Filamentous plant pathogen effectors in action

Martha C. Giraldo and Barbara Valent

Abstract | Live-cell imaging assisted by fluorescent markers has been fundamental to understanding the focused secretory 'warfare' that occurs between plants and biotrophic pathogens that feed on living plant cells. Pathogens succeed through the spatiotemporal deployment of a remarkably diverse range of effector proteins to control plant defences and cellular processes. Some effectors can be secreted by appressoria even before host penetration, many enter living plant cells where they target diverse subcellular compartments and others move into neighbouring cells to prepare them before invasion. This Review summarizes the latest advances in our understanding of the cell biology of biotrophic interactions between plants and their eukaryotic filamentous pathogens based on *in planta* analyses of effectors.

Biotrophic

A biotrophic organism feeds and completes its life cycle on living plant tissue and lacks a necrotrophic phase of killing host cells before feeding.

Hemibiotrophic

A hemibiotropic organism feeds on living tissues for a period of time and then switches to necrotrophic colonization of dead tissues.

Apoplast

Plant extracellular space; a tissue-level compartment outside the plasma membrane that includes the cell walls and xylem vessels, through which water and solutes freely diffuse.

Department of Plant Pathology, 4024 Throckmorton Plant Sciences Center, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506–5502, USA. Correspondence to B.V. e-mail: <u>bvalent@ksu.edu</u> doi:10.1038/nrmicro3119 In a world facing increasing demands for food, fibre and biofuels, our main challenge is to meet these demands in spite of limited water and land resources¹⁻³. Among the biotic factors that limit our ability to overcome this challenge are the eukaryotic filamentous plant pathogens that cause extensive annual yield losses of staple crops worldwide⁴. These include fungi such as the ascomycete rice blast fungus Magnaporthe oryzae⁵, the basidiomycete rust fungi (order Pucciniales) that plague diverse crop species⁶, and oomycetes such as the potato late blight pathogen, Phytophthora infestans7. These pathogens rapidly evolve to infect previously resistant plants, which necessitates constant renewal of disease control strategies; for example, the new Ug99 lineage of the wheat stem rust pathogen Puccinia graminis f. sp. tritici, which was identified in Uganda in 1999 (REF. 8), and the distinct wheat-infecting M. oryzae population (which causes wheat blast), which was identified in South America in 1985 (REFS 9,10), both currently threaten global wheat production.

Filamentous biotrophic or hemibiotrophic pathogens that feed on living plant cells must block host defences and sustain the host processes that they require for feeding and growth¹¹⁻¹⁴. The first line of the plant immune system involves basal defence responses that are triggered by the detection of broadly conserved molecular features of pathogenic microorganisms called pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) or microorganism-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs)¹⁵⁻¹⁷. PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI) involves pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), which are transmembrane

receptor kinases and transmembrane receptor-like proteins. PAMPs include pathogen cell wall components (chitin for fungi and glucans for fungi and oomycetes) and detection occurs in the apoplast, which is the plant extracellular compartment (BOX 1). Plant defences include the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), as well as the secretion of antimicrobial compounds, hydrolytic enzymes (proteinases, chitinases and glucanases) that are damaging to the pathogen, and inhibitors of pathogen hydrolytic enzymes, which are damaging to plants. Pathogens secrete effectors, which are generally small unique proteins, many of which function to defeat PTI^{12,14,18}. In turn, plants have developed a second line of defence, effector-triggered immunity (ETI), which involves the detection of specific avirulence effectors (AVR effectors), either through direct ligand-receptor interactions or through indirect detection of effector action on host targets^{16,17,19,20}. Apoplastic effectors of the extracellular fungus Cladosporium fulvum are detected by transmembrane receptor-like CF resistance proteins²¹. More commonly, pathogen effectors called cytoplasmic effectors are delivered to the host cytoplasm, and they are recognized by intracellular resistance (R) proteins of the cytoplasmic nucleotide binding siteleucine-rich repeat (NBS-LRR) class^{16,19}. This recognition triggers the hypersensitive response (HR) and blocks pathogen growth. Mutation or complete loss of effector genes enables the pathogen to avoid R protein recognition, which leads to the boom-and-bust cycle that has often defeated efforts to control important plant diseases8,22.

Box 1 | Apoplastic and symplastic compartments in plants

Plant tissue is divided into the symplastic (also known as cytoplasmic) compartment on the inner side of the plasma membrane and the apoplastic (also known as extracellular or cell wall) compartment on the outer side. Plasmodesmata, which are microscopic channels that enable the transport of small molecules and some proteins between plant cells, connect the cytoplasms of most cells in plant tissue to form a symplastic continuum throughout the plant (see the figure; not drawn to scale)^{121,122}. The size exclusion limit of proteins that can pass through plasmodesmata varies depending on plant cell type. Guard cells lack plasmodesmata altogether. Plant cells typically contain a large vacuole separated from the cytoplasm by a vacuolar membrane, known as the tonoplast. Incubation of plant tissue in hypertonic solutions (such as molar sucrose solution) results in plasmolysis^{90,123}, during which water is drawn by osmosis from the cytoplasm and vacuole into the apoplast, and the plasma membrane and enclosed protoplasm shrink away from the cell wall. The accumulation of extracellular defence responses seems to require plasma membrane-cell wall connections⁹⁰. In animal cells, the extracellular matrix-plasma membrane-cytoskeleton continuum is maintained by receptors that recognize RGD-containing matrix proteins, and RGD-proteins also seem to be important in plants. The plant plasma membrane-cell wall continuum is visible as Hechtian strands, which are thin connections between the protoplast and cell wall, after plasmolysis^{90,123}. Gentle, stepwise plasmolysis, which maintains Hechtian strands and is reversible^{90,123,124}, can be achieved by the stepwise addition of hypertonic solutions to tissue on a microscope slide^{54,90,123}. Plasmolysis shows that the plasma membrane remains intact as the pathogen grows inside host cells and defines the relationship of hyphae to the apoplast. To assay cytoplasmic translocation, plasmolysis separates putative cytoplasmic fluorescence from autofluorescence in cell walls and enlarges the apoplastic space for better differentiation of apoplastic from cytoplasmic localization 53,54,88,115.

Before plasmolysis



Effectors

Pathogen molecules that modify host cell structure, metabolism and function. They often interfere with signal pathways, either those required for host invasion or those that trigger host resistance. Recent reviews have focused on the functional and structural biology of effectors from plant pathogenic and symbiotic fungi and oomycetes^{12,14}, on the nature of the biotrophic interface for diverse pathogens and symbionts²³, on effectors from necrotrophic fungi²⁴, and on oomycete effector translocation mechanisms^{12,14,25,26}. In this Review we focus on the molecular and cellular biology of effectors during biotrophic invasion of plant cells by eukaryotic filamentous pathogens, with an emphasis on live-cell imaging of effector dynamics during natural plant invasions. Recent results illustrate the great progress that such techniques have facilitated in our understanding of the cellular biology

of the complex sets of effectors that fungi and oomycetes use to cause plant diseases.

Setting the scene

Biotrophic and hemibiotrophic filamentous pathogens enter plant tissue by direct penetration through the cuticle and cell wall using either melanized or nonmelanized appressoria, or through stomata, often using non-melanized appressoria (FIG. 1). Once inside plant tissue, cell invasion is accomplished by diverse types of biotrophic hyphae. Some pathogens grow from one plant cell to the next as intracellular invasive hyphae (IH)^{23,27,28} (FIG.1a–c), some grow only as extracellular hyphae^{21,29} (FIG.1d) and others grow as extracellular hyphae that insert haustoria, which are terminal feeding structures, inside host cells^{6,30,31} (FIG.1e,f). Some pathogens are obligate biotrophs that only grow on living host tissue, whereas others are culturable outside the plant.

Powdery mildew-epidermal plant cell encounter sites provide a useful illustration of the general components of the biotrophic interface (FIG. 2), although the structural details can vary in other pathosystems23. Powdery mildew fungi are obligate biotrophs that grow as epiphytic hyphae on the leaf surface and that feed by inserting haustoria into host epidermal cells³² (FIG. 2a). Intracellular hyphae remain separated from the host cytoplasm by the extrahaustorial membrane (EHM), which is continuous with the plant plasma membrane. The extrahaustorial matrix (EHMx) between the fungal wall and the EHM is separated from the host apoplast by a molecularly uncharacterized neckband. The haustorium complex, including the EHM, can be purified from plant tissue for gene expression and structural analyses^{33,34}. Fluorescence microscopy has shown that the powdery mildew EHM is highly differentiated from the host plasma membrane: it lacks multiple plasma membrane components and contains at least one specialized protein, the transmembrane R protein Rpw8 (REFS 33,34).

The cell biology of host resistance - our understanding of which is most advanced in the powdery mildew-barley or powdery mildew-Arabidopsis systems^{35,36} — highlights the challenges faced by potential plant pathogens (FIG. 2b). Live-cell confocal imaging, performed on fungus invading fluorescently labelled Arabidopsis thaliana epidermal cells, has documented marked host membrane polarization and focal accumulation of proteins at sites of attempted penetration^{33,35-37}. Host responses occur even before appressorium penetration³³, in the form of cytoskeletal reorganization, cytoplasmic aggregation and deposition of a papilla at the site of attempted pathogen entry³⁵. Fluorescently labelled membrane proteins show marked reorganization into concentric rings surrounding the site of attempted penetration. Proteins that are involved in vesicle secretion (Penetration 1; PEN1, a t-SNARE protein), and in the biosynthesis (PEN2, a myrosinase) and export (PEN3, an ABC transporter) of toxic compounds focally accumulate beneath the penetrating fungus (FIG. 2b).

New ultrastructural detail at the powdery mildewhost interface suggests that both plants and fungi use multivesicular bodies (MVBs) and exosomes (which are

Avirulence effectors

(AVR effectors). Effectors that are recognized by a corresponding plant resistance (R) protein, triggering the hypersensitive response and rendering pathogen strains expressing these effectors unable to infect (known as avirulent toward) host genotypes expressing the R protein.

Apoplastic effectors

Effectors that are secreted into and function in the plant extracellular space.

Cytoplasmic effectors

Effectors that are secreted and translocated across the plant membrane into the host cytoplasm, where they target different subcellular compartments.

Necrotrophic

An organism that kills host cells before invasion and gains nutrition from the dead cells.

Extrahaustorial matrix

(EHMx). A substance that resides between the pathogen cell wall and the surrounding extrahaustorial membrane. Called the extrainvasive hyphal matrix when it surrounds invasive hyphae.

Neckband

An undefined structure that seals the interface between host and pathogen plasma membranes; sometimes observed as an electron-dense ring around haustorial necks by electron microscopy.

