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Abstract | Viral infection is a major contributor to the global cancer burden. Recent advances have revealed that seven known oncogenic viruses promote tumorigenesis through shared host cell targets and pathways. A comprehensive understanding of the principles of viral oncogenesis may enable the identification of unknown infectious aetiologies of cancer and the development of therapeutic or preventive strategies for virus-associated cancers. In this Review, we discuss the molecular mechanisms of viral oncogenesis in humans. We highlight recent advances in understanding how viral manipulation of host cellular signalling, DNA damage responses, immunity and microRNA targets promotes the initiation and development of cancer.

When normal cell growth control mechanisms are disrupted, some cells may exhibit uncontrolled proliferation and cease to perform their tissue-specific functions, leading to the development of cancer. Infection by oncopogenic viruses is thought to cause ~15–20% of all human cancers 1.

The seven known human oncopogenic viruses are Epstein–Barr virus (EBV), hepatitis B virus (HBV), human T-lymphotropic virus 1 (HTLV-1), human papillomaviruses (HPVs), hepatitis C virus (HCV), Kaposi sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV; also known as human herpesvirus 8 (HHV-8)) and Merkel cell polyomavirus (MCPyV) (TABLE 1) and reviewed in REF. 1. EBV and KSHV are large DNA viruses that can cause solid tumours and lymphoid malignancies 2,3 (TABLE 1). HPV and MCPyV (BOX 1) have smaller DNA genomes than EBV and KSHV. Whereas oncopogenic HPVs establish persistent infections in mucosal epithelia 4, MCPyV infects and likely persists latently in dermal fibroblasts 5. These small DNA oncopogenic viruses promote tumorigenesis using relatively few multifunctional oncoproteins 6. HCV, a positive-sense, single-stranded RNA virus, and HBV, a small DNA virus, both infect hepatocytes and cause chronic liver inflammation, liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) 7,8,9,10,11,12,13.

Lastly, HTLV-1 is a human oncopogenic retrovirus that infects T cells and can cause adult T cell lymphoma 14.

Human oncogenic viruses have diverse genomes, cellular tropisms, cancer pathologies and disease prevalence (TABLE 1). However, they share many features that can lead to cancer in humans. They are transmitted between humans and can establish chronic infections that last for years without obvious symptoms. Throughout these prolonged periods, oncogenic viruses co-opt cellular processes for replication and undermine immune recognition. They derail conserved signalling pathways that control cell cycle progression and apoptosis (BOX 2) to support their propagation. Although tumorigenesis is a unifying pathological feature for oncogenic viruses, it is neither evolutionarily advantageous for the virus nor required for virus propagation. Many of the properties that are shared among the seven oncogenic viruses are also common to other viruses. To identify what makes these seven unique, we must examine the specific mechanisms by which they alter the cellular environment.

Major discoveries in recent years have revealed similar oncogenic mechanisms among these divergent viruses. Advances in omics technologies have resolved a network of genetic and functional changes induced by oncogenic virus infection. In this Review, we discuss recent insights that explain how oncogenic viral factors modulate host cell processes and cellular microenvironments to promote cellular transformation and metastasis. Identifying commonalities among these events may lead to new approaches for preventing and treating cancers caused by viruses.

Targeting tumour suppressor pathways

The activation of tumour suppressor pathways is crucial to defend against cellular transformation that can occur when cells are infected by oncogenic viruses. The resulting cellular responses, including cell cycle arrest, apoptosis and senescence, can inhibit virus replication, repair DNA damage and prevent cancer development 15. Cellular tumour antigen p53 and retinoblastoma protein (pRB) are at the heart of the two major tumour suppressor pathways, which function to repress tumorigenesis by tightly regulating cell cycle progression, stimulating cellular DNA damage response and inducing apoptosis.
after irreversible cell damage\(^1\). Nearly all the oncogenic viruses encode oncoproteins that dysregulate the p53 and pRB pathways; however, the mechanisms that they employ are distinct\(^2\). Viral oncoproteins inhibit the function of p53 and pRB by inducing their degradation, inactivation, repression or dissociation from cognate functional partners (reviewed in Refs\(^{13-14}\)).

Dysregulating the tumour suppressor activities of p53 and pRB can benefit virus propagation. For example, the oncoproteins encoded by small DNA oncogenic viruses (for example, HPV) and large oncogenic herpesviruses (for example, EBV and KSHV) can inactivate the function of pRB and p53 to drive the cell into S phase (that is, the phase of DNA synthesis), granting the virus access to the cellular replication machinery and nucleotides for viral DNA synthesis\(^3\). In addition, both HTLV-1 oncoproteins transactivator from X-gene region (Tax) and basic zipper factor (HBZ) can inhibit p53 function through various mechanisms that predispose cells to oncogenesis\(^4\). The p53 and pRB pathways are also frequently dysregulated in HBV-associated HCC; the viral HBV-X protein (HBx) forms a complex with p53 and inhibits its DNA binding and transcription factor functions\(^5\).

Elimination of virally infected cells through apoptosis represents a principle host defence mechanism against viral infection. Inhibition of apoptotic signalling by oncogenic viruses therefore permits viral replication and propagation before the death of the host cell\(^6\). Nearly all oncogenic viruses have evolved complex apoptosis evasion strategies that target the p53 and pRB pathways to evade host responses to infection and to establish a persistent infection\(^7-10\). Targeting of cell cycle checkpoints and apoptosis pathways by viruses places host cells at risk of cellular genomic instability and chromosome abnormality\(^1\). Compounding genetic mutations that are acquired by cells in this deteriorating environment could ultimately lead to cancer.

### Targeting host signalling pathways

Cellular proliferation is regulated by tightly controlled signalling pathways (BOX 1). Evidence from recent studies has revealed common strategies that are used by oncogenic viruses to subvert these pathways in a manner that promotes viral infection and occasional cellular transformation (BOX 2; FIG. 1).

**PI3K–AKT–mTOR signalling**. The phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase–AKT–mechanistic target of rapamycin (PI3K–AKT–mTOR) pathway is a major eukaryotic nutrient-sensing pathway that coordinates macromolecule synthesis and metabolism in response to nutrient abundance (BOX 2; FIG. 1a). It has an important role in the regulation of cellular growth, cell cycle progression, proliferation, survival, quiescence and longevity by coordinating growth stimuli and regulating downstream effectors, including AKT and mTOR. Dysregulation of the PI3K axis can disrupt normal cellular growth control and result in the survival and proliferation of tumour cells\(^1\). Some oncogenic viruses, including HPV, EBV, HTLV-1, KSHV and MCPyV, have evolved mechanisms to engage this pathway in the absence of necessary growth factors and when nutrient levels are low (FIG. 1a).

Activation of PI3K–AKT–mTOR signalling may benefit viral infection by promoting cell proliferation\(^12\).
Kaposi sarcoma
A family of endothelial malignancies that are associated with Kaposi sarcoma-associated virus (KSHV) and whose members are classified by the type of immunosuppression that enabled KSHV-mediated oncogenesis.

Carcinomas
Tumours arising from cells of an epithelial origin, as opposed to sarcomas, which arise from mesenchymal cells.

Rapamycin
An inhibitor of mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR)-mediated proliferative function that acts through direct binding of the peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase FKBP12A–mechanistic target of rapamycin complex and has shown promise as an immunosuppressant and anti-tumour drug.

