
Integrazione non coerente Quadratica e Binaria per bersagli fluttuanti

Pierfrancesco Lombardo

Formula empirica di Shnidman (I)

Prestazioni per l'Integrazione non coerente quadratica

- Shnidman has developed a set of empirical formulae that are quite accurate for most 1st order radar systems calculations:

$$K = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{Non-fluctuating target ("Swerling 0 / 5")} \\ 1, & \text{Swerling Case 1} \\ N, & \text{Swerling Case 2} \\ 2, & \text{Swerling Case 3} \\ 2N & \text{Swerling Case 4} \end{cases}$$

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} 0 & N \leq 40 \\ \frac{1}{4} & N > 40 \end{cases}$$

$$\eta = \sqrt{-0.8 \ln(4 P_{FA} (1 - P_{FA}))} + \text{sign}(P_D - 0.5) \sqrt{-0.8 \ln(4 P_D (1 - P_D))}$$

Formula empirica di Shnidman (II)

$$X_{\infty} = \eta \left(\eta + 2 \sqrt{\frac{N}{2}} + \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{4} \right) \right)$$

$$C_1 = \left(\left((17.7006 P_D - 18.4496) P_D + 14.5339 \right) P_D - 3.525 \right) / K$$


$$C_2 = \frac{1}{K} \left(e^{27.31 P_D - 25.14} + (P_D - 0.8) \left(0.7 \ln \left(\frac{10^{-5}}{P_{FA}} \right) + \frac{(2N - 20)}{80} \right) \right)$$

$$C_{dB} = \begin{cases} C_1 & 0.1 \leq P_D \leq 0.872 \\ C_1 + C_2 & 0.872 \leq P_D \leq 0.99 \end{cases} \quad C = 10^{\frac{C_{dB}}{10}}$$

$$\text{SNR(natural units)} = \frac{C X_{\infty}}{N} \quad \text{SNR(dB)} = 10 \log_{10}(\text{SNR})$$

Integrazione Quadratica - bersagli fluttuanti (I)

Plots of S/N vs. Number of Pulses and Swerling Type




Integrazione Quadratica - bersagli fluttuanti (II)

Noncoherent-Integration Features

- Advantages Of Noncoherent Integration
 - Easier To Implement Than Coherent Integration
 - Requires Much Less Accuracy In Knowledge Of Radial Velocity
 - Can Provide Better Performance Against Fluctuating Targets
- For Correlated Target Signals (Swerling 1,3 and 5)
 - S/N Decreases More Slowly Than $1 / n$
 - More Energy Needed Than With a Single Pulse or Coherent Integration
- For Fluctuating Target Signals (Swerling 2 and 4)
 - S/N Initially Decreases More Rapidly Than $1 / n$
 - Produces Values of n Where NCI Requires Less Energy Than a Single Pulse or Coherent Integration

Integrazione Quadratica - bersagli fluttuanti (III)

Plots of n S/N vs. Number of Pulses NCI




Integrazione Quadratica - bersagli fluttuanti (IV)

NCI Detection Examples

P_D	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99
P_{FA}	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁶
n	1	10	1,000	100 x 10*
Swerling	2	2	2	1 / 2
Pulse S/N	31.4 dB	8.4 dB	-6.1 dB	-11.6 dB
Relative Energy	1.0	0.05	0.18	0.05

* 10 Groups of 100 Coherently-integrated Pulses

Integrazione Quadratica - bersagli fluttuanti (V)




Portata radar

definita su σ_{av} , tenendo conto delle fluttuazioni e del guadagno di integrazione



$$R_{\max} = \left[\frac{E_t G A_e \sigma_{av}}{(4\pi)^2 L k T_0 F SNR^* L_f / \gamma} \right]^{1/4}$$

Integrazione incoerente



Sistemi Radar


Integrazione Binaria - bersagli fluttuanti (VI)

Cumulative Detection (CD) Probability

- Cumulative Detection Involves
 - Transmitting and Receiving a Series of n Pulses
 - Detection Is Declared If at Least One Return Exceeds the Threshold
 - Special Case of m Out of n Detection (Binary Integration)
- False-Alarm Probability for Each Observation, P_{FAO} , adjusted
 - $P_{FAO} = P_{FA} / n$
- Single-observation Detection Probability, P_{DO} , Given by
 - $P_{DO} = 1 - (1 - P_D)^{1/n}$
 - Calculated Using Single-pulse or Integrated Dwell Techniques
- Overall P_D given by
 - $P_D = 1 - (1 - P_{DO})^n$

Integrazione Binaria - bersagli fluttuanti (VII)

Plots of S/N vs. Number of CD Observations



Sistemi Radar


Integrazione Binaria - bersagli fluttuanti (VIII)

Cumulative Detection Features

- Advantages of Cumulative Detection
 - Easy to Implement
 - Range-walk Compensation Not Needed
 - Can Provide Good Performance Against Fluctuating Targets
- For Fluctuating Target Signals (Swerling 2 and 4)
 - S/N Initially Decreases More Rapidly Than $1 / n$
 - Produces Values of n Where CD Requires Less Energy Than a Single Pulse or Coherent Integration
- Not Effective Against Non-fluctuating Targets
 - With Correlated Returns, All Will Likely Be Either Detected or Not Detected
 - Threshold Increase Increases S/N Needed

Integrazione Binaria - bersagli fluttuanti (IX)

Plots of n S/N vs. Number of CD Observations



Integrazione Binaria - bersagli fluttuanti (X)

Cumulative-Detection

P_D	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99
P_{FA}	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁶
n	1	10	1,000	100 x 10*
Swerling	2	2	2	1 / 2
Pulse S/N	31.4 dB	11.8 dB	4.6 dB	-8.2 dB
Relative Energy	1.0	0.11	2.09	0.11

* 10 Groups of 100 Coherently-integrated Pulses

Integrazione Binaria - bersagli fluttuanti (XI)

Detection Comparison

	SP	CI	NCI	CD
P_D	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99
P_{FA}	10^{-6}	10^{-6}	10^{-6}	10^{-6}
n	1	10	10	10
Swerling	1 or 2	1	2	2
Pulse S/N	31.4 dB	21.4 dB	8.4 dB	11.8 dB
Relative Energy	1.0	1.0	0.05	0.11