



The evolution of resistance genes in multi-protein plant resistance systems

Aaron R Friedman and Barbara J Baker

The genomic perspective aids in integrating the analysis of single resistance (R-) genes into a higher order model of complex plant resistance systems. The majority of R-genes encode a class of proteins with nucleotide binding (NB) and leucine-rich repeat (LRR) domains. Several R-proteins act in multi-protein R-complexes that mediate interaction with pathogen effectors to induce resistance signaling. The complexity of these systems seems to have resulted from multiple rounds of plant-pathogen co-evolution. R-gene evolution is thought to be facilitated by the formation of R-gene clusters, which permit sequence exchanges via recombinatorial mispairing and generate high haplotypic diversity. This pattern of evolution may also generate diversity at other loci that contribute to the R-complex. The rate of recombination at R-clusters is not necessarily homogeneous or consistent over evolutionary time: recent evidence suggests that recombination at R-clusters is increased following pathogen infection, suggesting a mechanism that induces temporary genome instability in response to extreme stress. DNA methylation and chromatin modifications may allow this instability to be conditionally regulated and targeted to specific genome regions. Knowledge of natural R-gene evolution may contribute to strategies for artificial evolution of novel resistance specificities.

Addresses

Plant Gene Expression Center, USDA-ARS, and Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, University of California, Berkeley, 800 Buchanan Street, Albany, CA 94710, USA

Corresponding author: Baker, Barbara J (bbaker@berkeley.edu)

Current Opinion in Genetics & Development 2007, 17:493-499

This review comes from a themed issue on Genomes and evolution Edited by Susan Wessler, Kelly Dawe and Jim Leebens-Mack

Available online 17th October 2007

0959-437X/\$ - see front matter Published by Elsevier Ltd.

DOI 10.1016/j.gde.2007.08.014

Introduction

This review focuses on resistance (R-) genes and does not discuss basal resistance involving recognition of conserved pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs; reviewed in references $[1,2^{\bullet}]$). *R*-genes were originally defined on the basis of the genetic observation that a single *R*-gene signals a resistance response following infection of a pathogen carrying a specific avirulence gene; the gene-for-gene model conceptualizes *R*-proteins as receptors for specific, pathogen-produced elicitors.

While this genetic observation remains true, the cellular components that govern resistance responses are more complicated than simple gene-for-gene interactions. The products of plant and pathogen genomes commingle in common landscapes in the apoplast, at the cell membrane, in the cytosol, and within the nuclear compartment [2[•]]. Over evolutionary time, pathogens have evolved virulent effectors that modify, subvert, and exploit host proteins in order to gain access to the cell, suppress host defenses, modify metabolic processes, and produce compounds that benefit the pathogen. Hypothetically, each juncture of pathogen assault presents a simultaneous opportunity for detection of the pathogen infection, by evolution of R-proteins that 'guard' host proteins and recognize virulent modifications or effector-host interactions [3]. Subsequently, a pathogen may evolve to escape recognition, by alteration of binding specificity of the effector, evolution of novel host defense suppression, or simply by outright gene deletion. The plant genome may in turn evolve fortuitous compensations that restore recognition. Hence, pathogen effectors may have positive and negative impacts over the course of plantpathogen co-evolution, acting as two-edged swords that enable infection, but trigger resistance if recognized by the host. This dual role, depending on genetic context, is emphasized by the term (a)virulence gene. In this review, we discuss the implications of multi-protein resistance on the evolution of R-gene-dependent plant resistance systems and describe mechanisms that may facilitate and regulate rapid evolution of *R*-clusters.

Resistance in the genomic context: multi-protein resistance complexes

R-proteins are expected to mediate pathogen recognition via several types of transient or constitutive interactions – including potential interactions with pathogen effectors, effector-host complexes, modified/unmodified host proteins, downstream defense signaling proteins, and/or adapter proteins that mediate binding, stabilize, or localize the R-protein – thereby forming multi-protein 'R-complexes'. The R-complex mechanism has been conceptualized as a 'trigger' [4]: under normal conditions, intramolecular bonds and R-complex interactions stabilize the R-protein in an inactive conformation; following infection, pathogen effectors disrupt this stable conformation by virulent modification of host proteins or other interactions with the R-complex, thus activating R-protein signaling. Several lines of evidence support the 'trigger complex' model: (1) The *R*-proteins MLA1 (from barley), and RPM1 and RPS2 (from Arabidopsis), which are each approximately 100 kDa, are associated with much larger protein complexes (approximately 1000 kDa) under normal cellular conditions, and RPM1 redistributes to smaller complexes following pathogen infection, showing a dynamic shift toward co-elution with the virulence target RIN4 [4,5]. (2) Isolated domains of the potato Rx *R*-protein physically interact when co-expressed in potato lacking Rx, demonstrating that the domains form stable intramolecular bonds. Co-expression of the PVX coat protein elicitor causes these interactions to be disrupted, and results in HR signaling [6]. (3) Alterations that are expected to disrupt *R*-protein conformation – including mutations that may alter intramolecular bonds, truncations removing Rprotein C-terminal domains, and artificial overexpression of R-genes (where oversaturation of the R-protein may stoichiometrically exceed R-complex proteins that stabilize the inactive conformation) - have all been shown to cause spontaneous resistance signaling in the absence of pathogens (reviewed in references [4,7]).