Sarcomagenesis
The seminal event or events leading to cancer progression from mesenchymal-derived cell types.

Cap-dependent translation
Translation in which initiation is mediated by recognition of the 5' cap that is specific to eukaryotic mRNAs.

Box 1 | Merkel cell polyomavirus
Merkel cell polyomavirus (MCPyV) is the most recently discovered human oncogenic virus and is associated with Merkel cell carcinoma (MCC), an aggressive malignancy of the dermis. MCPyV belongs to the Polyomaviridae family. It is a small, non-enveloped, double-stranded DNA virus with a genome of ~5,400 base pairs. More than a decade after identifying Kaposi sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV) as the causative agent of Kaposi sarcoma, Chang and Moore led the next effort to identify an oncogenic virus in humans. In keeping with the guiding principle of that prior discovery, it was reasoned that because MCC skin cancer disproportionately affects immunosuppressed and elderly individuals, an infectious agent may contribute to its pathogenesis. In their search, they performed transcriptomic sequencing of human MCC tumours and then compared these sequences with the human genome to subtract background and non-viral sequence reads from the total sequence data. Using this approach, they identified an integrated polyomavirus large T antigen transcript with homology to known animal polyomaviruses. They then used 3' rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE) and viral genome walking to retrieve the sequence of this virus—MCPyV. By comparing integrated MCPyV sequence in metastatic tumours between patients, the group also established that MCPyV integrates monochronically in the host genome before metastasis. This early observation supported the notion that, like other oncogenic viruses, viral integration is a major event in MCC tumorigenesis. Since its discovery, MCPyV has been recognized as a ubiquitous virus that asymptomatically infects most individuals during childhood, yet it can be linked to ~80% of MCC cases. MCPyV can productively infect fibroblasts within the dermal layer of human skin. However, the details of the MCPyV life cycle and the events driving MCC oncogenesis remain unknown.

MAPK signalling. Mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways regulate the transcription of genes that control cell proliferation and the antiviral immune response (BOX 2). They are involved in the life cycle and propagation of several oncogenic viruses, such as HCV, HPV and MCPyV, by promoting viral assembly, production and release (FIG. 18). For example, the activity of MAPK-regulated cytosolic phospholipase A2 (PLA2G4A) contributes to the assembly of infectious HCV particles. Arachidonic acid, the cleavage product of PLA2G4A-catalysed lipolysis, restores the production of infectious HCV particles in the absence of PLA2G4A. This suggests that PLA2G4A-mediated lipolysis provides a membrane environment for efficient incorporation of core proteins into the lipid envelope of nascent viral particles. MAPK signalling also enhances non-enveloped virus production, as evidenced by increased HPV virion production upon induction of extracellular-signal-regulated kinase 1 (ERK1) and ERK2 in HPV-infected cells. In agreement with this finding, inhibition of MAPK/ERK kinase 1 (MEK1) and MEK2 with a cancer drug (trametinib) drastically limits MCPyV infection by blocking MCPyV transcription and/or replication in infected cells, suggesting that activation of the MAPK pathway is needed to support these events in the MCPyV life cycle. However, whether MAPK pathways also have a role in the development of MCPyV-associated Merkel cell carcinoma (MCC) is unknown.

Oncogenic viruses often manipulate MAPK pathways to promote host cell proliferation, but this process could incidentally give rise to invasive cells that contribute to metastasis. During the switch from the latent to the lytic phase of EBV infection, the p38 MAPK pathway has a crucial role in protecting host cells from apoptosis and in inducing viral reactivation. The EBV LMP1 that is induced during the latent–lytic transition has been proposed to prevent apoptosis and mediate reactivation, and inhibiting autophagy, which can impede viral replication. The most extensively studied case is that of HPV, in which each of the viral oncoproteins E5, E6 and E7 either directly or indirectly target the pathway and promote cell division, predisposing infected cells to tumour initiation and progression (FIG. 12). The EBV latent membrane protein 2A (LMP2A) induces AKT phosphorylation and activates the PI3K–AKT pathway (FIG. 12). This contributes to an anti-apoptotic function that prevents the removal of damaged cells and provides a selective advantage for LMP2A-expressing B cells during the development of EBV-associated malignancies. LMP2A-mediated activation of the PI3K–AKT pathway also inhibits epithelial cell differentiation in EBV-infected cells, suggesting that the same mechanism contributes to progression of EBV-related carcinomas and lymphomas. HTLV-1 modulates AKT in CD4+ T cells, promoting a long latent phase. The HTLV-1 Tax oncoprotein was found to activate the AKT pathway and induce AKT-dependent inactivation of the forkhead box protein O3 (FOXO3), which causes depletion of CD4+ T cells through induction of pro-apoptotic and anti-proliferative target genes. Inhibition of FOXO3 therefore promotes the survival and proliferation of CD4+ T cells that maintain the capacity to spread infectious HTLV-1 particles. This Tax protein function enables the long-term maintenance of infected CD4+ T cells during the early phase of HTLV-1 pathogenesis.

The importance of mTOR signalling in KSHV biology was highlighted by the observation that the mTOR inhibitor rapamycin — but not other immunosuppressants — promotes tumour regression in transplant patients affected by KSHV-induced Kaposi sarcoma. It was later discovered that expression of KSHV ORF45, a lytic gene expressed in infected lymphatic endothelial cells, selectively upregulates mTOR signalling (FIG. 14). The dependence of KSHV-infected cells on the mTOR signalling pathway for their survival explained their sensitivity to rapamycin-induced apoptosis. Expression of the KSHV G protein-coupled receptor (gGPCR) in a mouse allograft model is sufficient to induce sarcomagenesis through the activation of AKT phosphorylation. The role of AKT in human Kaposi sarcomagenesis was supported by the observation of robust AKT activation in Kaposi sarcoma biopsy samples taken from individuals with AIDS. In B cells, the K1 protein of KSHV activates AKT signalling to inhibit apoptosis (FIG. 14), suggesting that this is a mechanism to protect virus-infected cells from premature cell death during KSHV-induced oncogenesis. By comparison, the small T oncoprotein of MCPyV targets the PI3K–AKT–mTOR signalling pathway further downstream (FIG. 14). It promotes the hyperphosphorylation of eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E binding protein 1 (4E-BP1), a crucial target of mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1), leading to hyperactivated cap-dependent translation of cellular proteins and cellular transformation. Infection by each of these evolutionarily distinct viruses leads to a state of anabolism that is caused by targeting mTOR, which ordinarily responds to a network of signals such as amino acid availability and environmental stress.
characteristics that enable tumour cells with invasive cells to respond to external survival and differentiation stimuli. Genes in response to external survival and differentiation are responsible for activating the phosphorylation cascade, ultimately regulating the transcription of diverse genes involved in cell cycle progression, growth, differentiation, programmed cell death and the antiviral immune response. The three best-characterized subfamilies of MAPs are the extracellular-signal-regulated kinases (ERKs), JUN N-terminal kinases (JNKs) and p38 enzymes. Each of these MAPs is activated by their cognate kinases, which respond to distinct stimuli.

