

Biocatalizzatori

Tecniche di immobilizzazione e
applicazioni biotecnologiche di
cellule ed enzimi

BIOCATALIZZATORI

Catalizzatori biologici per ottenere un prodotto di interesse mediante biotrasformazioni

Cellule in coltura

l'organismo viene cresciuto nel terreno A
le cellule vengono raccolte e risospese nel terreno B che contiene il substrato da trasformare
condizioni di crescita e di biotrasformazione da ottimizzare separatamente

Enzimi purificati

si risolvono eventuali problemi legati alla permeabilità della membrana
si evita la formazione di prodotti collaterali
possibili problemi di stabilità
è necessario purificare l'enzima!

BIOCATALIZZATORI

I biocatalizzatori si possono utilizzare in forma libera o immobilizzata


E' necessario mantenere l'attività catalitica nell'immobilizzazione

BIOCATALIZZATORI IMMOBILIZZATI VS SOLUBILI

Table 1 The comparison of immobilized and non-immobilized biocatalytic processes

Properties	Non-immobilized biocatalytic processes	Immobilized biocatalytic processes
Cost of design	No additional cost is necessary	Additional cost for design of support material and technique
Overall cost-effectiveness	Loss of valuable biocatalysts	Valuable biocatalysts can be reused
Mass transfer and diffusion limitations	Biocatalyst can interact with environment with no limitation	Mass transfer is limited due to the support material
Downstream Process	Difficult separation due to biocatalyst/ substrate/product mixture	Facilitates separations from the production medium
Contamination	Risk of contamination by reaction mixture	Minimizes or eliminates product contamination
Biomass growth (for cell biocatalysts)	Biomass reaches high concentrations in a short time that complicates the control of process	Biomass growth remains same along the process
Movement (for cell biocatalysts)	Free movement—high mobility	Limited movement due to the physical/chemical interaction with support material
Recovery and reuse	Minimal or null reuse of biocatalyst	Efficient recovery and reuse
Stability	Low stability	Enhanced operational stability against different operational conditions (temperature, pH)
Productivity	Low productivity (kg product/kg enzyme)	High catalyst productivity (kg product/kg enzyme)
Industrial application	Can be applied in various industrial production	New techniques and support materials are necessary to be improved to apply in different industries

BIOCATALIZZATORI IMMOBILIZZATI VS SOLUBILI





BIOCATALIZZATORI IMMOBILIZZATI

- Immobilizzazione senza supporto (carrier-free)
- Immobilizzazione su supporto (carrier)
- Principali gruppi reattivi sul biocatalizzatore che possono essere usati per l'immobilizzazione con formazione di legami covalenti:
 - NH_2 (N-terminale e catena laterale di Lys)
 - COOH (C-terminale e catena laterale di Glu e Asp)
 - SH (catena laterale di Cys)

Metodi di immobilizzazione carrier-free (self-immobilizzazione)


Cristalli reticolati (CLEC)
 Aggregati reticolati (CLEA)

Cross-linking con
 glutaraldeide




The different approaches to the production of carrier-free immobilised enzymes: (a) crystallization; (b) aggregation; (c) spray-drying; (d) direct cross-linking. AGG, aggregates; CRY, crystals; SDE, spray-dried enzyme.


Metodi di immobilizzazione di biocatalizzatori




Adsorption
on a surface




Electrostatic binding
on a surface




Covalent binding
on a surface




Entrapment within a
porous matrix




Natural flocculation
(Aggregation)




Artificial flocculation
(cross-linking)



Microencapsulation




Interfacial
microencapsulation



Containment
between microporous
membranes

Formati dei supporti (carrier)



Carrier-bound immobilised enzymes of defined size and shape. Insoluble carriers vary in their geometric parameters, different shapes and types of enzyme carrier are illustrated: **(a)** bead, **(b)** fibre, **(c)** capsule, **(d)** film and **(e)** membrane.

Tecniche di immobilizzazione: pregi e difetti

Adsorbimento
 Intrappolamento
 Incapsulamento
 Legame covalente

Cross-linking

Table 1
 Comparative evaluation of merits and demerits of various immobilization types.