Papilla

Cell wall apposition at a site of attempted penetration; contains callose, phenolic compounds, lignin, reactive oxygen species, proteins and even membranes and exosomes; it is thought to function as a physical barrier to penetration.

Multivesicular bodies

(MVBs). Membrane-bound vesicles associated with late endosomes.

Exosomes

Intact vesicles that are secreted when multivesicular bodies fuse with the plasma membrane; suggested as an alternative route for secretion of virulence and pathogenicity factors into the host.





e Melampsora lini and Uromyces fabae



b Ustilago maydis

0

-Pit2

f Phytophthora infestans



intact vesicles in the apoplast) for secretion at the biotrophic interface^{34,35,38} (FIG. 2a). Membranes and exosomelike vesicles were observed in papillae and in the EHMx using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) of infected tissues prepared by high-pressure freezing and freeze substitution (HPF-FS), which provides superior membrane preservation^{34,39}. MVBs were observed apparently fusing with fungal and host plasma membranes. This suggests that plants secrete defence components using MVBs and exosomes, and that filamentous plant pathogens, like filamentous animal pathogens⁴⁰, secrete virulence factors in exosomes. Exosome-mediated secretion might be an explanation for secretion of the barley powdery mildew AVR effectors Avrk1 and Avra10, which lack signal peptides for classical secretion⁴¹⁻⁴³.

Genome sequencing of diverse plant pathogens has led to the identification of hundreds of candidate effectors that each pathogen potentially secretes at the • Figure 1 | Biotrophic strategies and identified secreted effectors for diverse **pathogens.** Intracellular biotrophic hyphae remain separated from the plant cytoplasm by a membrane (the extrahaustorial membrane (EHM) or the extrainvasive hyphal membrane (EIHM)) that is continuous with the plant plasma membrane. The matrix inside the EHM or the EIHM can be continuous with the plant apoplast or separated into a distinct compartment by a neckband-like structure. The effectors shown in red boxes have avirulence activity. a | Magnaporthe oryzae, which is a hemibiotrophic ascomycete fungus, enters host tissue using melanized (pressurized) appressoria. A neckband seals off the extrainvasive hyphal matrix (EIHMx) surrounding intracellular invasive hyphae in living host cells. b | Ustilago maydis, which is a biotrophic basidiomycete fungus, enters host tissue using non-melanized appressoria. The matrix surrounding intracellular hyphae is continuous with the host apoplast. c | Colletotrichum higginsianum, which is a hemibiotrophic ascomycete fungus, enters host tissue using melanized appressoria. The matrix surrounding intracellular hyphae is continuous with the host apoplast. d Cladosporium fulvum, which is the biotrophic ascomycete tomato leaf mould fungus, enters leaf tissue through stomata and grows as extracellular hyphae. e | Melampsora lini and Uromyces fabae, which are biotrophic basidiomycete rust fungi, enter through stomata using non-melanized appressoria (not shown). Intercellular hyphae form haustorial mother cells (HMC) that in turn form haustoria in host mesophyll cells. Neckbands separate the extrahaustorial matrix (EHMx) from the host apoplast. f | Phytophthora infestans, which is a hemibiotrophic oomycete, enters host tissue using non-melanized appressoria and produces finger-like haustoria, surrounded by EHMx that is continuous with the host apoplast.

> biotrophic interface^{28-32,44}. Fungal effector proteins are generally characterized by the presence of a signal peptide for secretion and by specific expression during biotrophic invasion of plant cells^{10,14,18,27}. Oomycete effectors have amino acid motifs associated with host translocation (RXLR, where X represents any amino acid, and CRN) closely following the signal peptide^{12,45,46}. Most effectors are small proteins that lack motifs to predict their function^{12,14,18}. Even when gene knockout strategies are feasible for functional analysis, effectors rarely show virulence phenotypes, presumably because of functional redundancy; for example, targeted gene replacement of 78 M. oryzae candidate effector genes found that only one, MC69, was associated with a pathogenicity defect⁴⁷. Although cytoplasmic effectors generally show restricted phylogenetic distribution, this is not always the case. The MC69 orthologue in the cucumber pathogen Colletotrichum orbiculare is also required for pathogenicity. Therefore MC69 has been identified as an effector that is important in both monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous hosts. Thus, effectors seem to range from core effectors that are broadly present, even across taxa, to variable effectors that are restricted to particular pathogen species (TABLE 1).

Focused secretion of effectors by appressoria

As host plants are known to respond before the pathogen enters the host tissue, it is reasonable to hypothesize that pathogens secrete effectors before penetration. Recent results with the crucifer pathogen *Colletotrichum higginsianum* invading *A. thaliana* cells have supported this prediction⁴⁸. Specifically, *C. higginsianum* effector candidate (ChEC) proteins were expressed in waves, which suggests that distinct effectors were needed at different invasion stages. Wave 1 ChECs were only expressed in appressoria before penetration and wave 2 ChECs were expressed before and during penetration⁴⁸. Interestingly, the fungus focally secreted fluorescently labelled wave 2 effectors Chec6 and Chec36 from the appressorium pore (FIG. 3). This general feature of melanized appressoria provides an opening to the host surface where the melanin diffusion barrier is not present^{48,49}. ChECs predominate among highly expressed appressorial genes, which indicates that many effectors might be focally secreted through this pore^{28,48}. This suggests that appressoria function in effector delivery, in addition to their wellestablished function in penetration of the host surface⁴⁸.

Fungal secondary metabolites can also be effectors secreted from appressoria during penetration. The unique M. oryzae AVR gene ACE1 encodes Avirulence conferring enzyme 1, which is a hybrid protein with both polyketide synthase (PKS) and nonribosomal peptide synthetase (NRPS) domains⁵⁰⁻⁵². Fluorescent Ace1 protein specifically localizes to the cytoplasm of appressoria. It is probable that an uncharacterized secondary metabolite synthesized by the Ace1 enzyme is the secreted AVR effector that triggers *Pi33*-mediated resistance in rice^{17,52}. ACE1 resides in a secondary metabolism-associated gene cluster that is specifically expressed for a short period of time at the onset of penetration. The genomes of M. oryzae and Colletotrichum species are enriched for secondary metabolism-associated genes^{28,51}, and many of these genes are upregulated during biotrophic invasion. However, secondary metabolites are not general features of biotrophy, because powdery mildew fungi lack a marked capacity for PKS and NRPS secondary metabolism³².

Live-cell imaging of in planta effector dynamics

Pathogens secrete effectors into the apoplast or the EHMx, which is known as the extrainvasive hyphal matrix (EIHMx) when it surrounds invasive hyphae. Cytoplasmic effectors must be translocated across the EHM or the extrainvasive hyphal membrane (EIHM) to reach their intracellular targets. New details on biotrophic invasion strategies are now available from livecell imaging of pathogens secreting fluorescently labelled effectors *in planta*^{48,53–55}, or of pathogens growing in plant cells that are directly expressing fluorescently labelled effectors^{56,57}.

Targeted secretion of rice blast effectors. Live-cell imaging of fluorescently labelled pathogen and/or plant cellular components during fungal invasion is facilitated by the use of optically clear rice leaf sheath epidermal cells^{54,58-62}. IH undergo successive colonization of living rice cells (FIG. 4a-c), although host cells eventually die as they fill with fungus61. IH switch from filamentous tubular hyphae to bulbous pseudohyphae in each new cell and they grow in a closed EIHM compartment^{61,63}. Chimeric fluorescent effectors secreted by IH show two localization patterns in the EIHMx. Apoplastic effectors (such as the biotrophy-associated secreted protein 4 (Bas4)⁶⁴, the LysM protein 1 (Slp1)⁶², and Bas113 (REF. 60)) accumulate throughout the EIHMx, outlining the entire IH54,62,64. Known AVR effectors (such as Pwl2 (REF.65), Avr-Pita⁶⁶ and AvrPiz-t⁶⁷), as well as many Bas proteins, are first secreted into the biotrophic interfacial complex (BIC) at primary hyphal tips 47,54,60,64,68 (FIG. 4a).

Appressorium pore

A cell wall-less region of the appressorium adjacent to the plant cuticle that also lacks the melanin layer, which results in fungal plasma membrane in direct contact with the cuticle; it is sealed against the cuticle by a 'pore ring' that surrounds the perimeter of the pore.



Figure 2 | Structure and secretion dynamics at the biotrophic interface. a | Powdery mildew haustoria in epidermal cells are sealed in extrahaustorial membrane (EHM) by one or more neckbands. The EHM is differentiated in protein content from the plant plasma membrane (PM) and occurs in close proximity to host endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and vacuoles. The abundance of multivesicular bodies (MVBs) in the plant and haustorial cytoplasms and of vesicles in the fungal paramural space and extrahaustorial matrix (EHMx) suggest a role for exosome secretion by the fungus and the host. **b** | Focused host secretion is involved in the formation of papillae and penetration resistance. Arabidopsis thaliana penetration-defective mutants have been used to identify the proteins that accumulate at sites of attempted penetration. Penetration 1 (PEN1) encodes a t-SNARE (a target SNAP receptor), which works with a vesicle-associated v-SNARE and a SNAP25 homologue to mediate fusion of vesicles and secretion at the penetration site. PEN2 encodes a peroxisome-localized enzyme involved in biosynthesis of toxic indole glucosinolates (peroxisomes also accumulate at penetration sites). A PEN3-encoded ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter may be involved in extruding the toxic metabolites to the apoplast. In addition, plant MVBs are thought to be potential sources of membranes and vesicles observed in papillae, in haustorial encasements and in the EHMx. A pathogen associated molecular pattern (PAMP) recognition receptor (PRR) is also shown. Bgh, Blumeria graminis f. sp. hordei.

BIC localization requires expression of the secreted effector from its native promoter^{54,69}. BICs are left behind beside the first bulbous IH cell after differentiation and further growth by the IH⁵⁴ (FIG. 4b). Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) experiments showed that effectors are still secreted into BICs while IH grow elsewhere in the rice cell⁵⁴. Hyphal differentiation and BIC relocalization recur for each new hypha that enters a living host cell (FIG. 4c). Fluorescent effectors accumulating in BICs are a fundamental feature of successful infection and are not observed in interactions with resistant plants⁶⁴ (FIG. 4d).