Self-oligomerization of R-proteins may also affect the conformation and stability of *R*-complexes, as in the product of the tobacco N gene, which provides resistance against TMV: N has recently been shown to oligomerize, apparently via binding at the TIR domain, in the presence of the TMV p50 elicitor [8[•]]. Given that an alternatively spliced N transcript, encoding a predicted truncated protein with TIR and NB domains but lacking the LRR domain, increases in relative abundance following TMV infection [9], and that the TIR domain is involved in p50 interaction [10[•]], oligomerization of alternate N proteins may be crucial for the stability of N and HR signaling. Such oligomerization also raises the possibility that R-proteins form complexes with closely related homologs. In contrast to these documented R-complexes, other *R*-proteins, such as the tomato Eix [11], appear to function as classical gene-for-gene receptors and may not require other proteins to mediate elicitor binding, Rprotein stabilization, or downstream signaling.

The evolution of resistance systems

Many *R*-genes are located in clusters that comprise several copies of homologous *R*-gene sequences arising from a single gene family (simple clusters) or colocalized *R*gene sequences derived from two or more unrelated families (complex clusters), and may also contain unrelated single genes interspersed between the homologs. *R*clusters range in size from two tandem paralogs to large complexes spanning several megabases. The largest *R*clusters characterized to date include the maize *Rp1* cluster (~1–52 homologs per haplotype [12]), the lettuce *Dm3* (aka *RGC2*) cluster (~12–32 homologs per haplotype [13]), and the potato major late blight resistance (*MLB*) cluster (~45 homologs per haplotype; Kuang and Baker, unpublished data). Genic and intergenic sequence repeats within R-clusters, generated by duplications and transposon insertions, provide a structural environment that permits mispairing during recombination, giving rise to unequal crossovers and interlocus gene conversions [14^{••},15]. Intergenic unequal crossover has the potential to place R-genes in new structural contexts that may alter expression, whereas intragenic mispairing generates chimeric genes that may encode novel functions. Both types of unequal recombination will also result in altered gene copy number within the cluster (gene duplication on one chromosome and loss on the other) according to the number of genes present in the region between the mispaired recombination sites.

Sequence exchanges (unequal crossovers and/or gene conversions) have been documented in several R-clusters [13,16-22] and are associated with genic diversity, characterized by sequence shuffling and chimeric genes, and haplotypic diversity, characterized by a variable number of R-homologs within the cluster and a general loss of syntenic/orthologous relationships between haplotypes. Furthermore, unequal recombination, at the Rp1 cluster and at the Cf4/9 cluster, has been shown to generate novel *R*-haplotypes with resistance specificities that differ from either parent. Interestingly, similar clustering phenomena are seen at (a)virulence loci in multiple, evolutionarily distinct pathogen genomes [23[•],24[•],25]. This accumulated evidence indicates that R-clusters facilitate rapid evolution via recombinatorial mispairings, generating novel R-gene sequences that may encode altered specificities or have altered expression patterns.

The role of R-clusters in R-gene evolution is often conceptualized in terms of a gene-for-gene model, for example, sequence shuffling of R-genes may generate novel specificities of an R-gene receptor for the pathogen elicitor. However, the revisioning of R-proteins as constituents of multi-protein R-complexes has important implications for R-gene evolution, namely, that the evolution of specificity and resistance depends on multiple proteins in the R-complex: R-genes do not evolve in isolation. Hence, selective evolution is expected to occur at other components of the *R*-complex that interact with the pathogen or stabilize the *R*-gene, and the formation of clusters may facilitate rapid evolution at these loci. This seems to be the case for the tomato *Pto-Prf* system, in which *Pto* was originally identified as an *R*-gene, though it encodes a serine/threonine kinase, rather than a stereotypical NBS-LRR R-gene [26]. Pto binds two unrelated Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato effectors, AvrPto and AvrPtoB, and depends on a stereotypical NBS-LRR protein, Prf, to signal resistance [27]. Hence, Pto may be better redefined as an effector target that is guarded by Prf, similar to the Arabidopsis proteins RIN4 and PBS1, which are virulently modified by P. syringae type III secreted effectors and guarded by R-proteins (modification of RIN4 is