Immobilization type	Merits	Demerits
Adsorption	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Easy to carry out ✓ No reagents are required ✓ No pore diffusion limitation ✓ Minimum activation steps involved ✓ Comparatively cheap method of immobilization ✓ Less disruptive to enzyme than chemical methods 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> × Lower efficacy level × Desorption of enzymes from the carrier
Covalent bonding	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Wider applicability ✓ Comparatively simple method ✓ No leakage or desorption problem ✓ A variety of support/carrier available ✓ Strong linkage of enzyme to the support ✓ Multifunctional groups availability from the support/carrier 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> × Competitive inhibition issues × Chemical modification of enzyme × Loss of functional conformation of enzyme
Entrapment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Mild conditions are required ✓ Easy to practice at small scale ✓ Fast method of immobilization ✓ Can be used for sensing application ✓ Cheap (low cost matrixes available) ✓ Less chance of conformational changes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> × Leakage of enzyme × Pore diffusion limitation × Chance of microbial contamination × Lower level of industrial implementation
Cross-linking	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No matrix or support involved Comparatively simple method Widely used in industrial applications 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Poly-functional reagents are required e.g. glutaraldehyde Denaturation or structural modification by cross-linker
Encapsulation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Cost effective method ✓ Enzymes are stable for long time ✓ No extraction/purification steps are required ✓ "One-pot" immobilization of multiple enzymes ✓ Native conformation of enzyme is best maintained ✓ Cell organelles e.g. mitochondria can be immobilized 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> × Less concentration of enzymes × Generation of unwanted products × Modification of end products by other enzymes

Supporti per immobilizzazione




Fig. 1. A schematic illustration of the classification of support materials used for immobilization purposes.

Supporti per immobilizzazione


Le matrici organiche naturali o sintetiche usate per l'immobilizzazione di cellule o enzimi devono essere stabili e non reattive. Le matrici devono essere attivate.

Table 1. Organic Supports for Cell Immobilization


Polysaccharides:	Cellulose Agar/agarose Chitosan Dextran Carrageenan Alginate Pectate Xanthan gum
Proteins:	Collagen Gelatin Albumin Fibrin
Synthetic Polymers:	Polyacrylamide Methacrylate Polyurethane Epoxy resin Polystyrene Polyester Polypropylene Polyphenylene oxide Polyvinyl alcohol Polyvinyl chloride

Polysaccharides and polyamides frequently serve as matrices


Agarose




Cellulose



Crosslinked dextran (Sephadex)





Crosslinked polyacrylamide




Matrici polisaccaridiche biocompatibili per intrappolamento/incapsulamento


Alginato e carrageenano dalle alghe: gelificazione mediata dal **calcio**



Chitosano da crostacei e funghi



Attivazione di una matrice polisaccaridica con bromuro di cianogeno (CNBr) per immobilizzare gruppi $-NH_2$



Matrici derivatizzate e attivate per reagire con diversi gruppi sulle proteine (-NH₂, -COOH, -SH, aminoacidi aromatici ecc.)




Figura 4.9 Strutture parziali di (A) AH-Sepharose, (B) CH-Sepharose 4B, (C) CH-Sepharose 4B attivato e (D) Sepharose 6B epossio-attivato. Gentile concessione di Pharmacia.

La presenza di un **braccio spaziatore** sulla matrice riduce problemi legati all'ingombro sterico e all'accessibilità del sito attivo dell'enzima immobilizzato






Fig. 3.6. Reactions used to couple ligands to Sepharose.


Le carbodiimidi: cross-linker specifici per gruppi carbossilici/amminici

EDC

1-Ethyl-3-[3-dimethylaminopropyl]carbodiimide hydrochloride



EDC reacts with carboxylic acid group and activates the carboxyl group, allowing it to be coupled to the amino group (R_4NH_2) in the reaction mixture.




EDC is released as a soluble urea derivative after displacement by the nucleophile, R_4NH_2 .


EDC reagisce con un gruppo carbossilico sulla molecola 1 formando un intermedio *O*-acilisourea. Questo intermedio può reagire con un gruppo amminico sulla molecola 2, formando un legame covalente tra le due molecole.

Eupergit C

Sfere macroporose di co-polimeri derivati dall'acrylamide, attivata con epossidi per l'immobilizzazione covalente mediante reazione preferenziale con gruppi amminici
Pori $r = 100$ nm
Oxirane density $300 \mu\text{mol/g}$ dry beads



Multi-point attachment su Eupergit C



Metodi di immobilizzazione: incapsulamento multi-strato




Fig. 2 The multi-layered encapsulation method of Zhang et al. (2008)

Bioreattori

- Supporti polimerici: particelle, membrane e nanofibre
- Packed-bed reactors (biocatalizzatore 'impaccato' su colonna)
- Fluidized-bed reactors (biocatalizzatore mantenuto 'in movimento' da un flusso continuo di substrato)
- Continuous flow stirred reactors (biocatalizzatore mescolato con il substrato a flusso continuo)
- Membrane reactors (biocatalizzatore 'separato' da una membrana)




Fig. 3. Schematic representation of the main types of reactors.