Recent results suggest that M. oryzae effectors are secreted into BICs using a specialized secretion system60 (FIG. 4b). AVR effectors require a signal peptide as well as function of the M. oryzae ER chaperone gene LHS1 (REF. 70), which indicates that these effectors enter into the fungal ER for secretion; however, secretion pathways for cytoplasmic and apoplastic effectors seem to diverge from there. Brefeldin A (BFA), which is a chemical known to inhibit conventional Golgi-mediated secretion in fungi, totally blocked secretion of EIHMx-localized apoplastic effectors; however, as clearly confirmed by FRAP analysis, BFA treatment had no effect on the secretion of AVR effectors and other host-translocated Bas proteins into BICs60. In the opposite direction, targeted gene replacement mutants showed that the M. oryzae exocyst complex components Exo70 and Sec5 (also known as Exoc2) that have a role in tethering vesicles to target membranes before fusion, and the Sso1 t-SNARE were required for efficient secretion of effectors into BICs60. In the $\Delta exo70$ and $\Delta sec5$ mutants, BIC-localized effectors were partially retained inside the BIC-associated IH cells. These mutants secreted apoplastic effectors normally. Subapical BIC-associated IH cells retain abundant secretion machinery components (FIG. 4b), even though protein secretion is generally expected only at hyphal growth points71. Taken together, these results suggest that BICassociated cells focally secrete effectors into BICs using a specialized, Golgi-independent secretion system⁶⁰.

Imaging of biotrophic invasion by a fungal strain expressing a fluorescently labelled BIC-localized effector and a fluorescently labelled fungal plasma membrane protein clearly showed that BICs lie in the interfacial zone outside the fungal plasma membrane⁶⁰. BICs are surrounded by an accumulation of host cytoplasm, and the BIC region contains intense fluorescence in hosts expressing fluorescently labelled plant plasma membrane and ER markers^{54,60}. Further definition of BIC structure requires higher resolution microscopy and immunolocalization studies using TEM techniques that retain membrane structures. BICs clearly concentrate secreted effectors that move into host cells, but their exact role — if any — in effector translocation remains to be determined.

Focal accumulation of **C. higginsianum** *effectors.* The crucifer pathogen *C. higginsianum* carries out localized biotrophy, in which biotrophic primary hyphae establish in the first *A. thaliana* cells that are invaded and then differentiate into necrotrophic hyphae that kill

and colonize neighbouring cells^{28,72} (FIG. 4e). Following on from appressorial penetration (FIG. 3), a third wave of effectors is associated with the growth of biotrophic hyphae⁴⁸. Live-cell imaging of monomeric red fluorescent protein (mRFP) fusions of the wave 3 effectors Chec34 and Chec89, expressed under the control of their native promoters, identified multiple fluorescent foci, called interfacial bodies (FIGS 1c,4e), that were randomly distributed on the biotrophic hyphal surface48. Using TEM immunolocalizations, these fluorescent foci were identified as discrete pads of electron opaque material within the EIHMx48. ChEC proteins diffused into the plant cell wall, but they were not observed in the cytoplasm of the invaded host cells, which is another example of effectors localizing to stage-specific compartments at the biotrophic interface during natural infection.

Imaging of effector-labelled plant cells after infection. For some pathogens, imaging of transformed strains is not feasible. An alternative strategy is heterologous expression of effectors in host cells followed by imaging of these cells after pathogen invasion¹². Such assays are typically performed using Agrobacterium tumefaciensmediated transient expression in tobacco. Using this strategy for P. infestans effectors, Avrblb2 was shown to localize to the cell periphery in uninvaded host cells and to focally accumulate around haustoria in invaded cells⁵⁶; Crn8 was shown to accumulate in the host nucleus73. For the A. thaliana oomycete downy mildew pathogen, Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis, screening for subcellular locations of 48 candidate effectors showed that one-third localized to host nuclei57, which suggests that they have a role in host transcriptional reprogramming. Other candidates targeted diverse host compartments in the vicinity of haustoria, including the plasma membrane, tonoplast and ER; however, some caution is required in these cases as the effectors are overexpressed in host cells using constitutive plant promoters, and the corresponding localization patterns might vary from those that are characteristic of natural pathogen delivery.

Apoplastic effectors target host defences

In some cases, apoplastic effectors from diverse pathogens can be used to identify conserved host defence targets^{13,74} (FIG. 1; TABLE 1).

Protease inhibitors. Papain-like cysteine proteases (PLCPs) are integral components of the plant immune response in the apoplast^{13,75}, and diverse pathogens secrete PLCP inhibitors. The apoplastic AVR effector Avr2 of *C. fulvum* inhibits the tomato plant-derived cysteine protease RCR3. Indeed, it is the Avr2–RCR3 complex that is recognized by the CF-2 resistance protein to activate HR⁷⁶. *P. infestans* secretes EpiC1 and EpiC2B (which are members of a family of secreted proteins with cystatin-like protease inhibitor domains) that also inhibit the RCR3 protease⁷⁷. EpiC2B interacts with and inhibits PIP1, another PLCP that is closely related to RCR3 (REFS 77–79). The *P. infestans* apoplastic effectors

EpiC1 and EpiC2 inhibit activity of the tomato protease C14, and the cytoplasmic RXLR effector Avrblb2 focally accumulates near the haustorium and blocks secretion of C14 into the apoplast⁵⁶. The *Ustilago maydis* apoplastic effector Pit2 inhibits cysteine proteases in maize^{80,81}. Serine proteases are also targeted. In *P. infestans*, the Kazal-like extracellular protease inhibitors Epi1 and Epi10 are multidomain secreted serine protease inhibitors that inhibit the pathogenesis-related subtilisin-like serine protease P69B of tomato⁸².

Chitin-binding effectors. Chitin is an unbranched β -1,4-linked homopolymer of *N*-acetyl-glucosamine (GlcNAc), which is a component of fungal cell walls. Chitin fragments function as a PAMP for triggering the plant immune response, and fungi secrete effectors to block chitin-induced immunity. Diverse pathogens secrete effectors that contain LysM amino acid domains (carbohydrate-binding modules that generally bind GlcNAc) that either prevent the release of chitin oligosaccharides from fungal cell walls or that sequester these oligosaccharides to prevent recognition. C. fulvum secretes the LysM effector Ecp6, which sequesters chitin oligosaccharides released from the fungal cell wall⁸³. The C. fulvum effector Avr4 contains a different chitinbinding domain and functions to protect the fungal cell wall from degradation by plant chitinases⁸⁴. One of the three LysM effectors in the intercellular wheat pathogen Mycosphaerella graminicola has both wall protection and sequestering functions⁸⁵. In M. oryzae, the LysM effector Slp1 binds chitin oligosaccharides and suppresses chitininduced immunity mediated by the rice PRR, chitin elicitor binding protein (CEBiP)62. Chitin-binding effectors are required for virulence in all three fungi.

Peroxidase inhibitor. The apoplastic effector Pep1 of *U. maydis* functions to protect hyphae from ROS, which are a major component of the plant immune response^{53,55}. Fluorescently labelled Pep1 protein surrounds hyphae in the apoplast and concentrates as rings around hyphae at cell-to-cell passage sites (FIG. 1b). In *U. maydis* and the related smut *Ustilago hordeii*, $\Delta pep1$ deletion mutants are defective in penetration of the initial epidermal cell and in cell-to-cell spread. They encounter extensive host resistance, including H₂O₂ accumulation, papilla formation and host cell death⁵³. Bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assays showed a direct interaction between the Pep1 protein and the maize defence peroxidase POX12 *in vivo*. Pep1 seems to enable penetration by scavenging ROS⁵⁵.

Cytoplasmic effectors

High-throughput assays for effector virulence function are based on screens for suppressors of plant cell death induced by the *P. infestans* infestin 1 (Inf1) protein, necrosis- and ethylene-inducing peptide 1-like proteins (NLPs) from various pathogens, or the mouse BAX protein^{12,48}. Sometimes >70% of effectors tested suppress host cell death in these assays^{7,12}. Cytoplasmic effectors characterized in detail defeat host defences using a range of mechanisms (TABLE 1).

Tonoplast The vacuolar membrane in a plant cell.

Table 1	Examples	of filamentous	plant	pathogen effectors	5
---------	----------	----------------	-------	--------------------	---

Organism	Gene name	Gene accession ID and Uniprot code	Associated functions*	Distribution (such as species- or taxon- specific)	Refs
Magnaporthe oryzae	SLP1	<u>MGG_10097.6</u> and <u>G4N906</u>	LysM domain protein; binds chitin oligosaccharides; suppresses chitin-induced immunity in rice; BFA-sensitive secretion	Unspecific; also in <i>Cladosporium</i> and other fungi	62
M. oryzae	AVRPiz-t	<u>HE578813</u> and <u>C6ZEZ6</u>	Targets rice ubiquitin ligase APIP6; AVR protein for rice Piz-t; translocated into rice cells	Specific to Magnaporthe	68
M. oryzae	PWL2	<u>U26313</u> and <u>Q01144</u>	AVR protein blocking infection of <i>Eragrostis curvula</i> ; translocated and moves from cell to cell; BFA-insensitive secretion	Specific to Magnaporthe	54,60,65
M. oryzae	AVR-Pita1	<u>AF207841</u> and <u>Q9C478</u>	Homology to metalloproteinases; AVR protein for rice Pita; BFA-insensitive secretion	Specific to Magnaporthe	60,66, 98,108
M. oryzae	AVR1-CO39	<u>AF463528</u> and <u>Q8J183</u>	AVR protein corresponding to <i>R</i> gene pair functioning as <i>P</i> i-CO39	Specific to Magnaporthe	69,100
M. oryzae	BAS1	<u>MGG_04795</u> and <u>G5EHI7</u>	Candidate cytoplasmic effector; translocated and moves from cell to cell; BFA-insensitive secretion	Specific to Magnaporthe	54,60,64
M. oryzae	BAS2	<u>MGG_09693</u> and <u>G5El08</u>	Accumulates at cell wall crossing points	Specific to Magnaporthe	10,64
M. oryzae	BAS3	<u>MGG_11610</u> and <u>G5EHH0</u>	Accumulates at cell wall crossing points	Magnaporthe and Colletotrichum	48,64
M. oryzae	BAS4	MGG_10914.6 and <u>G5El20</u>	Apoplastic effector; marker for intact EIHM; BFA-sensitive secretion	Specific to Magnaporthe	64
M. oryzae	BAS107	MGG_10020.6 and <u>G4N9C5</u>	Translocated and localized to rice nuclei; moves from cell to cell; BFA-insensitive secretion	Specific to Magnaporthe	60
M. oryzae	BAS113	<u>MGG_05785.6</u> and <u>G4N0X0</u>	Candidate apoplastic effector; homology to glycosyl hydrolase; BFA-sensitive secretion	Specific to Magnaporthe	60
M. oryzae	MC69	MGG_02848.6 and <u>G5El17</u>	Required for full virulence in both monocot and dicot hosts	Also in <i>Colletotrichum</i> , CoMC69 (<u>AB669186</u> and <u>H7CE70</u>)	47
Ustilago maydis	pit2	<u>XP_757522</u> and <u>Um01375</u>	Apoplastic effector; cysteine protease inhibitor	Unspecific; also in Cladosporium and Phytophthora	80,81
U. maydis	pep1	<u>UM01987</u> and <u>G0X7E8</u>	Apoplastic effector; peroxidase inhibitor	Specific to Ustilago	53,55
U. maydis	cmu1	<u>AB116236</u> and <u>Q6L8Q0</u>	Cytoplasmic effector; secreted chorismate mutase; converts chorismate to prephenate; translocated; moves from cell to cell	In many biotrophic and hemibiotrophic fungi, and Phytophthora	88
Colletotrichum higginsianum	ChEC6	<u>HE651161</u> and <u>K7N7G2</u>	Candidate effector secreted at the appressorial pore	Specific to Colletotrichum	48
C. higginsianum	ChEC34	<u>HE651193</u> and <u>K7N7F7</u>	Candidate effector secreted into interfacial bodies	Specific to Colletotrichum	48
C. higginsianum	ChEC36	<u>HE651195</u> and <u>K7N7G5</u>	Candidate effector secreted at the appressorial pore	Specific to Colletotrichum	48