**Notch signalling pathway**

The Notch signalling pathway is present in a variety of cell types. In this pathway, Notch ligand binding promotes proteolysis of the Notch receptor and translocation of the intracellular domain of the receptor to the nucleus, where it regulates transcription of downstream genes, including HES1, CCND1, MYC and BCL2 (REF. [3]). These genes work together to regulate many fundamental cellular processes, including cell fate determination, differentiation, development, cell proliferation, survival, apoptosis, invasion and metastasis.

**WNT/ β-catenin signalling pathway**

In this pathway, activation of the frizzled family cell surface receptors by WNT ligands prevents the degradation of β-catenin, allowing stabilized β-catenin to engage DNA-bound transcription factors and stimulate the transcription of downstream target genes that control many important biological processes, including cellular proliferation, stem cell renewal, embryonic development and tissue regeneration. For example, in human skin, WNT ligands released from basal epidermal keratinocytes promote the proliferation of the dermal fibroblasts beneath them. In addition, WNT signalling is required for the activation of the dermal fibroblasts to support hair follicle regeneration.

**NF-κB signalling pathway**

Nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB), a key family of transcription factors, is normally sequestered in the cytoplasm in an inactive form in complex with members of the inhibitors of NF-κB (IκB) family of proteins. Stimulation of the NF-κB signalling pathway by extracellular signals, including infectious agents, inflammatory cytokines and other pathogenic insults, leads to a cascade of orderly responses that culminate in the activation of the IκB kinase (IKK) complex. Activated IKK in turn induces phosphorylation and degradation of IκB. The released NF-κB can translocate into the nucleus and coordinate the expression of a large number of genes involved in inflammation, immunity, cell death and proliferation.

**DNA damage response**

The major components in this signalling network are ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) and ataxia telangiectasia and Rad3-related (ATR) kinases. The ATM kinase pathway is primarily activated by double-stranded DNA breaks, whereas the ATR kinase pathway responds mostly to single-stranded breaks. Activated ATM and ATR phosphorylate the downstream kinases checkpoint kinase 2 (CHK2) and CHK1, respectively. CHK2 and CHK1 phosphorylate downstream effectors, including cellular tumour antigen p53, to activate the checkpoints that stall cell cycle progression while recruiting the necessary proteins to repair DNA damage. Depending on the severity of the damage, these pathways can also induce senescence or apoptosis.

**Signalling pathways manipulated by oncogenic viruses**

### PI3K–AKT–mTOR signalling pathway

In the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase–AKT–mechanistic target of rapamycin (PI3K–AKT–mTOR) pathway, stimulation of a diverse group of growth factor receptors by various stimuli leads to the activation of PI3K. Activated PI3K phosphorylates phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate to phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate, which further activates AKT. AKT subsequently triggers the phosphorylation and activation of diverse downstream effectors, including mTOR. Activated mTOR can stimulate the translation of proteins needed for cell cycle progression by inducing the phosphorylation of eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E (4E-BP1). By integrating various growth stimuli and acting through multiple cellular effectors, this pathway has an important role in the regulation of cellular growth, proliferation and survival.

### MAPK signalling pathway

Upon stimulation by either extracellular signals (for example, growth factors) or stress stimuli (for example, osmotic stress, heat shock, ultraviolet irradiation and oxidative stress), cell surface receptor kinases activate a mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascade, ultimately regulating the transcription of diverse genes involved in cell cycle progression, growth, differentiation, programmed cell death and the antiviral immune response. The three best-characterized subfamilies of MAPs are the extracellular-signal-regulated kinases (ERKs), JUN N-terminal kinases (JNKs) and p38 enzymes. Each of these MAPs is activated by their cognate kinases, which respond to distinct stimuli.

### Notch signalling pathway

The Notch signalling pathway is present in a variety of cell types. In this pathway, Notch ligand binding promotes proteolysis of the Notch receptor and translocation of the intracellular domain of the receptor to the nucleus, where it activates transcription of downstream genes, including HES1, CCND1, MYC and BCL2 (REF. [3]). These genes work together to regulate many fundamental cellular processes, including cell fate determination, differentiation, development, cell proliferation, survival, apoptosis, invasion and metastasis.

### WNT/ β-catenin signalling pathway

In this pathway, activation of the frizzled family cell surface receptors by WNT ligands prevents the degradation of β-catenin, allowing stabilized β-catenin to engage DNA-bound transcription factors and stimulate the transcription of downstream target genes that control many important biological processes, including cellular proliferation, stem cell renewal, embryonic development and tissue regeneration. For example, in human skin, WNT ligands released from basal epidermal keratinocytes promote the proliferation of the dermal fibroblasts underneath them. In addition, WNT signalling is required for the activation of the dermal fibroblasts to support hair follicle regeneration.

### NF-κB signalling pathway

Nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB), a key family of transcription factors, is normally sequestered in the cytoplasm in an inactive form in complex with members of the inhibitors of NF-κB (IκB) family of proteins. Stimulation of the NF-κB signalling pathway by extracellular signals, including infectious agents, inflammatory cytokines and other pathogenic insults, leads to a cascade of orderly responses that culminate in the activation of the IκB kinase (IKK) complex. Activated IKK in turn induces phosphorylation and degradation of IκB. The released NF-κB can translocate into the nucleus and coordinate the expression of a large number of genes involved in inflammation, immunity, cell death and proliferation.

### DNA damage response

The major components in this signalling network are ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) and ataxia telangiectasia and Rad3-related (ATR) kinases. The ATM kinase pathway is primarily activated by double-stranded DNA breaks, whereas the ATR kinase pathway responds mostly to single-stranded breaks. Activated ATM and ATR phosphorylate the downstream kinases checkpoint kinase 2 (CHK2) and CHK1, respectively. CHK2 and CHK1 phosphorylate downstream effectors, including cellular tumour antigen p53, to activate the checkpoints that stall cell cycle progression while recruiting the necessary proteins to repair DNA damage. Depending on the severity of the damage, these pathways can also induce senescence or apoptosis.
Notch signalling. Depending on the cellular environment and tissue context, perturbations in the Notch signalling pathway can either promote or suppress tumorigenesis\(^4\) (BOX 2). A role for Notch signalling was found in the development of chronic lymphocytic leukaemia, B cell malignancies and breast cancer\(^4\). By contrast, Notch signalling has a tumour suppressor function in skin epithelia and pancreatic cells\(^4\). Unlike the pathways explored in the previous sections that are largely upregulated in all cancers, the divergent association of Notch signalling with different cancers is reflected in the variety of approaches through which viruses exploit this pathway (FIG. 1c).