detected by RPM1 [28] and RPS2 [29], and modification of PBS1 is detected by RPS5 [30]; interestingly, PBS1, like Pto, is a serine/threonine kinase). Co-immunoprecipitation has confirmed that Pto and Prf interact and co-elute in a protein complex that appears to contain additional host proteins [31], providing evidence that Prf and Pto function in an *R*-complex. While the *Prf R*-gene is a single gene, *Pto* is located within a cluster of five kinase homologs, which, interestingly, contains Prf [32]. The Pto homologs seem to have experienced a complex history of plant-pathogen coevolution: one of the Pto cluster paralogs, Fen, has recently been shown to bind a mutated AvrPtoB, which has a disrupted C-terminal E3 ligase domain, and induce Prfdependent HR [33^{••}]. By contrast, wild-type AvrPtoB, carrying a functional E3 ligase domain, ubiquitinates Fen, resulting in Fen degradation accompanied by loss Fen-mediated resistance. AvrPtoB also has roles in suppressing basal defense [34], suggesting a multi-step evolutionary interplay: (1) Fen was an original virulence target of an AvrPtoB progenitor, and was 'guarded' by Prf to trigger resistance. (2) The AvrPtoB progenitor evolved E3 ligase function, suppressing effector–Fen interaction. (3) Evolution at Pto, the Fen paralog, established recognition of AvrPtoB (and AvrPto) while avoiding E3 ligase targeting.

Pathogen effectors, including Avr2 (a cysteine protease targeting apoplastic Rcr3 required for *Cf2*-mediated resistance in tomato), AvrB (targeting RIN4 in *Arabidopsis*), AvrRpt2 (also targeting RIN4), and AvrPtoB, have been shown to interact with multiple host proteins in addition to the target that triggers resistance [35–37].

Figure 1

Amplification of effector targets in the host genome, as at the *Pto* cluster, may produce homologs that act as 'decoys' [14^{••}], retaining the ability to be targeted by the effector and mediate resistance via participation in *R*complexes, but no longer encoding the function that allows the effector to subvert the host system. Hence, effector targets that participate in *R*-complexes may, in some cases, have been co-opted into purely recognitional roles.

Punctuated evolution in resistance systems

Comparative analysis of multiple haplotypes of the flax N, the lettuce Dm3, and the potato MLB (providing resistance against Phytophthora infestans) loci has revealed that the R-homologs at these clusters experience heterogeneous rates of evolution: distance tree analysis of sequences in these clusters shows that constituent homologs are grouped into clades, and that individual clades generally experience either 'fast' or 'slow' patterns of evolution, termed 'type I' and 'type II', respectively, by Kuang et al. [13] (Figure 1). For both types, sequence exchanges generally occur only between clade members, with rare exceptions. However, paralogs in type I clades show high rates of sequence exchange and correspondingly may have high homology between paralogs and high haplotypic diversity (variable gene copy number, disruption of synteny), whereas paralogs in type II clades show infrequent sequence exchanges and retain orthologous relationships (higher homology between orthologs than between paralogs) and synteny. Physical mapping of the structurally complex R1 and MLB late blight resistance



'Fast evolving' type I resistance genes are characterized by frequent sequence exchanges between paralogs that obscure orthologous relationships, whereas 'slow evolving' type II resistance genes rarely experience sequence exchanges between paralogs and maintain orthologous relationships. Black arrows represent changes accrued over evolutionary time. Point mutations are shown as vertical white lines.

loci has further shown that, while genes from different clades may be interspersed, regions of conserved syntemy are physically distinct from regions that undergo high rates of sequence shuffling. Thus, it appears that the sequence exchanges characteristic of *R*-clusters may be confined to specific, highly variable regions, while other regions show a more 'normal' pattern of evolution with few sequence exchanges and conservation of syntemy.

The mechanism underlying differentiation of conserved and variable regions within R-clusters has not been established. One contributing factor may be stochastic sequence changes [16], including mutations and transposon insertions, accruing to restrict mispairing between paralogs, leading to distinct lineages that may exchange sequence with clade members but not with dissimilar members from other clades. An alternative, though not necessarily mutually exclusive, force contributing to the evolution of *R*-clusters may be inhibition of recombination. Recently, a series of studies demonstrated that recombination rates (detected by a transgene carrying two GUS fragments that recombine to generate an intact reporter) are elevated following pathogen stress [38], that this elevated recombination is induced by a systemic signal that can be transmitted in the absence of the pathogen [39], and that increased recombination persists in subsequent generations in the absence of stress [40[•]]. Recombination rates are also altered in RAD51D and SNI1 mutants that positively and negatively regulate, respectively, the induction of systemic resistance, indicating that DNA accessibility, via chromatin modifications, may provide a mechanism to conditionally regulate both defense gene transcription and recombination in response to pathogen stress [41^{••}]. Recently, stress-related methylation was analyzed by Boyko et al. [42[•]], who demonstrated that TMV infection in susceptible tobacco plants resulted in an approximately sixfold to eightfold increase in restructuring events detected in homologs of the LRR region of N homologs in the progeny of infected, versus non-infected, plants, whereas increased instability was not detected at other loci. This pathogen-induced increase in instability was correlated with a global increase in methylation, but a decrease in methylation specifically at *R*-homologs in the progeny of infected plants. Hence, chromatin modifications may repress sequence exchange at *R*-clusters in the absence of virulent pathogens, and variable patterns of methylation within *R*-clusters may contribute to heterogeneous rates of evolution.