Biocatalysis in the winemaking industry: Challenges and opportunities for immobilized enzymes

Carminna Ottone  | Oscar Romero  | Carla Aburto  | Andrés Illanes |
Lorena Wilson 




FIGURE 1 Diagram of the main steps in the winemaking process

Applicazioni biotecnologiche di enzimi immobilizzati per la produzione di aromi nel vino

Molti componenti degli aromi del vino sono costituiti da un terpene volatile legato a un residuo di glucosio a sua volta legato ad un altro zucchero (arabinosio, ramnosio o apiosio). Il terpene viene liberato dall'azione sequenziale di glicosidasi specifiche.





FIGURE 2 Scheme of the cascade reaction mechanism for the release of the glycosylated precursor molecules catalyzed by four different glycosidases: α -L-arabinofuranosidase (ARA), α -L-rhamnopyranosidase (RAM) and β -D-apiofuranosidase (API), and β -D-glucopyranosidase (β G). Modified from (Ahumada et al., 2016)


β -glycosidases for aroma liberation

Soluble enzymes



White Wine

Combi-CLEAs



- More stable catalyst
- Possible reuse



White Wine



More Aroma
in product

Without enzymes



White Wine



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Food Chemistry

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/foodchem



Aroma enhancement in wines using co-immobilized *Aspergillus niger* glycosidases



Paula González-Pombo^a, Laura Fariña^b, Francisco Carrau^b, Francisco Batista-Viera^a, Beatriz M. Brena^{a,*}

^a Cátedra de Bioquímica, Departamento de Biociencias, Facultad de Química, Gral Flores 2124, CC1157 Montevideo, Uruguay

^b Sección Enología, Departamento de Ciencia y Tecnología de Alimentos, Facultad de Química, Gral Flores 2124, CC1157 Montevideo, Uruguay

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 1 March 2013

Received in revised form 4 July 2013

Accepted 20 July 2013

Available online 29 July 2013

Keywords:

Glycosidases

Enzyme immobilization

Co-immobilization

Aroma enhancement

Wine

ABSTRACT

A major fraction of monoterpenes and norisoprenoids in young wines is conjugated to sugars representing a significant reservoir of aromatic precursors. To promote their release, β -glucosidase, α -arabinosidase, and α -rhamnosidase from a commercial *Aspergillus niger* preparation, were immobilized onto acrylic beads. The aim of this work was the development and application of an immobilized biocatalyst, due to the well-known advantages over soluble enzyme preparations: control of the reaction progress and preparation of enzyme-free products. In addition, the obtained derivative showed increased stability in similar wine conditions. After the treatment of Muscat wine with the biocatalyst for 20 days, free monoterpenes increased significantly (from 1119 to 2132 $\mu\text{g/L}$, $p < 0.01$) with respect to the control wine. Geraniol was increased 3,4-fold over its flavor thresholds, and accordingly its impact on sensorial properties was very relevant: nine of ten judges considered treated wine more intense in fruit and floral notes.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Table 1
Effect of protein load on the immobilization efficiency.

mg Applied protein/g support	Bound protein		Immobilization yield (%)			Immobilization efficiency (%)		
	mg/g	%	BG	Ara	Rha	BG	Ara	Rha
35	22 ± 2.1	63 ± 6.0	68 ± 7.0	85 ± 8.5	77 ± 7.5	83 ± 8.4	91 ± 9.0	100 ± 9.0
70	42 ± 4.6	60 ± 6.2	70 ± 7.1	65 ± 6.9	75 ± 7.5	89 ± 8.5	92 ± 9.0	88 ± 9.0
145	48 ± 5.1	33 ± 4.1	33 ± 3.8	43 ± 5.5	49 ± 5.2	76 ± 7.8	55 ± 6.3	80 ± 8.5

Mean ± standard deviation (S.D.).




Fig. 4. Stability of glycosidases in model wine at pH 3.5 (A) and pH 4.0 (B), after 70 days of incubation at 23 °C. Model wine consisted of ethanol 12% v/v, containing 3.5 g/L tartaric acid, 2.5 g/L malic acid and 60 mg/L sodium metabisulfite.




Fig. 5. Variation of glycoside content (G-G values) of Muscat wine at pH 4.0 and 23 °C, incubated with immobilized glycosidases or without glycosidases (control).

Table 2


Effect of immobilized glycosidases on the concentration of monoterpenes and norisoprenoids in Muscat wine. Mean concentrations of compounds ($\mu\text{g/L}$) and relative standard deviations ($n = 3$).