In a systematic analysis of the host interactome and transcriptome networks that are perturbed by oncogenic virus proteins, Notch signalling was identified as a key pathway that is targeted by EBV, HPV and MCPyV oncoproteins, highlighting its importance in viral
Fig. 1 | Signalling pathways targeted by oncogenic viruses. Human oncogenic viruses modulate signal transduction pathways that control cell growth, proliferation and survival to optimize cellular conditions for viral replication, virion assembly and autophagic evasion in the absence of growth or survival signals. Dysregulation of these pathways through mutation or viral factors has been implicated in many cancers. Targeting of critical axes in these pathways by human oncogenic viral factors is indicated by yellow boxes. Arrows represent activation, whereas blocking arrows represent inhibition. Dashed arrows indicate activation or promotion with multiple steps not shown.

a | Mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) complex 1 (mTORC1) is a master regulator that coordinates biomineral availability and stress stimuli to yield tuned responses that promote cell growth and inhibit autophagy. Growth factor binding to receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) regulates mTORC1 activity through phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) and the serine/threonine kinase AKT.梁-bounded RTKs autophosphorylate and recruit PI3K to the plasma membrane, where it converts phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PIP2) to phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate (PIP3). PIP3 recruits 3-phosphoinositide-dependent protein kinase 1 (PDK1) and AKT. Multiple viruses modulate the activity of the AKT pathway and downstream components, such as eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E binding protein 1 (4E-BP1) and ribosomal protein S6 kinase β1 (S6K1).

b | The mitogen-activated-protein-kinase (MAPK) pathway is also activated by ligand-bound RTKs. Autophosphorylated tyrosine residues bind SH2 domains of growth factor receptor-bound protein 2 (GRB2), which localizes the guanine-exchange factor son-of-sevenless (SOS) to the inner membrane. SOS allows for the exchange of GDP for GTP on RAS. Activated GTP-bound RAS initiates a MAPK cascade, which activates transcription factors such as forerkhead box protein 1 (FOXM1) and additional effectors such as MK2 kinase (MK2K). Together, they enhance the expression of pro-survival and pro-inflammatory genes through increased transcription and stabilization of mRNAs, respectively.

| c | A conformational change in Notch when bound to ligands on neighbouring cells enables sequential cleavages by a disintegrin and metalloproteinase domain-containing protein 10 (ADAM10) and γ-secretase. Cleavage releases intracellular domain of Notch (ICN) into the cytoplasm, where it can translocate to the nucleus and coordinate the transcription of proliferation and differentiation-related genes with DNA-bound CSL protein and the co-activator mastermind-like 1 (MAML1). ICN is downregulated by SEL10 polyubiquitylation-mediated proteasomal degradation.

| d | β-Catenin (β-cat) is inactivated in a complex with adenomatous polyposis coli gene product (APC) and axin, which phosphorylates β-caten and targets it for proteasomal degradation. Upon WNT glycoprotein binding to extracellular domains of low-density lipoprotein receptor related protein 1 (LRP1) and frizzled (Fz), dishevelled (Dshv) is recruited to the cytoplasmic domain of FzL2. Subsequent phosphorylation of LRPs sequesters axin and prevents degradation of β-caten. Accumulating β-caten translocates to the nucleus, where it co-activates Drosophila T cell factor (dTCF)-mediated transcription of cell growth genes.

| e | Several immunity-related cell surface receptors, including Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) and tumour necrosis factor receptor (TNFR), activate the canonical nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) pathway when bound to their respective ligands. TLR4 activation leads to phosphorylation and recruitment of interleukin-1 receptor-associated kinase 1 (IKAR1) to the adaptor protein myeloid differentiation primary response protein MYPD88. A complex containing the E3 ubiquitin kinase TNF receptor-associated factor 6 (TRA6) forms, which generates a scaffold for the polyubiquitin-binding NF-κB essential modulator (NEMO) of inhibitors of NF-κB (IκK). Orphan nuclear receptor TAK1 (also known as NR2C2) activates IKK, which then phosphorylates the inhibitory subunit (IκB) and targets it for polyubiquitylation and proteasomal degradation. A conformational change between the NF-κB subunits p50 and p65 allows activating phosphorylation and translocation to the nucleus, where it induces expression of inflammatory and pro-survival genes. BCR, B cell receptor; E5, E6, E7, early proteins 5, 6 and 7; EBV, Epstein–Barr virus; ERK1, extracellular-signal-regulated kinase 1; HBSAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HBX, HBV protein; HPV, human papilloma virus; HTLV-1, human T-lymphotropic virus 1; JNK, JUN N-terminal kinase; KSHV, Kaposi sarcoma-associated virus; LANa, latency-associated nuclear antigen; LMP, latent membrane protein; MCFV, Merkel cell polyomavirus; MEK, MAPK/ERK kinase; MKK, mitogen-activated-protein kinase kinase; RAC, RAC proto-oncogene serine/threonine-protein kinase; RANK, receptor activator of NF-κB (also known as TNFRSF11A); RTA, replication and transcription activator; st, small tumour antigen; Tax, transactivator from X-gene region; TCR, T cell receptor; vFLIP, viral FLICE inhibitory protein; vGPCR, viral G protein-coupled receptor.

are targeted by β-genus HPV E6 proteins to repress Notch transcriptional activation11 (Fig. 1c). E6 proteins of other cutaneous HPVs, such as HPV-8, use a similar strategy to suppress Notch-dependent transcription of the HES1 transcriptional repressor35,46, halting keratinocyte differentiation, a disruption that has been linked to the function of HPV in promoting cell proliferation and oncogenesis45,46. EBV also interferes with Notch signalling to provide a cellular environment for long-term infection45. Epstein–Barr nuclear antigen 2 (EBNA2) and activated Notch both compete for recombining binding protein suppressor of hairless (RBP-Jk)44, and therefore, activated Notch limits EBNA2-mediated transcription of EBV genes involved in the transformation of infected B cells. Constitutive Notch signalling in the lymphoid microenvironment may lead to EBV latency by downregulating the transcription-promoting function of EBNA242.

HBV and KSHV also activate Notch signalling. The HBV HBx protein stimulates the expression of neurogenic locus Notch homologue protein 1 (NOTCH1), which promotes the proliferation of HCC cells and may thus contribute to the oncogenic mechanism of HBV-associated HCC11 (Fig. 1c). Elevated levels of activated Notch proteins are frequently observed in KSHV-associated Kaposi sarcoma lesions59. KSHV proteins, including viral FLICE inhibitory protein (vFLIP), vGPCR, latency-associated nuclear antigen (LANA), replication and transcription activator (RTA) and viral interleukin-6 (vIL-6), can induce the expression of core Notch receptors and ligands that activate the pathway51 (Fig. 1c). Stimulation of Notch signalling by these viral proteins appears to suppress the expression of cell cycle-associated genes in neighbouring uninfected cells, inhibiting their proliferation and potentially providing a growth and survival advantage to infected cells during Kaposi sarcoma pathogenesis51. Notch pathway activation induced by vFLIP and vGPCR also results in transcriptional reprogramming of the infected lymphatic endothelial cells to mesenchymal cells through a process called endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition. The growth and migration of infected cells promote viral spread and contribute to Kaposi sarcoma invasiveness52. KSHV LANA competitively inhibits the interaction between the intracellular domain of NOTCH1 (ICN) and an E3 ubiquitin ligase, F-box/WD repeat-containing protein 7 (SEL10; also known as FBXW7), thereby preventing proteasomal degradation of ICN51 (Fig. 1c). Stabilized ICN in turn functions as a proto-oncogene and stimulates the proliferation of KSHV-infected tumour cells, thus promoting virus-mediated transformation53 (Fig. 1c). The observation that positive and negative regulation of Notch signalling can both contribute to viral oncogenesis indicates that transformation depends on the context of the cellular environment and the infected cell type.

WNT/β-catenin signalling. The WNT/β-catenin signalling pathway regulates diverse physiological processes, such as growth control, stem cell renewal, embryonic development and tissue differentiation54 (BOX 2). Hyperactivation of the downstream
transcription targets of WNT/β-catenin signalling can contribute to many growth-related pathologies, including cancer.