The heritable 'systemic recombination signal' (SRS) is reminiscent of patterns of systemic silencing mediated by small RNA pathways [43,44], and endogenous small RNA pathways regulate the establishment and inheritance of DNA and chromatin modifications by targeting DNA and histone methylation to specific DNA sequences [45]. Transcribed tandem duplications can generate small RNAs that establish chromatin modifications [46,47], and insertion of transposable elements (TEs), which are widely observed in *R*-clusters [15], may also change local methylation patterns via TE-derived small RNAs [48,49]. Furthermore, stress-conditioned hypomethylation of *R*-clusters is likely to activate TEs, in addition to permitting recombination, providing an additional mechanism of *R*-cluster restructuring. Indeed, numerous TEs have been shown to be activated in response to stress [50,51].

Evidence for stress-induced rearrangement of *R*-clusters may give new conceptual insight into R-gene evolution, raising the possibility for a mechanism of punctuated evolution of (at least some) R-clusters. In the absence of pathogen pressure, recombination and transposon activity at R-clusters is expected to be inhibited, presumably by chromatin modification, such that sequence exchanges and therefore sequence homogenization is limited, *R*-gene paralogs are diversify by point mutation, and functional *R*-genes and haplotypes are conserved; this pattern of evolution is similar to that described by the Birth and Death Model [52]. Following biotic stress from a pathogen that escapes host defenses, alleviation of methvlation will result in increased recombination that persists for multiple generations, facilitating restructuring events including haplotypic gene duplication/loss and the generation of chimeric genes through sequence exchanges. Absence of stress, through the evolution of functional resistance, or through ecological separation from the pathogen, will restore genome stability. Critically, differential patterns of methylation may allow subregions of R-clusters to undergo persistent recombination in the absence of pathogen stress, thus generating *R*-gene sequence reservoirs that may encode latent specificities for pathogens that the plant genome has not yet encountered.

Chromatin modifications, lethal recombinations, and the extent of homology within R-clusters may all affect observed recombination rates, complicating the analysis of stress-conditioned recombination. Large-scale methvlation assays [53[•],54], which quantify methylation by combining microarray analysis with DNA methylation and chromatin modification enrichment techniques, provide an excellent tool to specifically correlate observed recombination with methylation status. Whole-genome methylation data are publicly available for Arabidopsis [55**,56**,57**], providing a tool for the genome-wide analysis of methylation at *R*-clusters. In crop plants and wild species, several large *R*-clusters have been partially or completely sequenced. Development of microarrays for methylation assay of these R-clusters would extend methylation studies from the Arabidopsis model, allowing analysis of larger clusters that may more closely resemble genomic patterns in natural populations, and enabling analysis of the roles, if any, that resistance signaling and

small RNA pathways play in regulating patterns of cluster methylation following pathogen infection.

Artificial evolution of resistance

In natural populations, it seems that resistance is achieved partly by maintaining high levels of diversity at *R*-clusters and generating novel R-genes through rapid evolution, rather than by evolving any single gene that is particularly durable. Heavy crop breeding and artificial selection erase this genetic diversity. However, it may be possible to mimic natural evolution in a laboratory setting. The first successful in vitro artificial evolution of a beneficial Rgene was recently achieved by random PCR mutagenesis of the LRR region of the potato Rx gene, expanding its range of specificity to provide resistance against additional PVX strains and also against the distant popular mosaic virus [58**]. Alternatively, in vitro DNA shuffling may provide a superior method for artificial evolution. Using this technique, Bernal et al. [59] fragmented four paralogs of the tomato Pto gene and reannealed the fragments to generate a library of Pto homolog chimeras, similar to chimeras produced by natural sequence exchanges, and retrieved 56 non-redundant combinatorial clones that interacted with AvrPto in Y2H. The study focused on dissecting Pto functional domains, rather than generating novel specificities; however, shuffling has previously been used to enhance protein performance [60] and has the advantage of recombining natural sequence polymorphisms that may constitute functional domains. Novel R-specificities have also been generated in planta in crosses between diverse Rp1 haplotypes in maize [17] and Cf4/9 haplotypes in tomato [61]. Pathogen stress may increase the rate of recombination at R-clusters, potentially facilitating the evolution of novel specificities over multiple generations of exposure to a virulent pathogen. A conceptually similar approach in the P. syringae pv. phaseolica pathogen involved recovering bacteria from leaves undergoing HR in resistant Phaseolus vulgaris L. bean and reinnoculating into uninfected plants [62[•]]. After passing through multiple plants under stress conditions, a new pathotype emerged that had undergone genome rearrangements leading to a loss of the avirulence gene recognized by the bean host. In vitro shuffling techniques and *in planta* maximization of diversity and recombination may provide plausible methods for generating novel R-genes against pathogens that have overcome the resistance specificities present in a given plant population.