Aromatic compounds	Descriptor	Odor threshold ($\mu\text{g/L}$)	Control wine without glycosidases ($\mu\text{g/L}$)	Wine treated with immobilized glycosidases ($\mu\text{g/L}$)	Significance (p value)
Linalool	Rose	50 ^a	555 \pm 86	615 \pm 25	n.s.
α -Terpineol	Floral, pine	400 ^a	182 \pm 20	246 \pm 17	<0.05
Geraniol	Fruit, floral	130 ^a	98 \pm 11	438 \pm 26	<0.001
Oxide A (trans-furanic of linalool)	Leafy, sweet, floral, creamy, earthy	>6000 ^b	47 \pm 15	213 \pm 79	<0.05
Oxide B (cis-furanic of linalool)	Leafy, sweet, floral, creamy, earthy	>6000 ^b	28 \pm 9	100 \pm 3	<0.001
Oxide C (trans-piranic of linalool)	Leafy, sweet, floral, creamy, earthy	3000-5000 ^b	151 \pm 53	386 \pm 28	<0.01
Oxide D (cis-piranic of linalool)	Leafy, sweet, floral, creamy, earthy	3000-5000 ^b	59 \pm 12	135 \pm 56	n.s.
Total terpenes			1119 \pm 182	2132 \pm 211	<0.01
Vomifoliol	Dried fruit, raisins	-	nd	20 \pm 3	<0.001
3-Oxo- α -ionol	Honey, apricots	-	nd	7 \pm 1	<0.001
Total norisoprenoids			nd	27 \pm 3	<0.001


nd: Below the limit of detection, ns: not significant.

^a Riberau-Gayon, P., Glories, Y., Maujean, A., Dubourdiou, & D. (1998). Handbook of Enology, vol. 2, The chemistry of wine. Stabilization and treatments (2nd ed.), Wiley.


^b Ribéreau-Gayon, P., Boidron, J. N., Terrier, A. (1975) Aroma of muscat grape varieties. J Agricultural Food Chem 23, 1042-1047.



Linalool



Terpineol



Geraniol

Applicazioni biotecnologiche di cellule immobilizzate per la produzione di etanolo

Table 4
Comparison of immobilised systems proposed for ethanol production.

Microorganism	Method	Matrix	Bioreactor	Conversion (%)
<i>Kluyveromyces marxianus</i>	Entrapment	Alginate beads	Packed-bed bioreactor	84–88%
<i>Kluyveromyces fragilis</i>	Adsorption	Shell side of an industrial size hollow fibre module	Hollow fibre reactor	30–60 g L ⁻¹ h ⁻¹
Kefir yeast	Adsorption	Delignified cellulosic material	Static fermentation	~90%; 5.9% (v/v) ethanol
<i>Kluyveromyces marxianus</i>	Adsorption	Delignified cellulosic material	0.500L shaking flask (150 rpm)	9.3 g L ⁻¹
<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Entrapment	Ca alginate beads	Packed-bed reactor	–
Recombinant <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Aggregation (natural flocculation)	Yeast flocs-flocculent strain	0.600L bubble column	7% (v/v) ethanol; 53% theoretical; ~90%
<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Co-immobilised yeast cells with enzyme β -galactosidase	Ca alginate beads cross-linked with GA	5L PBR with circulation	15.6% (m/v)
<i>Kluyveromyces marxianus</i>	Adsorption	Olive pits	Continuous packed column bioreactor	~95%

Table 2
Typical composition of sweet and acid whey [2].

Components	Sweet whey (g L ⁻¹)	Acid whey (g L ⁻¹)
Total solids	63.0–70.0	63.0–70.0
Lactose	46.0–52.0	44.0–46.0
Protein	6.0–10.0	6.0–8.0
Calcium	0.4–0.6	1.2–1.6
Phosphate	1.0–3.0	2.0–4.5
Lactate	2.0	6.4
Chloride	1.1	1.1

Conversione del lattosio contenuto nel siero di latte (prodotto di scarto dell'industria casearia)

Applicazione di enzimi immobilizzati su Eupergit C per la biotrasformazione del lattosio




Figure 1. Diagram of reactions for enzymatic production of ketohexoses from lactose. Structures of main products of interest are depicted with enlarged sizes.

Table 5. Lactolysis and isomerization in Mozzarella cheese whey at 50 °C by tri-enzymatic systems ¹.

System	Lactolysis (%)	Tagatose (%) ²	Fructose (%) ²
Soluble enzymes	76 ± 1	22 ± 3	21 ± 1
Immobilized derivatives (sequential use)	86 ± 1	31 ± 2	24 ± 2
Immobilized derivatives (simultaneous use)	93 ± 3	40 ± 1	29 ± 3

¹ Results are means of triplicate determinations ± SD; ² Conversion percentages (6 h operation) according to HPLC analysis (see supporting information in supplementary materials).