Viral oncoproteins modulate the WNT/β-catenin pathway and contribute to carcinogenesis (Fig. 1a). For example, both KSHV LANA and EBV LMP2A proteins can stabilize β-catenin.\(^{5,37}\) (Fig. 1b), which then upregulates downstream genes, such as CCND1 and MYC, to increase cell proliferation and promote tumorigenesis.\(^6\) EBV encodes multiple proteins, including HBx and hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg), that aberrantly activate WNT/β-catenin signalling.\(^7\) (Fig. 1c). HBx and HBsAg silence antagonists of the pathway or upregulate and stabilize its key components such as β-catenin. Together, these activities stimulate abnormal transcription of target genes that drive cell proliferation, which ultimately contributes to HCC development.\(^8\) Similarly, continual expression of HTLV-1 HBZ in HTLV-1-induced adult T cell leukaemia cells dysregulates the WNT signalling pathway to promote migration and proliferation.\(^9\)

The role of WNT/β-catenin in other viral cancers is less clear, though its function in oncogenic virus infection may provide important clues. For example, activation of the pathway stimulates MCPyV infection.\(^10\) Induction of downstream matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) genes contributes to MCPyV infection by disrupting the extracellular matrix of the host cells.\(^11\) Skin damage induced by ultraviolet light and ionizing radiation, wounding or ageing processes can lead to the activation of WNT/β-catenin signalling and the expression of MMPs. This suggests that these major risk factors for MCPyV-associated MCC stimulate viral infection and thus promote tumour development through MMP induction.\(^12\)

**NF-κB signalling.** Activation of the nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) pathway by pathogens and inflammatory cytokines leads to the induction of genes involved in diverse cellular processes, particularly the innate immune and inflammatory responses.\(^13\) (BOX 2). Activation of NF-κB and downstream target genes in chronic infection and inflammation also promotes cancer progression by stimulating cell proliferation, inhibiting apoptosis and enhancing invasiveness.\(^14\) NF-κB activation is part of an appropriate response to acute viral infection, but viruses that establish infections in adaptive immune cells can utilize constitutive NF-κB activation to expand their host environment (Fig. 1d). For instance, the EBV oncoprotein LMP1 drives the development of lymphomas by activating NF-κB downstream target genes.\(^15\) It does so by mimicking constitutively activated host tumour necrosis factor receptor (TNFR) and engaging interleukin-1 receptor-associated kinase 1 (IRAK1) and TNF receptor-associated factor 6 (TRAF6), the upstream signal transducers of the NF-κB pathway.\(^16\) This LMP1-induced NF-κB activation promotes the proliferation and survival of infected B cells.\(^17\)

NF-κB is also constitutively activated in the majority of KSHV-induced primary effusion lymphoma (PEL) cells.\(^18\) In these cells, the KSHV vFLIP protein activates the NF-κB pathway by associating directly with an inhibitor of NF-κB (IKK) complex component, inducing a conformational change that renders it constitutively active.\(^19,20\) (Fig. 1e). In transgenic mice that express KSHV vFLIP, vFLIP-activated NF-κB contributes to enhanced proliferation of lymphocytes and an increased incidence of lymphoma.\(^21\) Likewise, the HTLV-1 Tax protein is considered the primary factor by which this virus transforms T cells, and part of its function involves activating NF-κB.\(^22\) (Fig. 1f).

The activation of NF-κB highlights the apparently conflicting roles of inflammation in infection and cancer. NF-κB-mediated inflammation is crucial for proper innate immune responses to acute infection or damaged cells, but also mediates pathology (for example, pain, tissue damage or swelling, and immunosuppression) and cancer progression. The specific situations in which oncogenic viruses evade or induce inflammation can inform our understanding of immunity and disease.

**Exploiting the host DNA damage response**

The host DNA damage response (DDR) system is a complex network of signalling pathways that collectively monitor and repair DNA damage that results from DNA replication, cellular metabolism and exogenous insults, such as radiation and viral infection.\(^23\) Stimulation of the major components of the DDR signalling network, such as ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) and ataxia telangiectasia and Rad3-related protein (ATR) kinases, can induce a cascade of phosphorylation events that activate downstream effectors (for example, p53) to stall cell cycle progression at checkpoints. Cell cycle checkpoints allow time to repair damaged DNA or induce senescence or apoptosis.\(^24,25\) Cells with disrupted DNA damage recognition and repair systems can accumulate genetic mutations that enhance cell survival and proliferation. Failure to control these populations of cells can ultimately lead to cancer.

Viruses often elicit host DDRs; however, they have evolved mechanisms to undermine these responses and manipulate them to their advantage.\(^26,27\) (Fig. 2). In the process of engaging the DDR machinery, some viruses optimize the cellular environment for their replication by promoting progression to the S phase and inhibiting apoptosis.\(^28,29\) In addition, DNA viruses such as HPV and MCPyV activate ATM-related and ATR-related DDR factors and recruit them to viral DNA replication foci, promoting viral DNA replication.\(^26,28,29\)

The persistent engagement of DDR factors and enforcement of a replicative state by oncogenic viruses results in genomic instability.\(^30\) (Fig. 2). Generally, oncogenic virus infection increases the rate of DNA breaks while depleting host factors that maintain genome integrity.\(^31\) Compromised sensing, signalling or repair of damaged DNA may allow cells to acquire mutations that overcome tumour suppressor barriers during oncogenic progression.\(^32\)

Genomic instability is frequently observed in high-risk HPV-associated cervical neoplasias and is caused by HPV oncoproteins E6 and E7, which induce DNA damage, mitotic defects and centrosome-related mitotic defects.\(^33\) (Fig. 2). High-risk HPV oncoproteins also hinder DNA repair and destabilize the cellular genome.\(^34\) By reducing genomic fidelity as cells divide, these viral oncoproteins increase the chances of...
acquiring additional genetic changes that may contribute to HPV-associated carcinogenesis. Replication stress, nucleotide deficiency and the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) during viral infection can also contribute to genomic instability and oncogenesis. For instance, EBNA1 can increase the transcription of NADPH oxidase to induce ROS production, leading to host DNA damage and chromosomal aberrations that contribute to EBV-associated malignancy. During persistent HCV infection, chronically activated inflammatory cells release ROS, which can cause oxidative DNA damage and promote a pro-carcinogenic microenvironment that drives HCC development.

Although manipulation of the cell cycle and DDR factors can promote a fragile genomic state, appropriate activation of DDRs to viral stressors remains a major barrier for progression to cancer. For example, the metabolic and genotoxic stress that is induced by EBV can trigger cellular senescence. EBV infection of primary human B cells induces transient hyper-proliferation that activates the ATM–checkpoint kinase 2 (CHK2; also known as CHEK2) DDR pathway, which subsequently suppresses the growth of infected cells. Abrogation of ATM and CHK2 kinase activity, however, results in B cell transformation.

MCPyV expresses large T antigen carrying carboxy-terminal origin-binding and helicase domains that cause damage to DNA, stimulate host DDRs and activate the p53 pathway to inhibit cellular proliferation. Unlike MCPyV large T antigen expressed in persistent infection, MCPyV proviruses integrated in malignant MCC cells encode large T antigen truncation mutants that almost invariably delete this DDR-activating domain but retain the amino-terminal pRB-inhibiting motif. This observation supports the notion that DDRs are an effective barrier to malignant progression, but oncogenic viruses make these defences vulnerable.