Conclusion

R-complexes may be symptomatic of the complexity of plant-pathogen co-evolution, in which surveillance systems have evolved to monitor virulent modifications of host components. Genome shuffling may produce subtle changes in both host and pathogen components of *R*-complexes, altering binding and conformational stability and leading to quantitative changes in resistance

phenotypes, as well as qualitative, resistance/susceptibility phenotypes. Haplotypic diversity and gene loss/ gain may also change the constituents and specificities of R-complexes. We expect that the genomic perspective will facilitate the identification and evolutionary analysis of other components of R-complexes, and we look forward to detailed analysis of genic and intergenic regions in R-component clusters, particularly to gain insight into structural features such as chromatin modifications that may affect, and even regulate, the pattern of cluster evolution.

Acknowledgements

We thank Hanhui Kuang for discussion and critical review of this manuscript. Research in the Baker lab is supported by the National Science Foundation (DBI 0218166) and the United States Department of Agriculture (CRIS 5335-22000-002-00D).

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the annual period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- •• of outstanding interest
- He P, Shan L, Sheen J: Elicitation and suppression of microbe-associated molecular pattern-triggered immunity in plant-microbe interactions. *Cell Microbiol* 2007, 9:1385-1396.
- Jones JD, Dangl JL: The plant immune system. Nature 2006,
 444:323-329.

This excellent review discusses a 'two-branched' resistance system, in which basal resistance is achieved by recognition of conserved pathogen domains, and specific resistance is encoded by *R*-gene-mediated recognition of pathogen effectors. Pathogen strategies to suppress resistance, and host innovations that restore resistance, including 'guarding' the targets of pathogen effectors, are analyzed in the context of co-evolution.

- Van der Biezen EA, Jones JD: Plant disease-resistance proteins and the gene-for-gene concept. *Trends Biochem Sci* 1998, 23:454-456.
- Belkhadir Y, Subramaniam R, Dangl JL: Plant disease resistance protein signaling: NBS-LRR proteins and their partners. Curr Opin Plant Biol 2004, 7:391-399.
- Shirasu K, Schulze-Lefert P: Complex formation, promiscuity and multi-functionality: protein interactions in diseaseresistance pathways. Trends Plant Sci 2003, 8:252-258.
- Moffett P, Farnham G, Peart J, Baulcombe DC: Interaction between domains of a plant NBS-LRR protein in disease resistance-related cell death. *EMBO J* 2002, 21:4511-4519.
- Rathjen JP, Moffett P: Early signal transduction events in specific plant disease resistance. Curr Opin Plant Biol 2003, 6:300-306.
- 8. Mestre P, Baulcombe DC: Elicitor-mediated oligomerization of
- the tobacco N disease resistance protein. *Plant Cell* 2006, 18:491-501.

N is shown to oligomerize in response to the TMV p50 elicitor, raising the possibility that homo-oligomerization and/or hetero-oligomerization may be crucial to the function of some *R*-proteins.

- 9. Dinesh-Kumar SP, Baker BJ: Alternatively spliced *N* resistance gene transcripts: their possible role in tobacco mosaic virus resistance. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2000, **97**:1908-1913.
- Burch-Smith TM, Schiff M, Caplan JL, Tsao J, Czymmek K,
 Dinesh-Kumar SP: A novel role for the TIR domain in association with pathogen-derived elicitors. *PLoS Biol* 2007, 5:e68.

Typically, the LRR domain of R-proteins mediates effector interaction. Here, the N TIR domain is shown to be crucial for p50 association, and nuclear localization of N is further shown to be crucial for resistance function, implying that other proteins may associate with N to facilitate p50 interaction and downstream induction of the resistance response.

- 11. Ron M, Avni A: The receptor for the fungal elicitor ethyleneinducing xylanase is a member of a resistance-like gene family in tomato. *Plant Cell* 2004, **16**:1604-1615.
- Smith SM, Pryor AJ, Hulbert SH: Allelic and haplotypic diversity at the rp1 rust resistance locus of maize. *Genetics* 2004, 167:1939-1947.
- 13. Kuang H, Woo S-S, Meyers BC, Nevo E, Michelmore RW: Multiple genetic processes result in heterogeneous rates of evolution within the major cluster disease resistance genes in lettuce. *Plant Cell* 2004, **16**:2870-2894.
- 14. McDowell JM, Simon SA: Recent insights into R gene evolution.
 Mol Plant Pathol 2006, 7:437-448.