Targeting the host ubiquitylation system. Recent results from studies of filamentous pathogen effectors support the importance of the plant ubiquitylation system to both positive and negative regulation of plant immunity^{68,86,87}. The *P. infestans* AVR effector Avr3a was shown to bind to and to stabilize a potato U-box E3 ubiquitin ligase CMPG1 and to block Inf1-induced death of potato cells⁸⁶. CMPG1 did not have an effect on HR-induction through recognition of Avr3a by the R3a resistance protein. Inf1-induced cell death is suggested to have a role during necrotrophic growth of the pathogen, and an effector that blocks this death would promote biotrophic growth. The *M. oryzae* AVR effector AvrPiz-t binds to and destabilizes the rice RING E3 ubiquitin ligase APIP6 to suppress chitin-induced PAMP immunity⁶⁸. In support of this effector function, silencing of APIP6 reduced resistance to *M. oryzae*. AvrPiz-t is a BIC-localized protein that is translocated into rice cells⁶⁸.

Targeting defence signalling. The *U. maydis* effector Cmu1 is a secreted chorismate mutase that is highly expressed during biotrophic invasion and that is required for full virulence on maize⁸⁸. Cmu1 protein was detected in the maize cytoplasm by TEM immunolocalizations, and the protein spread into neighbouring

Organism	Gene name	Gene accession ID and Uniprot code	Associated functions*	Distribution (such as species- or taxon- specific)	Refs
C. higginsianum	ChEC89	<u>HE651252</u> and <u>I2G7G9</u>	Candidate effector secreted into interfacial bodies	Specific to Colletotrichum	48
Cladosporium fulvum	Есрб	<u>4B9H_A</u> and <u>4B9H</u>	LysM domain protein; binds chitin; suppresses host immunity	Unspecific; also in <i>Magnaporthe</i> and other fungi	21,83
C. fulvum	Avr4	<u>Y08356</u> and <u>CAA69643</u>	Binds chitin oligomers; inhibits plant chitinases from degrading fungal cell walls; AVR protein for tomato CF-4	Specific to Cladosporium	21,84
C. fulvum	Avr2	<u>A 421628</u> and <u>CAD16675</u>	Inhibits tomato proteases RCR3 and PIP1; AVR protein for tomato CF-2; RCR3 required for Avr2 recognition	Unspecific; also in Ustilago and Phytophthora	21,76
C. fulvum	Avr9	<u>A19194</u> and <u>CAA01455</u>	Structural homology to carboxypeptidase inhibitor; AVR protein for tomato CF-9	Specific to Cladosporium	21,29
Uromyces fabae	RTP1	<u>AJ971426</u> and <u>Q334H6</u>	Rust transferred protein 1; cysteine protease inhibitor; fibril formation; and translocated into host cells	Specific to Uromyces	92,94,95
Melampsora larici-populina	AvrM	<u>DQ279870</u> and <u>Q2MV46</u>	AVR protein for flax M; and translocated into host cells $% \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{M})$	Specific to Melampsora	104,105, 115
Melampsora lini	AvrP123	EU642499 and Q2MV43	Homology to serine protease inhibitor; AVR protein for flax P, P1, P2 and/or P3	Specific to Melampsora	101,105
Phytophthora infestans	EPIC1	<u>DS028131</u> and <u>EEY55256</u>	Cystatin-like protease inhibitor, inhibits PLCPs RCR3 and C14 $$	Unspecific; also in Ustilago and Cladosporium	77–79
P. infestans	EPIC2	<u>AY935251</u> and <u>A1L016</u>	Cystatin-like protease inhibitor; and inhibits PLCP C14	Unspecific; also in Ustilago and Cladosporium	77–79
P. infestans	EPIC2B	EEY55258 and D0NBV3	Cystatin-like protease inhibitor; inhibits PLCP RCR3; interacts with and inhibits PLCP PR protein PIP1	Unspecific; also in Ustilago and Cladosporium	77–79
P. infestans	EPI1	<u>JN021528</u> and <u>G8FQ60</u>	Extracellular serine protease inhibitor; and Kazal domain motifs	Specific to Phytophthora	82
P. infestans	EPI10	<u>AY586282</u> and <u>Q6PQG3</u>	Extracellular serine protease inhibitor; Kazal domain motifs	Specific to Phytophthora	82
P. infestans	AVRBLB1	<u>DS028419</u> and <u>D0P3S7</u>	RXLR cytoplasmic effector; contains RGD motif; AVR protein to Rpi-blb1	Specific to Phytophthora	89,97
P. infestans	AVRBLB2	<u>DS028242</u> and <u>D0P1B2</u>	RXLR cytoplasmic effector; accumulates around haustoria; prevents secretion of tomato PLPC C14; AVR protein to Rpi-blb2	Specific to Phytophthora	56
P. infestans	AVR2	<u>EEY61966</u> and D0NN59	RXLR cytoplasmic effector; binds putative plant phosphatase BSL1; AVR protein to <i>S. demissum</i> R2; interaction with R2 requires BSL1	Unspecific; also in Ustilago and Cladosporium	96
P. infestans	AVR3a	<u>EF587759</u> and <u>A5YTY8</u>	RXLR cytoplasmic effector; stabilizes host E3 ligase CMPG1; AVR protein to <i>S. demissum</i> R3a	Specific to Phytophthora	86
P. infestans	CRN8	<u>AY961456</u> and <u>Q2M405</u>	CRN cytoplasmic effector; and nuclear-localized kinase that induces host cell death	Specific to Phytophthora	56

Table 1 (cont.) | Examples of filamentous plant pathogen effectors

AVR, avirulence; BFA, brefeldin A; EIHM, extrainvasive hyphal membrane; PLCP, papain-like cysteine protease; R, resistance. *Translocation to the host cytoplasm and cell-to-cell movement are listed when shown microscopically.

cells when transiently expressed in maize cells⁸⁸. This fungal enzyme catalyses the conversion of chorismate to prephenate in the shikimate pathway, which shifts production towards aromatic amino acids and away from production of the defence signalling hormone salicylic acid. Plants infected by $\Delta cmu1$ mutants have increased levels of salicylic acid and increased resistance to the fungus⁸⁸.

Targeting plant cell wall-plasma membrane attachment. The *P. infestans* cytoplasmic RXLR-effector Avrblb1 (also known as IpiO)^{7,89}, contains an RGD motif, which suggests that it might have an effect on the plant cell wall–plasma membrane attachment that is required for extracellular defences (BOX 1). Avrblb1 binds *in vitro* to the *A. thaliana* lectin receptor kinase LECRK-1.9, which is reported to play a role in maintaining this attachment. Therefore, Avrblb1 might decrease basal resistance by interrupting the connection between the host plasma membrane and the cell wall^{89–91}.

An effector with a proposed structural role. The Uromyces fabae rust transferred protein 1 (Rtp1) was the first fungal effector to be visualized in the host cytoplasm and nucleus after *in planta* secretion by the rust fungus⁹². Rtp1



Figure 3 | Focal effector secretion in melanized appressoria. Melanized appressoria power penetration by building enormous turgor pressure^{5,49}. The melanin layer is deposited between the plasma membrane and the cell wall, where it blocks the passage of molecules larger than water. The melanin functions as a barrier to the efflux of appressorium solutes, and water entering the appressorium generates pressure to force the penetration peg through the leaf cuticle. **a** | The diagram shows an appressorium after melanization, but before penetration. The appressorium pore, sealed against the cuticle by a pore ring, is a region in which the fungal plasma membrane is in direct contact with the cuticle for hours before penetration. An abundance of vesicles near the pore meant that it was suggested to function as a window for communication with the underlying host⁴⁹; now it seems that Colletotrichum higginsianum orchestrates focal secretion of effectors through this pore, which is ~200 nanometres in diameter⁴⁸. **b** A bilayered pore wall overlay is laid down over the pore shortly before penetration peg emergence. The peg cell wall is continuous with the pore wall overlay. Effector secretion before and during penetration has been shown for C. higginsianum (Chec6 and Chec36) and implicated for Magnaporthe oryzae (Ace1). It is unknown if the secreted effectors travel into the host cell below, but it is an interesting working hypothesis.

belongs to a family of cysteine protease inhibitors that are conserved in the order Pucciniales^{6,93,94}. This protein was recently reported to aggregate into amyloid-like filaments *in vitro*⁹⁵. Immunoelectron microscopy localized Rtp1 to EHMx protuberances that extend into the host cytoplasm. The exact role for this interesting protein remains to be discovered.

AVR effector recognition

To trigger HR and block infection, plant R gene products recognize AVR effectors either by direct binding (ligand-receptor model) or by indirect detection of a modification of the effector's virulence target or a decoy of that target (guard or decoy model)20. Indirect recognition occurs for C. fulvum Avr2 and tomato CF-2, which is mediated by protease RCR3 (REF.76), and for P. infestans Avr2 and the Solanum demissum R2 protein, which is mediated by a putative plant phosphatase BSL1 (REF. 96). Direct interaction has been shown for the P. infestans effector Avrblb1 and the coiled-coil domain of Rpi-blb1 (REF. 97), for four out of five rice blast AVR-R protein pairs17 (involving Avr-Pita98, Avr-Pik/km/kp99, Avr1-Co39 (REF. 100) and Avr-Pia100), and for two out of four flax rust gene pairs¹⁰¹ (involving AvrL567 (REFS 102,103) and AvrM¹⁰⁴). So far, direct binding between AVR and R proteins is more common for filamentous pathogen effectors than for the bacterial effectors.