As a retrovirus, HTLV-1 undermines genomic integrity as part of its life cycle. HTLV-1 DNA integration into T cell genomes induces a lengthy latency period, in which a polyclonal expansion of the infected cells progresses to an aggressive monoclonal leukaemia in ~5% of infected individuals. HTLV-1 proviruses preferentially integrate in the vicinity of tumour suppressor genes, which are consequently disrupted by provirus-dependent transcription termination or viral antisense RNA-dependent cis-perturbation. The same integration pattern was observed in cells at asymptomatic stages as in leukaemia or lymphoma cells, suggesting that provirus-dependent gene perturbations trigger initial polyclonal expansion of the infected clones at non-malignant stages. Expression of HTLV-1 Tax protein induces further DNA damage and genomic instability by inhibiting DNA repair pathways and causing DNA repair infidelity, allowing the accumulation of somatic mutations in clones that ultimately progress to malignancy (FIG. 2).

Whereas the aforementioned viruses promote the accumulation of mutations indirectly, HCV, an oncogenic RNA virus with no apparent oncogenes, directly induces a mutator phenotype. In B cells, HCV infection induces somatic hypermutations in tumour suppressors and proto-oncogenes, such as p53 and β-catenin. RNAi and antisense targeting experiments revealed that the high mutation frequency in HCV infection is
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**Fig. 2** Viral oncoproteins and DNA damage responses influence the fate of the host cell. The schematic depicts changes to the cellular environment as a result of oncogenic virus infection. Red ellipses represent stages of the life cycle that are shared by oncogenic viruses; red boxes represent effects caused by the indicated viral effector. Blue ellipses represent the immediate changes to the cellular environment resulting from virus infection; blue boxes represent subsequent effects on the cell; blue boxes with white text are the possible fates of the infected cell. Arrows signify that the factor or status promotes the effect it points to, whereas blocking arrows signify inhibition. For example, genomic instability and viral genome replication can both induce DNA damage responses, which in turn support or hinder viral replication, depending on the viral infection context. Successful viruses avoid abortive fates (virion with a line through it), such as programmed cell death or cancer, to persist and infect new hosts. AID, activation-induced cytidine deaminase; ATM, ataxia telangiectasia mutated; CHK2, checkpoint kinase 2; E6 and E7, early proteins 6 and 7; EBNA1, Epstein–Barr virus nuclear antigen 1; EBV, Epstein–Barr virus; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; HPV, human papillomavirus; HTLV-1, human T-lymphotropic virus 1; MCPyV, Merkel cell polyomavirus; p53, cellular tumour antigen p53; pol, polymerase; pRB, retinoblastoma protein; SMC5/6, structural maintenance of chromosomes complex 5/6.
caused by the increased expression of error-prone DNA polymerases and activation-induced cytidine deaminase (AID), which cause the hypermutation of cellular genes\(^{30}\) (Fig. 2). Mutations in the tumour suppressors and proto-oncogenes were amplified and selected for in HCC-associated lymphomas and HCCs but not in similar neoplasias originating from other causes\(^{30}\). Although HCC-related mutations contribute to the development of HCC, HCV RNA is not found in most of the virus-induced HCC cells, suggesting a ‘hit and run’ oncogenic mechanism\(^{30}\) (Fig. 2).

In contrast to the mutator phenotype that is induced by HCV\(^ {30}\), HBV engages host DDR pathways in a different manner\(^ {32,33}\). The viral protein HBx induces the degradation of the structural maintenance of chromosomes complex 5/6 (SMC5/6), which is a host DNA damage repair regulator that normally binds extrachromosomal HBV genomes to repress viral transcription\(^ {11,12}\) (Fig. 2). In doing so, HBx derepresses transcriptional inhibition, allowing productive viral gene expression and replication\(^ {11,12}\).

RNA and DNA oncogenic viruses elicit widespread changes to the cellular environment that support the viral infection cycle. This induces both direct and indirect stresses on the integrity of the host genome and the pathways governing cell fate. By repurposing and underminding the mechanisms that protect the host cell from cellular transformation, oncogenic viruses establish a precarious balance between the ideal environment for viral proliferation and termination through cell death or transformation.

### Manipulation of host immune responses

Oncogenic viruses interface with host immune systems throughout persistent infections. Epidemiological evidence suggests that their mechanisms to evade detection and elimination are adapted to deal with the constant pressure from the host. Oncogenic viruses maintain persistent infections in immune-competent hosts with few symptoms and are more likely to induce malignancies in immunocompromised individuals\(^ {15,19,32}\). Generally, viruses evade to evade intrinsic restriction, avoid inflammatory responses and prevent targeted killing of their host cells\(^ {41}\). Unique immune evasion strategies are used for distinct phases, such as the latent and lytic stages of the viral life cycle. Emerging evidence suggests that viral subversion of immunity potentiates cancer because the same immunomodulatory tactics directed at evading detection or expanding virus number can also prevent adequate surveillance of transformed cells or increase cellular proliferation (Fig. 3).

To initiate a response to infection or to aberrant cells, the host must first sense something atypical to healthy cellular function. Cytosolic DNA represents a danger signal for the cell, whether it originates endogenously or from an invading DNA virus. As DNA is normally compartmentalized within the nucleus and mitochondria, loss of organelle or genomic integrity or the presence of foreign DNA is an ideal signal to trigger an immune response. Cyclic GMP–AMP synthase (cGAS) is a cytosolic DNA sensor that synthesizes a soluble cyclic dinucleotide (cyclic GMP–AMP (cGAMP)) when bound to duplex DNA. cGAMP, in addition to second messengers released by intracellular bacteria, activates endoplasmic reticulum-resident stimulator of interferon genes protein (STING). STING and downstream Janus kinase (JAK)–signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) signalling activate interferon–dependent antiviral programmes\(^ {95}\) (Fig. 3). Oncogenic DNA viruses antagonize the cGAS–STING pathway to avoid interferon-mediated restriction (Fig. 3). KSHV evolved multiple effectors that inhibit this pathway, including ORF52, LANA and viral interferon regulatory factor 1 (vIRF1)\(^ {96–98}\). ORF52 directly binds cGAS and inhibits its enzymatic activity\(^ {96}\). LANA, especially its cytoplasmic isoform, also directly associates with cGAS to antagonize the activation of its downstream components\(^ {96}\), vIRF1 blocks the interaction between STING and its upstream serine/threonine-protein kinase TBK1, thus preventing STING phosphorylation and activation of downstream signalling\(^ {96}\). Inhibition of cGAS–STING by these KSHV oncoproteins contributes not only to the establishment of a latent infection but also to reactivation from latency\(^ {96–98}\), which is crucial for both disseminating infectious virus and potentiating tumour growth\(^ {96–98}\).

