and macroH2A
recruitment. The modular nature of Xist RNA suggests that the RNA may act as a scaffold to coordinate multiple functions.

## CONCLUSION

The different fates taken on by the two X chromosomes in female mammals have long been attributed to the action of a single chromosomal locus, the $X$-inactivation center, which responds to developmental cues orchestrating the X-inactivation process. Xist RNA, encoded within the Xic, has turned out to be the pivotal player in X-inactivation, as it is both necessary and sufficient for initiation and spread of silencing on the Xi. Cis-regulatory elements of the Xist gene direct its expression and control the RNA's activity during the period in which the Xa chromosome is chosen. The existence of a critical sequence required to choose an Xa , the counting element, has been inferred, but this sequence remains to be identified. Antisense transcription of Xist has recently been identified, generating Tsix RNA. Both Xist RNA and Tsix transcription have been shown to regulate the random choice of the Xa. Cis-limited control of Xist activity ensures that the Xa will be protected from Xist RNA-mediated silencing during the period in which Xist activity becomes upregulated on the future Xa . In imprinted X -inactivation, gametic imprints control Tsix and Xist expression patterns, which direct the maternal Xa fate and the paternal Xi fate. Most advances have been made through the study of X-inactivation in the mouse. Early evidence suggests that the process of Xa choice during human Xinactivation may exhibit some differences.

Silencing of the X chromosome by Xist RNA is a multistep process that can only be initiated during a brief developmental window. Xist RNA coordinates multiple chromosome-wide chromatin modifications, possibly by recruiting modifiers of chromatin structure. These modifications, characteristic of heterochromatin, stably maintain the silent state of the Xi. Out of all known modifications, methylation on histone H3 Lys-9 spreads on the Xi concomitant with coating by Xist RNA, immediately before gene silencing. The spread of methylation on H3 Lys-9, which is involved in transcriptional repression in other contexts, suggests that Xist RNA may initiate silencing through recruitment of a histone methyltransferase. Determination of the composition and function of the presumed Xist ribonucleoprotein complex will ultimately allow an understanding of how the mammalian dosage compensation system initiates, establishes, and maintains silencing on the Xi.

No Xic transgene analyzed to date directs truly random X-inactivation, suggesting that researchers must look beyond the known Xic elements, and outside the largest transgene, for sequences that control random choice of the active X and ensure enactment of the inactive X fate. Despite significant advances in the genetics and molecular biology of X-inactivation, many challenges remain before a comprehensive view of the molecular mechanisms directing the two divergent fates of the mammalian $X$ chromosome will emerge.
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