Both the mechanism of interaction between AVR and R proteins and the virulence functions of AVR proteins affect AVR gene evolution in nature²⁰. Virulence functions for most AVR effectors are unknown (FIG. 1; TABLE 1), although some have sequence motifs or structures that suggest their function: metalloproteinase for Avr-Pita⁶⁶, serine protease inhibitor for AvrP123 (REF. 105) and carboxypeptidase inhibitor for Avr9 (REF. 21). Field population studies showed that each cloned flax rust AVR gene shows evidence for diversifying selection (that is polymorphism with high rates of non-synonymous nucleotide substitutions that alter the amino acid sequences of proteins) on at least one host plant^{93,101,103}. This presumably results from loss of recognition in response to deployed R genes while maintaining virulence function. AvrL567 is present as a complex multigene family in Melampsora lini isolates from cultivated flax, AvrP123 and AvrP4 occur as complex multigene families in M. lini isolates from wild flax (Linum marginale), and AvrM and AvrP4 are present as complex multigene families from Melampsora laricipopulina isolates from poplar, which perhaps reflects the R gene composition of these host plants. A different strategy is implicated for rice blast effectors, as only AVR-Pik/km/kp shows evidence for classical diversifying selection among field isolates99. Instead, blast AVR genes are often deleted in response to deployment of the corresponding rice *R* gene^{10,17,106,107}. Recent insight that *AVR*-Pita has experienced multiple examples of translocations to different, often subtelomeric, chromosomal regions in various field isolates has led to the interesting hypothesis that AVR genes retained in the population as a whole are regained by individual isolates through parasexual recombination¹⁰⁸. Field population studies suggest that diverse AVR effectors for which no virulence function has been discovered in the laboratory do have a role.

Translocation motifs

The best-studied amino acid motif in oomycete effectors is the RXLR motif, which is found in effectors from the haustoria-forming oomycetes, *Phytophthora* spp. and the downy mildews¹⁰⁹⁻¹¹¹. Many RXLR proteins have a dEER (double glutamic acid and arginine) sequence within 25 amino acids downstream of the RXLR. The Crinkler motif (also called the CRN or LXLFLAK motif) occurs more broadly in oomycetes⁷³. Both motifs reportedly function as signals for translocation into the host cytoplasm, although questions about this remain^{12,14,25,26,110-112}. Genome sequencing has revealed new effector protein families with conserved amino-terminal motif sequences in other oomycetes, for example, the YXSL[RK] motif for *Pythium ultimum* and CHXC for *Albugo* spp.¹².

Bioinformatic analyses have not identified putative translocation motifs for most fungal effectors^{10,14}. Many powdery mildew effector candidates contain a short Y/F/WXC motif within 30 amino acids of the signal peptide^{32,113}. Recent structure and evolution studies of barley powdery mildew effector candidates indicate that this motif might derive from an ancestral ribonuclease that is suggested to have provided a stable structural fold as a template for effector diversification¹¹⁴. Some

© FOCUS ON PLANT-MICROBE INTERACTIONS



Figure 4 | Effector secretion and accumulation during live cell invasions. Schematic diagrams are not drawn to scale. a-c | Extended biotrophy by Magnaporthe oryzae. a | At 22-25 hours post inoculation (hpi), fluorescent cytoplasmic effectors are secreted and accumulate in the biotrophic interfacial complex (BIC) outside tips of primary hyphae (PH). Some BIC-localized proteins are translocated into the cytoplasm of invaded rice cells (some also move into uninvaded cells). Apoplastic effectors are retained in the extrainvasive hyphal matrix (EIHMx) without host translocation. **b** | At 26–30 hpi, the primary hypha differentiates into bulbous invasive hyphae (IH) that fill the first invaded rice cells and then move into living neighbouring cells. The BIC remains beside the first bulbous IH cell, which is also differentiated from other IH cells in that it contains concentrated foci of fluorescent protein secretion machinery components normally only associated with growing cells. These are myosin motor regulatory component Mlc1 (MGG_09470.6), v-SNARE Snc1 (MGG_12614.6), t-SNARE Sso1 (MGG_04090.6) and exocyst component Exo70 (MGG_01760.6). Polarisome component Spa2 (MGG_03703.6) is restricted to growing IH cells. Blast effectors targeting distinct host compartments may require specialized secretory processes: apoplastic effectors (Slp1, Bas4 and Bas113) follow the conventional, brefeldin A (BFA)-sensitive, Golgi-dependent secretion pathway, whereas cytoplasmic effectors (Pwl2, Avr-Pita, Bas1 and Bas107) follow a non-conventional, BFA-insensitive secretion pathway involving exocyst and SNARE proteins. c | At 36–40 hpi, IH produce highly constricted hyphal pegs that cross plant cell walls and reinitiate the hyphal differentiation and BIC development processes. Some effectors, including Bas2 and Bas3, accumulate at points of cell-to-cell passage. d | PH differentiation and fluorescent protein accumulation fails to occur if avirulence (AVR) effector recognition triggers the hypersensitive response (HR). e | Localized biotrophy by Colletotrichum higginsianum. Bulbous biotrophic primary hyphae secrete effectors that accumulate in randomly distributed interfacial bodies. The fungus switches to necrotrophic secondary hyphae (SH) while still in the first invaded cell and kills neighbouring host cells before invasion.



Figure 5 | Pathogen-dependent and pathogen-independent effector translocation assays. a | Epifluorescence microscopy image of Magnaporthe oryzae secreting the cytoplasmic effector Pwl2 linked to tdTomato, a tandem dimer of monomeric red fluorescent protein (mRFP) (a 68.3 kDa fusion protein), and apoplastic Bas4 linked to GFP after plasmolysis. Pwl2 fluorescence (red) is observed in the cytoplasm surrounding the dark vacuole (+). Neither Pwl2 nor Bas4 (green) is observed in the apoplast (*), even in conditions resulting in overexposed biotrophic interfacial complex (BIC) fluorescence (yellow). Effector fusion proteins with tdTomato fail to spread from cell to cell, consistent with size exclusion limits in plasmodesmata. This image shows merged DIC with red and green fluorescence channels. Scale bar represents 10 µm. **b** | Projected confocal microscopy image of *M. oryzae* secreting the cytoplasmic effector Pwl2 (red) linked to mRFP and a nuclear localization signal (a 44.5 kDa fusion protein), together with apoplastic Bas4 (green) linked to GFP. Pwl2 preferentially accumulated in the BIC (inner layer; yellow) and was translocated into the rice cytoplasm (red; host nuclei). Pwl2 also moved ahead into adjoining uninvaded cells. Bas4-GFP was retained in the extrainvasive hyphal matrix (EIHMx), verifying the intactness of the extrainvasive hyphal membrane (EIHM). Scale bar represents 10 µm. c | Diagram representing the pathogen-independent assay. Transient expression of avirulence (AVR) effectors by plant cells without a signal peptide will activate the hypersensitive response (HR) in the cytoplasm of a resistant plant. But with a signal peptide, the effector will enter and follow the secretory pathway. If proper control experiments are performed to ensure efficient effector entry into the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), induction of the HR by an AVR effector with a signal peptide indicates this effector has crossed the plant plasma membrane (or vesicular membranes before secretion). How this process occurs is unknown. Part a is reproduced, with permission, from REF. 54 © (2010) American Society of Plant Biologists. Image in part b courtesy of C. H. Khang, Kansas State University, USA.

candidate rust effectors share the YXC motif, although the location of this motif is more dispersed in these proteins^{6,93}. Functional characterization for the YXC motif is lacking.

Translocation of cytoplasmic effectors

Microscopic translocation assays during pathogen invasion. Three cytoplasmic effectors have been localized to the cytoplasm of infected host cells using immunofluorescence light microscopy and/or immunolocalization electron microscopy: *U. fabae* Rtp1 (REF. 92), *M. lini* AvrM¹¹⁵ and *U. maydis* Cmu1 (REF. 88).

The ability to transform some pathogens enables the direct observation of secretion of fluorescent effector proteins during *in planta* infection. For *M. oryzae*, translocation of fluorescent effectors into the rice cytoplasm is routinely observed using a variety of effector proteins fused to various versions of green or red fluorescent proteins^{54,60}. Translocated cytoplasmic effector fluorescence is weak compared to BIC fluorescence, but it can

be clearly differentiated from host autofluorescence. Imaging of fluorescent effectors in the host cytoplasm is facilitated by plasmolysis (BOX 1; FIG. 5a) or by concentrating the translocated fusion protein in the rice nucleus by addition of a nuclear localization signal (NLS; either a peptide NLS or an entire histone protein) (FIG. 5b). Using these translocation assays, the BIC-localized AVR effectors Pwl2 and AvrPiz-t and many additional Bas proteins have been visualized in the rice cytoplasm, implying translocation^{23,54,60,68}. By contrast, fluorescent versions of apoplastic Bas4 protein were not observed in the rice cytoplasm, even with an added NLS signal⁵⁴. Bas4 protein only occurred in the rice cytoplasm at sites where infection failed and the EIHM had broken^{54,64}.

M. oryzae effectors that were translocated into the rice cell showed different localization patterns. Some effectors accumulate in the rice cytoplasm and nucleus. One, Bas107, localized to the rice nucleus without an artificially added NLS^{23,60}. Other effectors, including Bas2 and Bas3, accumulated around hyphae where they

Plasmolysis

Shrinkage of the protoplast away from the plant cell wall as a result of the loss of water through osmosis.

Box 2 | Questions for future research

- Do effectors that are secreted by appressoria before penetration make their way through the plant surface into host cells? What roles do they have in penetration and plant invasion?
- Do filamentous plant pathogens deliver virulence factors by secreting exosomes into the biotrophic interface? What role do fungal and/or plant exosomes have in building the extrahaustorial matrix (EHMx)? What role do plant exosomes have in delivering defence responses?
- How are some *Magnaporthe oryzae* effectors targeted to the biotrophic interfacial complex (BIC)-associated alternative secretion pathway, and how does this secretion pathway work? Have other filamentous plant pathogens evolved specialized secretion mechanisms for different classes of effectors?
- What are the modes of action of effectors at the biochemical level and what are the structural bases for the interactions? How can we improve high-throughput biological screens to define targets for the hundreds of candidate effector proteins identified through genome sequencing?
- Are filamentous pathogen effectors translocated across the plant membrane by a pathogen-independent mechanism? Does plant endocytosis have a role in uptake? How can discrepancies in lipid binding and translocation assays be clarified for RXLR effectors in oomycetes?
- Do motifs or signals target fungal effectors for translocation across the host cytoplasm and, if so, what is the mechanism?
- How can understanding the cell biology at the biotrophic pathogen–plant interface contribute to durable resistance to plant diseases that threaten the world's supply of food, fibre and biofuels?

crossed the plant cell wall^{23,64} (FIG. 4c). It is possible that these effectors block apoplastic plant defences in a similar way to *U. maydis* Pep1 (REF. 55), or that they may have a role in the mechanism used for crossing.