Blockade of the cGAS–STING axis could be a general feature used by oncogenic viruses to overcome antiviral immune defences (Fig. 3). For instance, HPV E7 binds STING to inhibit downstream signalling and interferon-β (IFNβ) production in tumour cells\(^ {100}\). In addition, HTLV-1 oncoprotein Tax suppresses the cGAS–STING pathway to inhibit IRF3 phosphorylation and type I interferon production\(^ {101}\). Likewise, HBV polymerase interacts directly with STING to abrogate downstream IRF3 activation\(^ {100}\). HCV non-structural protein 4B (NS4B) inhibits this virus-induced interferon signalling pathway by directly interacting with STING to block its interaction with mitochondrial antiviral-signalling protein (MAVS), a member of the retinoic acid-inducible gene-I (RIG-I) viral RNA sensing pathway\(^ {102}\). Growing evidence in cancer research suggests that the cGAS–STING pathway is a crucial early detection system for cells that have sustained substantial DNA damage. Cells with unresolved DNA breaks may leak chromosomal DNA into the cytoplasm or exhibit ruptured micro-nuclei that recruit and activate cGAS\(^ {104,105}\). Given the importance of this pathway in defence against cancer, it is possible that inhibition of cGAS–STING compromises an early barrier to viral oncogenesis.

Viral immune evasion extends to other sensory pathways (Fig. 3). HBV polymerase and HBx proteins can abolish interferon production through RIG-I and Toll-like receptor 3 (TLR3), thus blocking IRF3 activation\(^ {106,107}\). KSHV also blocks inflammasome activation, which normally facilitates inflammatory cell death programmes and the transition from innate to adaptive response to intracellular pathogens or cell damage. KSHV ORF63 is a viral homologue of human NOD-, LRR- and pyrin domain-containing 1 (NLRP1), a cytosolic sensor that activates the inflammasome in response to infections\(^ {106}\). ORF63 binds NLRP1 and inhibits downstream inflammasome-dependent inflammatory cytokine production, contributing to chronic infection\(^ {106}\).  
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**CHK2** (Checkpoint kinase 2). A tumour suppressor kinase activated by ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) in response to double-stranded breaks in DNA that maintains genomic integrity by mediating cell cycle arrest and DNA repair.

**Second messengers** Soluble small molecules that transduce intracellular signals, which can be secreted by intracellular bacteria to coordinate responses to their environment.

**Inflammasome** A cytosolic complex of NOD-, LRR- and pyrin domain-containing proteins (NLRPs), adaptor proteins and caspases that forms in response to cellular damage or bacterial effectors that cause rapid caspase-mediated inflammatory cytokine release and/or a type of lytic cell death called pyroptosis.
Fig. 3 | Modulation of host immune responses by oncogenic viruses. Proteins encoded by oncogenic viruses can target the host immune response (blue boxes with white text), including sensing of pathogen-associated molecular patterns, immune gene expression profiles and intercellular signalling. Arrows indicate activation, whereas blocking arrows indicate inhibition. Viral DNA and RNA structures are detected by pattern recognition receptors (blue ellipses), including cyclic GMP–AMP synthase (cGAS), retinoic acid-inducible gene I (RIG-I) and endosomal Toll-like receptors (TLRs). Activation is transduced through intermediates or adaptors (purple ellipses), such as stimulator of interferon genes protein (STING), mitochondrial antiviral-signalling protein (MAVS), TIR domain-containing adaptor molecule 1 (TRIF; also known as TICAM1) and myeloid differentiation primary response 88 (MYD88). Activated transcription factors, such as interferon regulatory factors (IRFs) and nuclear factor-xB (NF-xB), upregulate expression of immune genes (yellow box). Alternatively, inflammasome activation by NOD-, LRR- and pyrin domains-containing 3 (NLRP3) can mediate proteolytic activation of inflammatory cytokines and inflammatory cell death in response to bacterial effectors or cell damage signals. Oncogenic viruses undermine inflammatory responses at the level of pathogen sensing and signal transduction (red boxes). They also limit recruitment of leukocytes to infected cells by reducing immune modulator intercellular adhesion molecule (ICAM) expression and downregulating the display of viral peptides on major histocompatibility complex I (MHC-I). Oncogenic viruses that infect adaptive immune cells can induce or simulate pro-expansion signals and promote a state that is unresponsive to antigen and endogenous cytokines (green boxes). BCR, B cell receptor; E7, early protein 7; gp80, glycoprotein 80; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HBx, HBV-X protein; HBZ, HTLV-1 basic zipper factor; HCV, hepatitis C virus; HPV, human papilloma virus; HTLV-1, human T-lymphotropic virus 1; KSHV, Kaposi sarcoma-associated virus; LANA, latency-associated nuclear antigen; LMP, latent membrane protein; NS4B, non-structural protein 4B; pol, polymerase; TIGIT, T cell immunoglobulin and ITIM domain; vIL-6, viral interleukin-6; vIRF1–4, viral interferon regulatory factors 1–4.
Downstream of intracellular threat detection, a compromised cell may activate transcriptional programmes to suppress its growth and survival. Oncogenic viruses express effectors that counteract the anti-proliferative immune response and serve as key drivers of their oncogenic potential. This host–pathogen relationship is typified in KSHV-infected cells. KSHV encodes four homologues of cellular IRFs that mediate broad protection against viral infection and aberrant cellular proliferation\(^\text{109}^\) (FIG. 3). By dimerizing with cellular IRFs and other transcription factors, KSHV vIRFs repress the immune response to infection (by downregulating interferon signalling) and dysregulate cell growth control (by targeting the NF-κB, MYC and p53 pathways)\(^\text{109}^\). KSHV infection may still induce interferon despite vIRF competitive binding, resulting in p21-mediated cell cycle arrest\(^\text{110}^\). To overcome the growth-limiting effect of interferon, the virus activates an alternative transcriptional programme that allows only vIL-6 expression in response to interferon stimulation\(^\text{111}^\) (FIG. 3). Human IL-6 (hIL-6) normally binds to its receptor membrane glycoprotein 80 (gp80; also known as IL-6R), which forms a functional complex with the transmembrane transducer membrane glycoprotein 130 (gp130; also known as IL6RB) to activate transcription of genes that control cell proliferation\(^\text{111}^\). IFNα was found to specifically downregulate gp80, but this has no effect on gp130 expression\(^\text{110}^\). Unlike hIL-6, vIL-6 can bypass the interferon–gp80 autoregulatory checkpoint by directly binding to and activating gp130, establishing an autocrine feedback circuit to overcome interferon-induced growth inhibition\(^\text{111}^\). KSHV thus provides an example of how oncogenic viruses may subvert innate immunity at the level of transcription for optimal viral propagation.

In addition to cell intrinsic changes, oncogenic viruses also modulate interactions between infected cells and immune cells. Evasion of extrinsic cellular responses can contribute to the pathological expansion of host cells by limiting normal immune clearance (FIG. 3). For instance, many oncogenic viruses have evolved strategies to downregulate major histocompatibility complex class I (MHC-I), which presents peptides derived from intracellular proteins to CD8+ T cells for targeted cell killing\(^\text{112}^\). Viral proteins inhibit MHC-I function by interfering with the synthesis, translocation or assembly of MHC I molecules\(^\text{113}^\). In addition, KSHV K3 and K5 proteins downregulate cell surface MHC-I display by promoting endocytosis and endolysosomal degradation of class I chains\(^\text{114–116}^\).