This excellent review provides insight into several key areas of R-gene research, including the role of R-proteins in trigger complexes and the evolution of R-genes through the proliferation and diversification of R-gene clusters.

- Meyers BC, Kozik A, Griego A, Kuang H, Michelmore RW: Genome-wide analysis of NBS-LRR-encoding genes in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 2003, 15:809-834.
- 16. Parniske M, Jones JD: Recombination between diverged clusters of the tomato Cf-9 plant disease resistance gene family. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1999, **96**:5850-5855.
- Smith SM, Hulbert SH: Recombination events generating a novel Rp1 race specificity. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 2005, 18:220-228.
- Kuang H, Wei F, Marano MR, Wirtz U, Wang X, Liu J, Shum WP, Zaborsky J, Tallon LJ, Rensink W et al.: The R1 resistance gene cluster contains three groups of independently evolving, type I R1 homologues and shows substantial structural variation among haplotypes of Solanum demissum. Plant J 2005, 44:37-51.
- Noël L, Moores TL, van der Biezen EA, Parniske M, Daniels MJ, Parker JE, Jones JDG: Pronounced intraspecific haplotype divergence at the *RPP5* complex disease resistance locus of *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 1999, 11:2099-2112.
- McDowell JM, Dhandaydham M, Long TA, Aarts MGM, Goff S, Holub EB, Dangl JL: Intragenic recombination and diversifying selection contribute to the evolution of downy mildew resistance at the *RPP8* Locus of *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 1998, 10:1861-1874.
- 21. Seah S, Telleen AC, Williamson VM: Introgressed and endogenous Mi-1 gene clusters in tomato differ by complex rearrangements in flanking sequences and show sequence exchange and diversifying selection among homologues. *Theor Appl Genet* 2007, **114**:1289-1302.
- 22. Mondragon-Palomino M, Gaut BS: Gene conversion and the evolution of three leucine-rich repeat gene families in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Mol Biol Evol* 2005, **22**:2444-2456.
- 23. Dodds PN, Lawrence GJ, Catanzariti A-M, Teh T, Wang C-IA,
- Ayliffe MA, Kobe B, Ellis JG: From the cover: direct protein interaction underlies gene-for-gene specificity and coevolution of the flax resistance genes and flax rust

avirulence genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2006, **103**:8888-8893. Documents high diversity at the flax *L* resistance gene and the corresponding avirulence locus in the flax rust fungus. Unique *L* proteins (alleles) recognize and provide resistance against unique effectors, providing insight into the roles of diversifying selection in plant–pathogen coevolution, in the context of direct recognition by a host *R*-protein of a pathogen effector.

- 24. Jiang RH, Weide R, van de Vondervoort PJ, Govers F:
- Amplification generates modular diversity at an avirulence locus in the pathogen Phytophthora. Genome Res 2006, 16:827-840.

Analysis at an avirulence locus of the pathogen *Phytophthora infestans* revealed a dynamic pattern of evolution, with high diversity detected in comparative analysis, and sequence amplifications that may facilitate unequal crossover.

 Basse CW, Kolb S, Kahmann R: A maize-specifically expressed gene cluster in Ustilago maydis. Mol Microbiol 2002, 43:75-93.

- Martin GB, Brommonschenkel SH, Chunwongse J, Frary A, Ganal MW, Spivey R, Wu T, Earle ED, Tanksley SD: Map-based cloning of a protein kinase gene conferring disease resistance in tomato. Science 1993, 262:1432-1436.
- Pedley KF, Martin GB: Molecular basis of Pto-mediated resistance to bacterial speck disease in tomato. Annu Rev Phytopathol 2003, 41:215-243.
- Mackey D, Holt BF 3rd, Wiig A, Dangl JL: RIN4 interacts with *Pseudomonas syringae* type III effector molecules and is required for RPM1-mediated resistance in *Arabidopsis*. *Cell* 2002, 108:743-754.
- 29. Axtell MJ, Staskawicz BJ: Initiation of RPS2-specified disease resistance in *Arabidopsis* is coupled to the AvrRpt2-directed elimination of RIN4. *Cell* 2003, 112:369-377.
- Shao F, Golstein C, Ade J, Stoutemyer M, Dixon JE, Innes RW: Cleavage of Arabidopsis PBS1 by a bacterial type III effector. Science 2003, 301:1230-1233.
- Mucyn TS, Clemente A, Andriotis VM, Balmuth AL, Oldroyd GE, Staskawicz BJ, Rathjen JP: The tomato NBARC-LRR protein Prf interacts with Pto kinase *in vivo* to regulate specific plant immunity. *Plant Cell* 2006, 18:2792-2806.
- Salmeron JM, Oldroyd GE, Rommens CM, Scofield SR, Kim HS, Lavelle DT, Dahlbeck D, Staskawicz BJ: Tomato Prf is a member of the leucine-rich repeat class of plant disease resistance genes and lies embedded within the Pto kinase gene cluster. *Cell* 1996, 86:123-133.
- 33. Rosebrock TR, Zeng L, Brady JJ, Abramovitch RB, Xiao F,
 Martin GB: A bacterial E3 ubiquitin ligase targets a host protein