Some translocated M. oryzae effectors moved into surrounding uninvaded cells and were clearly visible up to three to four cells away from the invaded cell, presumably to prepare host cells before pathogen entry⁵⁴ (FIG. 5b). Cell-to-cell effector movement was confirmed for the U. maydis Cmu1 effector when the protein was transiently expressed in maize cells88. For both M. oryzae and U. maydis effectors, cell-to-cell movement of effectors depended on host cell type (for example, no movement into guard cells was observed), which is consistent with symplastic movement through plasmodesmata (BOX 1). For rice blast, effector fusion proteins larger than 45 kDa are translocated into rice cells, but they fail to spread from cell to cell⁵⁴ (FIG. 5a), which is consistent with the idea that there is a size exclusion limit on proteins that move through plasmodesmata⁵⁴. These results identify plasmodesmata as a new interaction interface for effectors.

M. oryzae is so far the only filamentous pathogen that has been shown to deliver fluorescent effector fusion proteins into host cells using fluorescence microscopy of natural infection. Attempts to show translocation during infection with *P. infestans, U. maydis* and *C. higginsianum* tranformants expressing fluorescent effectors have not succeeded^{48,88,111}. Perhaps these pathogens translocate lower effector quantities and the fluorescence is too dilute for observation. It is also possible that *M. oryzae* uses a different translocates large fusion proteins.

Pathogen-independent translocation assays. How effector proteins from eukaryotic filamentous pathogens cross plant membranes into living host cells is an important question. There are reports in both oomycete and fungal systems that translocation of effectors across the plant plasma membrane occurs by a pathogen-independent process that does not require pathogen components such as the bacterial type III secretion system^{69,109-111,115}. Pathogen-independent assays involve the transient expression of AVR effectors in plant cells with and without their signal peptides (FIG. 5c). Without a signal peptide, the AVR effector protein remains in the host cytoplasm and induces the HR in the presence of its corresponding R protein. With its signal peptide, the effector protein enters the plant secretory system (FIG. 5c). An AVR effector inside the secretory system should not induce the HR unless it is translocated back across the plant membrane into the host cytoplasm. This assay requires efficient entry of the AVR effector into the ER, as any mislocalization of the protein to the host cytoplasm would trigger the HR. A control to indicate efficient protein delivery into the ER involves incorporation of an HDEL ER amino acid retention signal at the C terminus of the effector protein, which traps the effector in the ER. A complementary assay involves the direct treatment of host tissue with purified fluorescent fusion proteins and observation of its uptake110. N-terminal domains from oomycete RXLR effectors and N-terminal domains that lack amino acid motifs from fungal effectors seem to be active in promoting effector translocation using these HR-induction and fluorescence protein uptake assays^{12,14,115}. Conflicting results regarding the function of the RXLR motif in binding phosphatidylinositol-3-phosphate and in mediating translocation have been obtained using the same effector systems^{25,26}. Beyond reconciling conflicts for individual effectors, there is no reason to expect that diverse fungal and oomycete pathogens have evolved a single effector translocation mechanism. Understanding translocation mechanisms for eukaryotic filamentous pathogens remains an important challenge for the field of plant-pathogen interactions.

Future challenges

We have barely begun to understand the cellular biology of the complex sets of effectors produced by fungi and oomycetes (BOX 2). Effectors are subject to remarkable temporal and spatial targeting during biotrophic invasion, beginning before the pathogen even enters the plant. Recent results suggest that fungi use distinct secretion systems to target effectors^{34,60}, as has long been known to occur for bacterial pathogens.

Live-cell imaging of individual pathogen encounter sites requires careful documentation and quantification of outcomes at individual sites, because of inherent biological variability in the interaction between the pathogen and the host (the pathogen does not always succeed even in interaction with a fully susceptible host)⁵⁴. The addition of large fluorescent proteins to effectors may change their activities or translocation properties. In addition, the inherent limits of microscopy present challenges to increasing our understanding of the in planta targeting and functions of pathogen effectors. For light and fluorescence microscopy, these include limits to resolution, photobleaching and phototoxicity. For electron microscopy, the limitations include cell structure and membrane preservation and static views (that is, an inability to view live cells). Higher resolution light microscopy¹¹⁶⁻¹¹⁹ as well as TEM methods such as HPF-FS^{34,39} that more effectively preserve membranes at biotrophic interfaces are beginning to be applied to define vesicular trafficking at the interface and the host compartments that are targeted by effectors. Correlative light and electron microscopy (CLEM)¹²⁰ offers the potential to obtain precise ultrastructural views of features identified through live-cell imaging. For CLEM, cellular features of interest are located in fixed tissue by fluorescence microscopy and directly sectioned for electron microscopy. New techniques are also needed to image infection sites that are deeper inside the plant tissue than epidermal cells.

The identification of virulence targets for the hundreds of candidate effectors identified by genome sequencing remains a major challenge, partly because functional redundancy seems to be common and because high-throughput cell biological assays are lacking. The establishment of reliable transformation protocols for obligate biotrophic rusts and mildews would facilitate studies of these systems, although new techniques such as host-induced gene silencing (HIGS) have aided the analysis of powdery mildew effector candidates⁴².

Filamentous eukaryotic pathogens of cultivated crops remain major food security threats, and incorporation of R genes into these crops provides the best route for sustainable disease control. High-throughput effectoromics methods for screening the large sets of effector gene candidates available from genome sequencing are already being used to identify new AVR gene-R gene pairs that might be useful to agriculture^{7,21}. Understanding *in planta* effector targeting and function is crucial if we are to design durable methods of disease control.

- Sayer, J. & Cassman, K. G. Agricultural innovation to protect the environment. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 110, 8345–8348 (2013).
- Gerbens-Leenes, P., Nonhebel, S. & Krol, M. Food consumption patterns and economic growth. Increasing affluence and the use of natural resources. *Appetite* 55, 597–608 (2010).
- García-Mier, L., Guevara-González, R. G., Mondragón-Olguín, V. M., del Rocío Verduzco-Cuellar, B. & Torres-Pacheco, I. Agriculture and bioactives: achieving both crop yield and phytochemicals. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 14, 4203–4222 (2013).
- Fisher, M. C. *et al.* Emerging fungal threats to animal, plant and ecosystem health. *Nature* 484, 186–194 (2012).
- Wilson, R. A. & Talbot, N. J. Under pressure: investigating the biology of plant infection by Magnaporthe oryzae. Nature Rev. Microbiol. 7, 185–195 (2009).
- Duplessis, S.B. *et al.* Obligate biotrophy features unraveled by the genomic analysis of rust fungi. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **108**, 9166–9171 (2011).
- Vleeshouwers, V. et al. Understanding and exploiting late blight resistance in the age of effectors. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 49, 507–531 (2011).
- Singh, R. P. et al. The emergence of Ug99 races of the stem rust fungus is a threat to world wheat production. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 49, 465–481 (2011).
- Kohli, M. et al. Pyricularia blast a threat to wheat cultivation. Czech J. Genet. Plant Breed. 47, \$130–134 (2011).
- Valent, B. & Khang, C. H. Recent advances in rice blast effector research. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 13, 434–441 (2010).
- de Jonge, R., Bolton, M. D. & Thomma, B. P. H. J. How filamentous pathogens co-opt plants: the ins and outs of fungal effectors. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 14, 400–406 (2011).
- Bozkurt, T. O., Schornack, S., Banfield, M. J. & Kamoun, S. Oomycetes, effectors, and all that jazz. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **15**, 483–492 (2012).
- Doehlemann, G. & Hemetsberger, C. Apoplastic immunity and its suppression by filamentous plant pathogens. *New Phytol.* **198**, 1001–1016 (2013).
- Rafiqi, M., Ellis, J. G., Ludowici, V. A., Hardham, A. R. & Dodds, P. N. Challenges and progress towards understanding the role of effectors in plant-fungal interactions. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 15, 477–482 (2012).
- Beck, M., Heard, W., Mbengue, M. & Robatzek, S. The INs and OUTs of pattern recognition receptors at the cell surface. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **15**, 367–374 (2012).
- Dodds, P. N. & Rathjen, J. P. Plant immunity: towards an integrated view of plant-pathogen interactions. *Nature Rev. Genet.* 11, 539–548 (2010).
- 17. Liu, W. *et al.* Recent progress in understanding PAMPand effector-triggered immunity against the rice blast

fungus *Magnaporthe oryzae. Mol. Plant* **6**, 605–620 (2013).

- Hogenhout, S. A., Van der Hoorn, R. A. L., Terauchi, R. & Kamoun, S. Emerging concepts in effector biology of plant-associated organisms. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 22, 115–122 (2009).
- Jones, J. D. G. & Dangl, J. L. The plant immune system. *Nature* 444, 323–329 (2006).
 van der Hoorn, R. A. L. & Kamoun, S. From gua
- van der Hoorn, R. A. L. & Kamoun, S. From guard to decoy: a new model for perception of plant pathogen effectors. *Plant Cell* 20, 2009–2017 (2008).
- Stergiopoulos, I. & de Wit, P. J. G. M. Fungal effector proteins. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 47, 233–263 (2009).
- McDonald, B. A. & Linde, C. Pathogen population genetics, evolutionary potential, and durable resistance. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 40, 349–379 (2002).
- Yi, M. & Valent, B. Communication between filamentous pathogens and plants at the biotrophic interface. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* **51**, 587–611 (2013).
- Oliver, R. P., Friesen, T. L., Faris, J. D. & Solomon, P. S. Stagonospora nodorum: from pathology to genomics and host resistance. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 50, 23–43 (2012).
- Tyler, B. M. *et al.* Microbe-independent entry of oomycete RxLR effectors and fungal RxLR-like effectors into plant and animal cells is specific and reproducible. *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact.* 26, 611–616 (2013).
- Wawra, S. et al. In vitro translocation experiments with RxLR-reporter fusion proteins of Avr1b from Phytophthora sojae and AVR3a from Phytophthora infestans fail to demonstrate specific autonomous uptake in plant and animal cells. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 26, 528–536 (2013).
- Djamei, A. & Kahmann, R. Ustilago maydis: dissecting the molecular interface between pathogen and plant. *PLoS Pathog.* 8, e1002955 (2012).
- O'Connell, Ř. J. et al. Lifestyle transitions in plant pathogenic Colletotrichum fungi deciphered by genome and transcriptome analyses. Nature Genet. 44, 1060–1065 (2012).
- de Wit, P. J. et al. The genomes of the fungal plant pathogens Cladosporium fulvum and Dothistroma septosporum reveal adaptation to different hosts and lifestyles but also signatures of common ancestry. PLoS Genet. 8, e1003088 (2012).
- Baxter, L. *et al.* Signatures of adaptation to obligate biotrophy in the *Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis* genome. *Science* **330**, 1549–1551 (2010).
- Raffaele, S. *et al.* Genome evolution following host jumps in the Irish potato famine pathogen lineage. *Science* 330, 1540–1543 (2010).
- Spanu, P. D. *et al.* Genome expansion and gene loss in powdery mildew fungi reveal tradeoffs in extreme parasitism. *Science* 330, 1543–1546 (2010).