HTLV-1 manipulates immune cell interactions through a unique set of strategies that have been explored in greater detail (FIG. 3). HTLV-1 p12 downregulates immune modulator intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM1), ICAM2 and MHC-I on the cell surface, allowing infected cells to escape killing by natural killer cells and cytotoxic T cells\(^\text{117}^\). HTLV-1 p8 downregulates T cell signalling to induce T cell anergy. At the same time, p8 induces the formation of plasma membrane conduits between infected and uninfected T cells, enabling spread without the virion entering the extracellular space\(^\text{118}^\). HTLV-1 HBZ enhances the immunosuppressive state by upregulating the expression of a T cell co-inhibitory molecule, T cell immunoreceptor with Ig and ITIM domains (TIGIT), in infected CD4+ T cells\(^\text{119}^\). TIGIT activity attenuates T cell responses to another HTLV-1 virus antigen, Tax\(^\text{120}^\). Together, HTLV-1 accessory proteins shape the microenvironment of adaptive and innate immune cell interactions, allowing the virus to escape host immune recognition and achieve efficient propagation.

Similar to HTLV-1 modulation of T cells, EBV exploits the ability of B cells to expand and disseminate continuously in order to propagate and avoid detection\(^\text{120,121}^\) (FIG. 5). LMP1, a key viral protein for EBV-driven human B cell transformation, shares functions with the constitutively active B cell co-stimulatory receptor CD40, and signals through common downstream pathways, such as JUN N-terminal kinase (JNK), ERK, p38 and NF-κB, to promote B cell survival and proliferation\(^\text{122}^\). EBV LMP2A mimics constitutively activated B cell receptors to stimulate B cell proliferation and associated pathogenesis\(^\text{123,124}^\). By augmenting the natural propensity of B cells to be long-lived, invasive and self-renewing, EBV drives infected populations to a state conducive to malignant lymphoproliferation\(^\text{125–127}^\). The fact that EBV causes solid tumours in addition to lymphomas highlights its capacity to evade detection and promote cellular expansion in different cellular environments.

Cellular immune responses to intracellular pathogens are often similar to responses to nascent transformation, including detection of abnormal molecular signals, cell cycle arrest, cytokine release, inflammation and directed killing of affected cells. Oncogenic viruses employ related strategies to undermine these processes. By enhancing cell survival and proliferation while blocking extrinsic immune destruction, they establish and maintain an optimal environment for viral persistence. In this way, virus immune evasion can contribute to tumorigenesis and associated pathologies. These observations provide support for the anti-virus hypothesis, which suggests that, when disabling host antiviral defences, oncogenic viruses incidentally drive infected cells towards cancer\(^\text{128}^\).

**Conclusions and outlook**

Viruses have evolved an array of tactics to exploit and subvert the host cellular machinery for propagation. In parallel, their hosts evolved mechanisms to maintain the integrity of the cellular environment and perform life-sustaining functions for the organism. As discussed in this Review, the fate of both host and pathogen is decided by the extent to which either one controls growth signalling pathways, genome maintenance machinery and immune surveillance. During persistent and asymptomatic infections of many oncogenic viruses, an equilibrium between these conflicting interests can be achieved. However, cumulative or chance events during infection and outside forces causing immune suppression or DNA damage can disrupt the fragile balance. In these instances, viral strategies that normally support infection instead drive uncontrolled cellular proliferation, accumulation of mutations and evasion of antiviral immunity. Understanding these mechanisms and
the contexts in which they promote tumorigenesis is essential to preventing and treating viral cancers.

Oncogenic viruses have been instrumental in divulging key features of normal cellular function and pathology. Recent advances suggest that they remain an effective tool for conducting and guiding basic research. For instance, oncogenic viruses have made it apparent that cellular processes, once thought discrete, are intertwined. It has been proposed that there is overlap between the tumour suppressor and innate immune signalling pathways because both of these pathways can initiate cell cycle arrest and induce host cell death during infection. It was further suggested that, by targeting key cellular components that are at the interface of these signalling pathways, oncogenic viruses disable both the host antiviral and anticancer mechanisms, priming the infected cells for cancerous transformation. Innate immune responses to intracellular pathogens double as early tumour suppressor measures, supporting the notion that viral oncogenesis is a product of immune evasion mechanisms. Given that inflammation drives later stages of malignant disease, understanding how and when viral factors engage innate responses may clarify this complicated aspect of cancer. Recent oncolytic virus research has also revealed that double-stranded DNA introduced by viral infection and DNA damage generated during viral proliferation can stimulate innate immune DNA sensing pathways, leading to the production of cytokines that have both antiviral and antitumour function. It will be particularly exciting to understand how DDRs coordinate with antiviral and antitumour immune signalling pathways throughout oncogenic progression and in the context of viral manipulation.

The seven viruses known to cause cancer in humans employ divergent replication and transmission strategies. Despite their differences, they are all highly adapted to maintain chronic infections in humans. Adaptation to coexist with a single host for prolonged periods requires continuous manipulation of immunity and cell fate decisions. Viruses that cause acute pathology or self-limiting infections, however, do not persist long enough to inflict changes necessary for metastatic disease. Although oncogenic viruses have evolved to persist in their host for years, they are still under selective pressure to propagate to new hosts. The success of this propagation depends on avoiding a terminal fate such as cancer. This helps explain why oncogenic viruses do not cause cancer during most infections and only do so after many years. During years of limited pathology, when the host depends on avoiding a terminal fate such as cancer. This helps explain why oncogenic viruses do not cause cancer during most infections and only do so after many years. During years of limited pathology, when the host factors enabling coexistence shift drastically, viral strategies influencing cellular growth and survival can lead to neoplasms. Central to future discussions will be how immune suppression disrupts the interplay between host and pathogen to result in cancer. It may be that inadequate immune surveillance allows unchecked viral replication and expression of viral effectors that dysregulate host cell proliferation. Because immunity to tumours overlaps that of viruses, it may also be that healthy
immune systems typically eliminate nascent transformed cells but may fail to do so once compromised.

Each cancer is multifactorial in terms of initiation and progression, making them challenging to treat. Thus, a logical approach to prevent or treat cancers of a viral aetiology is to target the virus. This principle has been given credence by successes in the clinic that have drastically reduced the burden of viral cancers. Innovations in antiviral therapy against the HCV RNA-dependent RNA polymerase have greatly reduced drug toxicity and continue to be effective at clearing HCV infections and preventing HCC[30]. Vaccinations against HPV and HBV have effectively reduced the incidence of their associated cancers in populations for whom the vaccines are accessible. Beyond preventive measures, reinstating immune activity in ‘cold’ viral tumours (that is, tumours that elicit little to no immune response) has proved to be an effective strategy. A general activator of T cell killing, anti-PD1–PD-L1 immune checkpoint blockade in individuals with MCPyV+ MCC improves their survival[31]. Application of this exciting new therapy in MCC and other viral tumours supports the idea that viral factors may dampen immune responses in the tumour microenvironment. If targeted chemotherapies or immunotherapies were developed with specificity to the oncogenic or immune repressive mechanisms induced by viruses, even better clinical outcomes could be expected.

Pursuing novel therapeutics for viral cancers and basic research on virus–host interactions has recently become more practical owing to advances in omics technologies[32]. For example, deep sequencing and gene expression profiling led to the discovery of MCPyV[33] and a better understanding of how the microRNA milieu is affected by oncogenic viruses during oncogenesis (BOX 3). The combination of high-throughput technologies and big data platforms allows investigators to decipher viral oncogenic mechanisms with the speed and efficiency of omics-level computational biology. These systems-level studies will reveal novel drug targets to advance the development of innovative intervention strategies for viral malignancy and will help resolve the dynamics between host and pathogen during infection and oncogenesis.
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