kinase to disrupt plant immunity. *Nature* 2007, **448**:370-374. The E3 ligase domain of the AvrPtoB effector is shown to ubiquitinate and cause the degradation of Fen, a paralog of the tomato Pto protein. Disruption of the E3 ligase domain enables Fen to recognize AvrPtoB and trigger resistance, similar to the response triggered by Pto–Prf against the wild-type AvrPtoB, suggesting a role for gene amplification in providing resistance over multiple rounds of plant–pathogen co-evolution.

- de Torres M, Mansfield JW, Grabov N, Brown IR, Ammouneh H, Tsiamis G, Forsyth A, Robatzek S, Grant M, Boch J: *Pseudomonas syringae effector AvrPtoB suppresses basal* defence in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J* 2006, 47:368-382.
- Rooney HC, Van't Klooster JW, van der Hoorn RA, Joosten MH, Jones JD, de Wit PJ: Cladosporium Avr2 inhibits tomato Rcr3 protease required for Cf-2-dependent disease resistance. *Science* 2005, 308:1783-1786.
- Desveaux D, Singer AU, Wu AJ, McNulty BC, Musselwhite L, Nimchuk Z, Sondek J, Dangl JL: Type III effector activation via nucleotide binding, phosphorylation, and host target interaction. *PLoS Pathog* 2007, 3:e48.
- Belkhadir Y, Nimchuk Z, Hubert DA, Mackey D, Dangl JL: *Arabidopsis* RIN4 negatively regulates disease resistance mediated by RPS2 and RPM1 downstream or independent of the NDR1 signal modulator and is not required for the virulence functions of bacterial type III effectors AvrRpt2 or AvrRpm1. *Plant Cell* 2004, 16:2822-2835.
- Lucht JM, Mauch-Mani B, Steiner HY, Metraux JP, Ryals J, Hohn B: Pathogen stress increases somatic recombination frequency in *Arabidopsis*. Nat Genet 2002, 30:311-314.
- Kovalchuk I, Kovalchuk O, Kalck V, Boyko V, Filkowski J, Heinlein M, Hohn B: Pathogen-induced systemic plant signal triggers DNA rearrangements. *Nature* 2003, 423:760-762.
- 40. Molinier J, Ries G, Zipfel C, Hohn B: Transgeneration memory of
 stress in plants. *Nature* 2006, 442:1046-1049.
 Provides evidence that the 'systemic recombination signal' generating genome instability following abiotic and biotic stress is heritable, resulting in increased recombination in the progeny of stressed plants.
- 41. Durrant WE, Wang S, Dong X: *Arabidopsis* SNI1 and RAD51D
- regulate both gene transcription and DNA recombination during the defense response. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2007, 104:4223-4227.

Identifies a link between salicylic acid signaling, which induces a systemic-acquired resistance response following pathogen assault, and

increased recombination. SNI1 is previously identified as a suppressor of defense response gene transcription, and RAD51D, sharing homology to recombination and repair proteins, is identified as a positive activator of defense signaling. Mutation of SNI1 and RAD51D is shown to increase and decrease recombination, respectively. It is hypothesized that regulation of chromatin repression serves a dual role in controlling gene transcription and recombination in response to pathogen stress.

42. Boyko A, Kathiria P, Zemp FJ, Yao Y, Pogribny I, Kovalchuk I:

 Transgenerational changes in the genome stability and methylation in pathogen-infected plants: (virus-induced plant genome instability). Nucleic Acids Res 2007, 35:1714-1725.

Provides evidence that pathogen-conditioned increases in recombination are locus specific and correlated with local alteration of methylation patterns.