- Koh, S., Andre, A., Edwards, H., Ehrhardt, D. & Somerville, S. Arabidopsis thaliana subcellular responses to compatible *Erysiphe cichoracearum*
- infections. *Plant J.* 44, 516–529 (2005).
 Micali, C. O., Neumann, U., Grunewald, D., Panstruga, R. & O'Connell, R. Biogenesis of a specialized plant-fungal interface during host cell internalization of *Golovinomyces orontii* haustoria. *Cell. Microbiol.* 13, 210–226 (2011).
 This paper reports excellent ultrastructural analysis of the biotrophic interface using TEM with HPF-FS. They present evidence that plant MVB are involved in building the EHMx and that fungal MVB are involved in a putative exosome-mediated secretory pathway in haustoria.
- Huckelhoven, R. & Panstruga, R. Cell biology of the plant-powdery mildew interaction. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 14, 738–746 (2011).
- Underwood, W. & Somerville, S. C. Focal accumulation of defences at sites of fungal pathogen attack. *J. Exp. Bot.* 59, 3501–3508 (2008).
- Underwood, W., Koh, S. & Somerville, S. C. Visualizing cellular dynamics in plant-microbe interactions using fluorescent-tagged proteins. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **712**, 283–291 (2011).
- An, Q., Ehlers, K., Kogel, K. H., van Bel, A. J. & Huckelhoven, R. Multivesicular compartments proliferate in susceptible and resistant *MLA12*-barley leaves in response to infection by the biotrophic powdery mildew fungus. *New Phytol.* **172**, 563–576 (2006).
- Mims, C. W., Celio, G. J. & Richardson, E. A. The use of high pressure freezing and freeze substitution to study host-pathogen interactions in fungal diseases of plants. *Microsc. Microanal.* 9, 522–531 (2003).
- Rodrigues, M. L. *et al.* Vesicular transport systems in fungi. *Future Microbiol.* 6, 1371–1381 (2011).
- Hacquard, S. *et al.* Mosaic genome structure of the barley powdery mildew pathogen and conservation of transcriptional programs in divergent hosts. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 110, 2219–2228 (2013).
- Nowara, D. et al. HIGS: Host-induced gene silencing in the obligate biotrophic fungal pathogen Blumeria graminis. Plant Cell 22, 3130–3141 (2010).
- Ridout, C. J. Multiple avirulence paralogues in cereal powdery mildew fungi may contribute to parasite fitness and defeat of plant resistance. *Plant Cell* 18, 2402–2414 (2006).
- Schirawski, J. *et al.* Pathogenicity determinants in smut fungi revealed by genome comparison. *Science* 330, 1546–1548 (2010).
- Jiang, R. H. Y. & Tyler, B. M. Mechanisms and evolution of virulence in oomycetes. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 50, 295–318 (2012).
- Raffaele, S. & Kamoun, S. Genome evolution in filamentous plant pathogens: why bigger can be better. *Nature Rev. Microbiol.* **10**, 417–430 (2012).

- Saitoh, H. *et al*. Large-scale gene disruption in Magnaporthe oryzae identifies MC69, a secreted protein required for infection by monocot and dicot fungal pathogens. *PLoS Pathog.* 8, e1002711 (2012).
- 48. Kleemann, J. et al. Sequential delivery of host-induced virulence effectors by appressoria and intracellular hyphae of the phytopathogen Colletotrichum higginsianum. PLoS Pathog. 8, e1002643 (2012). The authors identify distinct sets of effectors that are deployed in successive waves by particular fungal cell-types. Some effectors are focally secreted at the appressorium pore; others accumulate in interfacial bodies near primary hyphae. Their findings indicate that appressoria function in effector delivery.
- Howard, R. J. & Valent, B. Breaking and entering: host penetration by the fungal rice blast pathogen *Magnaporthe grisea. Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 50, 491–512 (1996).
- Boettger, D. & Hertweck, C. Molecular diversity sculpted by fungal PKS–NRPS hybrids. *Chembiochem.* 14, 28–42 (2013).
- Collemare, J. et al. Magnaporthe grisea avirulence gene ACE1 belongs to an infection-specific gene cluster involved in secondary metabolism. New Phytol. 179, 196–208 (2008).
- Fudal, I., Collemare, J., Böhnert, H. U., Melayah, D. & Lebrun, M. H. Expression of Magnaporthe grisea avirulence gene ACE1 is connected to the initiation of appressorium-mediated penetration. *Eukaryot. Cell* 6, 546–554 (2007).
- Doehlemann, G. *et al.* Pep1, a secreted effector protein of *Ustilago maydis* is required for successful invasion of plant cells. *PLoS Pathog.* 5, e1000290 (2009).
- Khang, C. H. *et al.* Translocation of *Magnaporthe* oryzae effectors into rice cells and their subsequent cell-to-cell movement. *Plant Cell* 22, 1388–1403 (2010).

This study characterizes the BIC and provides live-cell imaging of fungus secreting fluorescently labeled effectors during rice cell invasion. A sensitive nuclear targeting assay is described for analyses of effector translocation and cell-to-cell movement.

- Hemetsberger, C., Herrberger, C., Zechmann, B., Hillmer, M. & Doehlemann, G. The Ustilago maydis effector Pep1 suppresses plant immunity by inhibition of host peroxidase activity. *PLoS Pathog.* 8, e1002684 (2012).
- 56. Bozkurt, T. O. et al. Phytophthora infestans effector AVRblb2 prevents secretion of a plant immune protease at the haustorial interface. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 108, 20832–20837 (2011). This paper characterizes Avrblb2, which is an RXLR-type effector protein of P. infestans. The results suggest that Avrblb2 targets PLCP C14 and prevents its secretion into the apoplast.
- Caillaud, M. C. *et al.* Subcellular localization of the Hpa RxLR effector repertoire identifies a tonoplastassociated protein HaRxL17 that confers enhanced plant susceptibility. *Plant J.* **69**, 252–265 (2012).
- Koga, H., Dohi, K., Nakayachi, O. & Mori, M. A novel inoculation method of *Magnaporthe grisea* for cytological observation of the infection process using intact leaf sheaths of rice plants. *Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.* 64, 67–72 (2004).
- 59. Sakamoto, M. On the new method of sheath-inoculation of rice plants with blast fungus, *Pyricularia oryzae* Cav for the study of the disease resistant nature of the plant. *Bull. Institute Agric. Res. Tohoku Univers.* 1, 120–129 (1949).
 This is the first report of the rice leaf sheath assay,

which is now widely used for *in planta* analysis and effector localization studies. 60. Giraldo, M. C. *et al.* Two distinct secretion systems facilitate tissue invasion by the rice blast fungus

Magnaporthe oryzae. Nature Commun. 4, 1996 (2013). The authors further characterize BICs as

In the data of the data of the data of the second and the second a

- Kankanala, P., Czymmek, K. & Valent, B. Roles for rice membrane dynamics and plasmodesmata during biotrophic invasion by the blast fungus. *Plant Cell* 19, 706–724 (2007).
- Mentlak, T. A. *et al.* Effector-mediated suppression of chitin-triggered immunity by *Magnaporthe oryzae* is necessary for rice blast disease. *Plant Cell* 24, 322–335 (2012).

- Heath, M. C., Valent, B., Howard, R. J. & Chumley, F. G. Interactions of two strains of *Magnaporthe grisea* with rice, goosegrass, and weeping lovegrass. *Can. J. Bot.* 68, 1627–1637 (1990).
- Mosquera, G., Giraldo, M. C., Khang, C. H., Coughlan, S. & Valent, B. Interaction transcriptome analysis identifies *Magnaporthe oryzae* BAS1-4 as biotrophy-associated secreted proteins in rice blast disease. *Plant Cell* 21, 1273–1290 (2009).
- Sweigard, J. A. *et al.* Identification, cloning, and characterization of *PWL2*, a gene for host species specificity in the rice blast fungus. *Plant Cell* 7, 1221–1233 (1995).
- Orbach, M. J., Farrall, L., Sweigard, J. A., Chumley, F. G. & Valent, B. A telomeric avirulence gene *AVR-Pita* determines efficacy for the rice blast resistance gene *Pi-ta*. *Plant Cell* **12**, 2019–2032 (2000).
- Li, W. et al. The Magnaporthe oryzae avirulence gene AvrPiz-t encodes a predicted secreted protein that triggers the immunity in rice mediated by the blast resistance gene Piz-t. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 22, 411–420 (2009).
- Park, C.-H. et al. The Magnaporthe oryzae effector AvrPiz-t targets the RING E3 ubiquitin ligase APIP6 to suppress Pathogen-Associated Molecular Patterntriggered immunity in rice. *Plant Cell* 24, 4748–4762 (2012).

This paper shows that AVR effector AvrPiz-t (which is recognized by rice resistance protein Piz-t) binds to and destabilizes the rice RING E3 ubiquitin ligase APIP6 in a virulence function to suppress chitin-induced PAMP immunity.

- Ribot, C. et al. The Magnaporthe oryzae effector AVR 1–CO39 is translocated into rice cells independently of a fungal-derived machinery. Plant J. 74, 1–12 (2013).
- Yi, M. et al. The ER chaperone MoLHS1 is involved in asexual development and rice infection by the blast fungus Magnaporthe oryzae. Plant Cell 21, 681–695 (2009).
- 71. Read, N. D. Exocytosis and growth do not occur only at hyphal tips. *Mol. Microbiol.* **81**, 4–7 (2011).
- Gan, P. et al. Comparative genomic and transcriptomic analyses reveal the hemibiotrophic stage shift of *Colletotrichum* fungi. *New Phytol.* **197**, 1236–1249 (2013).
- Schornack, S. *et al.* Ancient class of translocated oomycete effectors targets the host nucleus. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **107**, 17421–17426 (2010).
- Thomma, B. P., Nurnberger, T. & Josten, M. H. Of PAMPs and effectors: the blurred PTI-ETI dichotomy. *Plant Cell* 23, 4–15 (2011).
- van der Linde, K. *et al.* A maize cystatin suppresses host immunity by inhibiting apoplastic cysteine proteases. *Plant Cell* 24, 1285–1300 (2012).
- Rooney, H. C. *et al.* Cladosporium Avr2 inhibits tomato Rcr3 protease required for Cf-2-dependent disease resistance. *Science* **308**, 1783–1786 (2005).
- Song, J. et al. Apoplastic effectors secreted by two unrelated eukaryotic plant pathogens target the tomato defense protease Rcr3. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 106, 1654–1659 (2009).
- Kaschani, F. et al. An effector-targeted protease contributes to defense against *Phytophthora infestans* and is under diversifying selection in natural hosts. *Plant Physiol.* 154, 1794–1804 (2010).
- Tian, M. et al. A Phytophthora infestans cystatin-like protein targets a novel tomato papain-like apoplastic protease. Plant Physiol. 143, 364–377 (2007).
- 80. Doehlemann, G., Reissmann, S., Assmann, D., Fleckenstein, M. & Kahmann, R. Two linked genes encoding a secreted effector and a membrane protein are essential for Ustilago maydis-induced tumour formation. Mol. Microbiol. 81, 751–766 (2011). The authors describe a novel U. maydis gene cluster that contains two adjacent and divergently arranged genes which encode the fungal membrane protein Pit1 and the apoplastic effector Pit2.
- Mueller, A. N., Ziemann, S., Treitschke, S., Aβmann, D. <u>δ</u> Doehlemann, G. Compatibility in the *Ustilago* maydis-maize interaction requires inhibition of host cysteine proteases by the fungal effector Pit2. *PLoS Pathog.* 9, e1003177 (2013).
- de Jonge, R. *et al.* Conserved fungal LysM effector Ecp6 prevents chitin-triggered immunity in plants. *Science* 329, 953–955 (2010).

- 84. van den Burg, H. A. et al. Binding of the AVR4 elicitor of *Cladosporium fulvum* to chitotriose units is facilitated by positive allosteric protein-protein interactions: the chitin-binding site of AVR4 represents a novel binding site on the folding scaffold shared between the invertebrate and the plant chitin-binding domain. J. Biol. Chem. **279**, 16786–16796 (2004).
- Marshall, R. et al. Analysis of two in planta expressed LysM effector homologs from the fungus Mycosphaerella graminicola reveals novel functional properties and varying contributions to virulence on wheat. Plant Physiol. 156, 756–769 (2011).
- Bos, J. I. B. *et al. Phytophthora infestans* effector AVR3a is essential for virulence and manipulates plant immunity by stabilizing host E3 ligase CMPG1. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **107**, 9909–9914 (2010).
 This study provides genetic evidence that the translocated oomycete AVR effector AVR3a is an essential virulence factor that targets and stabilizes the plant E3 ligase CMPG1, potentially to prevent host cell death during the biotrophic phase of infection.
- Trujillo, M. & Shirasu, K. Ubiquitination in plant immunity. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 13, 402–408 (2010).
- 88. Djamei, A. *et al.* Metabolic priming by a secreted fungal effector. *Nature* **478**, 395–398 (2011). The authors show that the secreted *U. maydis* effector, Cmu1, is required for full virulence and is active as a chorismate mutase. This effector diverts the shikimate pathway away from production of the key defence hormone salicylic acid.
- Bouwmeester, K. *et al.* The lectin receptor kinase LecRK-1.9 is a novel Phytophthora resistance component and a potential host target for a RXLR effector. *PLoS Pathora* 7, e1001327 (2011)
- effector. *PLoS Pathog.* 7, e1001327 (2011).
 90. Mellersh, D. G. & Heath, M. C. Plasma membrane-cell wall adhesion is required for expression of plant defense responses during fungal penetration. *Plant Cell* 13, 413–424 (2001).
- Singh, P. & Zimmerli, L. Lectin receptor kinases in plant innate immunity. *Front. Plant Sci.* 4, 124 (2013).
- Kemen, E. *et al.* Identification of a protein from rust fungi transferred from haustoria into infected plant cells. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 18, 1130–1139 (2005).
- Hacquard, S. *et al.* A comprehensive analysis of genes encoding small secreted proteins identifies candidate effectors in *Melampsora larici-populina* (Poplar Leaf Rust). *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 25, 279–293 (2012).
- Pretsch, K. *et al.* The rust transferred proteins-a new family of effector proteins exhibiting protease inhibitor function. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 14, 96–107 (2013).
- Kemen, E., Kemen, A., Ehlers, A., Voegele, R. & Mendgen, K. A novel structural effector from rust fungi is capable of fibril formation. *Plant J.* **75**, 767–780 (2013).
 - The authors propose a structural function for the rust effector Rtp1. This protein forms amyloid-like filaments *in vitro*, and localizes to protuberances of the extrahaustorial matrix that reach into the host cytoplasm.
- Saunders, D. G. et al. Host protein BSL1 associates with *Phytophthora infestans* RXLR effector AVR2 and the *Solanum demissum* immune receptor R2 to mediate disease resistance. *Plant Cell* 24, 3420–3434 (2012).
- Chen, Y., Liu, Z. & Halterman, D. A. Molecular determinants of resistance activation and suppression by *Phytophthora infestans* effector IPI-O. *PLoS Pathog.* 8, e1002595 (2012).
- Jia, Y., McAdams, S. A., Bryan, G. T., Hershey, H. P. & Valent, B. Direct interaction of resistance gene and avirulence gene products confers rice blast resistance. *EMBO J.* **19**, 4004–4014 (2000).
- Kanzaki, H. *et al.* Arms race co-evolution of Magnaporthe oryzae AVR-Pik and rice Pik genes driven by their physical interactions. *Plant J.* **72**, 894–907 (2012).
- 100. Cesari, S. *et al.* The rice resistance protein pair RGA4/ RGA5 recognizes the *Magnaporthe oryzae* effectors AVR-Pia and AVR1-CO39 by direct binding. *Plant Cell* 25, 1463–1481 (2013).
- Ravensdale, M., Nemri, A., Thrall, P. H., Ellis, J. G. & Dodds, P. N. Co-evolutionary interactions between host resistance and pathogen effector genes in flax rust disease. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **12**, 93–102 (2011).
- 102. Dodds, P. N. et al. Direct protein interaction underlies gene-for-gene specificity and coevolution of the flax resistance genes and flax rust avirulence genes. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 103, 8888–8893 (2006).

- 103. Ravensdale, M. et al. Intramolecular interaction influences binding of the Flax L5 and L6 resistance proteins to their AvrL567 ligands. PLoS Pathog. 8, e1003004 (2012).
- 104. Catanzariti, A. M. et al. The AvrM effector from flax rust has a structured C-terminal domain and interacts
- directly with the M resistance protein. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 23, 49–57 (2010).
 105. Catanzariti, A.-M., Dodds, P. N., Lawrence, G. J., Ayliffe, M. A. & Ellis, J. G. Haustorially expressed secreted proteins from flax rust are highly enriched for avirulence elicitors. Plant Cell 18, 243–256 (2006).
- 106. Miki, S. et al. Molecular cloning and characterization of the AVR-Pia locus from a Japanese field isolate of Magnaporthe oryzae. Mol. Plant Pathol. 10, 361–374 (2009)
- 107. Yoshida, K. et al. Association genetics reveals three novel avirulence genes from the rice blast fungal pathogen Magnaporthe oryzae. Plant Cell 21 1573-1591 (2009)
- 108. Chuma, I. et al. Multiple translocation of the AVR-Pita effector gene among chromosomes of the rice blast fungus Magnaporthe oryzae and related species. PLoS Pathog. 7, e1002147 (2011).
- 109. Dou, D. et al. RXLR-mediated entry of Phytophthora soige effector Avr1b into sovbean cells does not require pathogen-encoded machinery. Plant Cell 20, 1930-1947 (2008).
- 110. Kale, S. D. et al. External lipid PI3P mediates entry of eukaryotic pathogen effectors into plant and animal host cells. *Cell* **142**, 284–295 (2010).
- 111. Whisson, S. C. et al. A translocation signal for delivery of oomycete effector proteins into host plant cells. Nature 450, 115-118 (2007).

- 112. Yaeno, T. & Shirasu, K. The RXLR motif of oomycete effectors is not a sufficient element for binding to phosphatidylinositol monophosphates. Plant Signal Behav. 8 (2013).
- 113. Godfrey, D. et al. Powdery mildew fungal effector candidates share N-terminal Y/F/WxC-motif. BMC Genomics 11, 317 (2010).
- 114. Pedersen, C. et al. Structure and evolution of barley powdery mildew effector candidates. BMC Genomics 13, 694 (2012).
- 115. Rafigi, M. et al. Internalization of flax rust avirulence proteins into flax and tobacco cells can occur in the absence of the pathogen. Plant Cell 22, 2017-2032 (2010)

The authors show by immunolocalization that the flax rust AVR effector AvrM is translocated into flax cells during infection. They present evidence that AvrM and AvrL567 can enter plant cells in the absence of the pathogen.

- 116. Bell, K. & Oparka, K. Imaging plasmodesmata. Protoplasma 248, 9–25 (2011).
- Kwaaitaal, M., Keinath, N. F., Pajonk, S., Biskup, C. & 117 Panstruga, R. Combined bimolecular fluorescence complementation and Förster resonance energy transfer reveals ternary SNARE complex formation in living plant cells. *Plant Physiol.* **152**, 1135–1147 (2010).
- 118. Sørensen, C. K., Justesen, A. F. & Hovmøller, M. S. 3D imaging of temporal and spatial development of Puccinia striiformis haustoria in wheat. Mycologia 104. 1381-1389 (2012).
- 119. Domozych, D. S. The quest for four-dimensional imaging in plant cell biology: it's just a matter of time. Ann. Bot. 110, 461-474 (2012).

- 120. Bell, K., Mitchell, S., Paultre, D., Posch, M. & Oparka, K. Correlative imaging of fluorescent proteins in resin-embedded plant material. Plant Physiol. 161, 1595-1603 (2013).
- 121. Burch-Smith, T. M. & Zambryski, P. C. Plasmodesmata paradigm shift: regulation from without versus within. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 63, 239-260 (2012).
- 122. Lee, J.-Y. & Lu, H. Plasmodesmata: the battleground against intruders. Trends Plant Sci. 16, 201-210 (2011).
- 123. Oparka, K. J. Plasmolysis new insights into an old process. New Phytol. **126**, 571–591 (1994).
- 124. Lang, I., Barton, D. A. & Overall, R. L. Membrane-wall attachments in plasmolysed plant cells. Protoplasma **224**, 231–243 (2004).

Acknowledgements

The authors apologize to authors whose work could not be cited as a result of space limitations. They thank M. Dalby for technical support and P. Dodds and M. Yi for comments and discussions. Their research was supported by grants from the National Research Initiative Competitive Grants Program (Grants 2008-35600-18809 and 2010-65108-20538) from the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) National Institute of Food and Agriculture. They acknowledge support from the Centre of Biomedical Research Excellence (COBRE) Confocal Microfluorometry and Microscopy Core at Kansas State University (KSU), USA, funded by College of Veterinary Medicine, KSU (CVM-KSU) and US National Institutes of Health (NIH) Grant P20 RR-017686. This is contribution no.14-012-J from the Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station.

Competing interests statement

The authors declare no competing financial interests.