- Jorgensen RA, Doetsch N, Muller A, Que Q, Gendler K, Napoli CA: A paragenetic perspective on integration of RNA silencing into the epigenome and its role in the biology of higher plants. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol 2006, 71:481-485.
- 44. Palauqui JC, Elmayan T, Pollien JM, Vaucheret H: Systemic acquired silencing: transgene-specific post-transcriptional silencing is transmitted by grafting from silenced stocks to non-silenced scions. *EMBO J* 1997, **16**:4738-4745.
- Henderson IR, Jacobsen SE: Epigenetic inheritance in plants. Nature 2007, 447:418-424.
- Chan SW, Zhang X, Bernatavichute YV, Jacobsen SE: Two-step recruitment of RNA-directed DNA methylation to tandem repeats. PLoS Biol 2006, 4:e363.
- Flavell RB: Inactivation of gene expression in plants as a consequence of specific sequence duplication. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1994, 91:3490-3496.
- Woodhouse MR, Freeling M, Lisch D: Initiation, establishment, and maintenance of heritable MuDR transposon silencing in maize are mediated by distinct factors. *PLoS Biol* 2006, 4:e339.
- Liu J, He Y, Amasino R, Chen X: siRNAs targeting an intronic transposon in the regulation of natural flowering behavior in Arabidopsis. Genes Dev 2004, 18:2873-2878.
- Cullis CA, Swami S, Song Y: RAPD polymorphisms detected among the flax genotrophs. *Plant Mol Biol* 1999, 41:795-800.
- Grandbastien MA, Audeon C, Bonnivard E, Casacuberta JM, Chalhoub B, Costa AP, Le QH, Melayah D, Petit M, Poncet C et al.: Stress activation and genomic impact of Tnt1 retrotransposons in Solanaceae. Cytogenet Genome Res 2005, 110:229-241.
- 52. Michelmore RW, Meyers BC: Clusters of resistance genes in plants evolve by divergent selection and a birth-and-death process. *Genome Res* 1998, 8:1113-1130.
- 53. Tran RK, Zilberman D, de Bustos C, Ditt RF, Henikoff JG,
- Lindroth AM, Delrow J, Boyle T, Kwong S, Bryson TD et al.: Chromatin and siRNA pathways cooperate to maintain DNA

methylation of small transposable elements in *Arabidopsis*. Genome Biol 2005, **6**:R90.

See reference [57**].

- Tompa R, McCallum CM, Delrow J, Henikoff JG, van Steensel B, Henikoff S: Genome-wide profiling of DNA methylation reveals transposon targets of CHROMOMETHYLASE3. Curr Biol 2002, 12:65-68.
- 55. Zilberman D, Gehring M, Tran RK, Ballinger T, Henikoff S:
- Genome-wide analysis of Arabidopsis thaliana DNA methylation uncovers an interdependence between methylation and transcription. Nat Genet 2007, 39:61-69.
 See reference [57**].
- 56. Zhang X, Clarenz O, Cokus S, Bernatavichute YV, Pellegrini M,
 Goodrich J, Jacobsen SE: Whole-genome analysis of histone H3 lysine 27 trimethylation in *Arabidopsis*. *PLoS Biol* 2007,

5:e129. See reference [57**].

- 57. Zhang X, Yazaki J, Sundaresan A, Cokus S, Chan SW, Chen H,
 Henderson IR, Shinn P, Pellegrini M, Jacobsen SE *et al.*: Genome-
- Henderson IR, Shinn P, Pellegrini M, Jacobsen SE *et al.*: Genomewide high-resolution mapping and functional analysis of DNA methylation in Arabidopsis. Cell 2006. 126:1189-1201.

methylation in Arabidopsis. Cell 2006, **126**:1189-1201. Elucidation of the 'methylome'. References [53*,55**,56**,57**] demonstrate the utility of combining enrichment techniques with microarray in a genome-wide context as a platform to integrate genome sequence, transcription, DNA methylation, chromatin modification, and small RNA sequence data, enabling the analysis of the epigenome.

- 58. Farnham G, Baulcombe DC: Artificial evolution extends
- •• the spectrum of viruses that are targeted by a diseaseresistance gene from potato. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2006, **103**:18828-18833.

The first instance of artificial evolution of an *R*-gene producing beneficial changes in pathogen specificity, expanding the range of viruses detected by *Rx*.

- Bernal AJ, Pan Q, Pollack J, Rose L, Kozik A, Willits N, Luo Y, Guittet M, Kochetkova E, Michelmore RW: Functional analysis of the plant disease resistance gene Pto using DNA shuffling. *J Biol Chem* 2005, 280:23073-23083.
- Stemmer WPC: Molecular breeding of genes, pathways, and genomes by DNA shuffling. J Mol Catal B: Enzym 2002:3-12.
- Parniske M, Hammond-Kosack KE, Golstein C, Thomas CM, Jones DA, Harrison K, Wulff BB, Jones JD: Novel disease resistance specificities result from sequence exchange between tandemly repeated genes at the Cf-4/9 locus of tomato. Cell 1997, 91:821-832.
- 62. Pitman AR, Jackson RW, Mansfield JW, Kaitell V, Thwaites R,
 Arnold DL: Exposure to host resistance mechanisms drives evolution of bacterial virulence in plants. *Curr Biol* 2005, 15:2230-2235.

Documents the rapid evolutionary loss, via genome rearrangements, of an avirulence gene following prolonged exposure to stress (host hypersensitive resistance response) by passing the bacteria through multiple rounds of infection in the resistant genotype of the common bean host.