Applicazione di enzimi nella degradazione dell'amido.

Proprietà ed alcune applicazioni dei prodotti di idrolisi dell'amido

Type of syrup	DE ^a	Composition (%)
Low DE maltodextrins	15–30	1–20 D-glucose 4–13 maltose 6–22 maltotriose 50–80 higher oligomers
Maltose syrups	40–45	16–20 D-glucose 41–44 maltose 36–43 higher oligomers
High maltose syrups	48–55	2–9 D-glucose 48–55 maltose 15–16 maltotriose
High DE syrups	56–68	25–35 D-glucose 40–48 maltose
Glucose syrups	96–98	95–98 D-glucose 1–2 maltose 0.5–2 isomaltose
Fructose syrups	98	48 D-glucose 52 D-fructose


Product type	Properties	Application
Maltodextrins	Low osmolarity/gelability	Carbohydrate component for baby food, thickeners, fillers, stabilizers
Maltose syrup	Increased sweetness	Hard pastry and bakery products
High sugar syrup	Low hygroscopicity and viscosity; increased water retention, sweetness and fermentation	Confectionery, soft drinks, fermentation products, jams, canned foods, sauces
Molasses for baby food	Moderate sweetness, reduced crystallizability	Sweeteners for baby food, confectionery, soft drinks, jams, jelly, canned food, ice cream
Glucose Syrups	Increased sweetness	Non-alcoholic beverages, fermentation products, raw materials
Glucose-fructose syrups	High sweetness	Soft drinks, canned food, sauces, canned fruit
Cereal syrups	Moderate sweetness, non-crystallizability, high nutritional value	Flour confectionery and bakery products

Dextrose equivalent (DE): indica il grado di idrolisi dell'amido

$$DE = \frac{M_{\text{glucose}}}{M_n} \times 100$$

Applicazione di enzimi nella degradazione dell'amido per la produzione di sciroppo ad alto contenuto di fruttosio

- Fig. 1



The enzymic hydrolysis of starch. ●, Non-reducing D-glucosyl residue; ○, reducing D-glucosyl residue or D-glucose.

Enzimi coinvolti nella degradazione dell'amido

Applicazione di enzimi nella degradazione dell'amido





Figure 1. Enzyme Technology impact on Corn Processing from 1950 to today. Abbreviation: GSHE, granular starch hydrolyzing enzyme.

Scheme 1. Schematics of the Process for Converting Raw Material Rice Starch to Industrial and Functional Food Products^a



Applicazione di enzimi nella degradazione dell'amido per la produzione di sciroppo ad alto contenuto di fruttosio




Figure 1

The starch process for high-fructose corn syrup. Schematic outline of the enzymatic steps in the processing of slurried corn starch to fructose, showing individual enzyme-usage conditions and typical processing parameters. Arrows indicate adjustment points within the process for pH and/or ion components. The process parameters may be different when producing ethanol from corn. The term 'ds' refers to the percentage of starch or glucose dry solids suspended in the slurry. DE is 'dextrose equivalent', a measure of the number of reducing ends present in a starch hydrolysate; each reducing end of an oligosaccharide is equivalent to a single dextrose residue. The greater the degree of starch liquefaction or hydrolysis, the higher the DE. Undegraded starch has a DE approaching zero; a fully hydrolysed starch would have a DE of 100. DE is related to average chain length of the oligosaccharide by the following formula: $DE = 180 / (162n + 18) \times 100$, where n is the average oligosaccharide chain length. For example, a starch slurry with a DE of eight has an average chain length of ten glucose residues. The term '%Dx' is the percent of dextrose in the solution. In the example shown, after saccharification, the process stream would have 32% dry solids with greater than 95.5% dextrose (DX).

Ingegnerizzazione di enzimi per la degradazione dell'amido

Application	Enzymes altered	Value creation
Starch liquefaction	Thermostable amylase	More starch solubilized
	Low pH, thermostable amylase	Decreased chemicals needed
	Amylase without calcium needed	Less ion exchange cost
	Rapid viscosity reduction amylase	Less water, more capacity
Saccharification	Debranching specific pullulanase	Higher glucose yield
Glucose isomerization	Immobilized enzyme	Minimum fructose loss
No-cook starch process	Granular Starch Hydrolyzing Enzymes (GSHEs)	Less energy, higher yield
Starch to fermentation	Enzymes expressed in yeast	Lower cost process
	Pathway enzymes engineered	Increased ethanol, decreased glycerol, more sustainable process
	Enzyme cocktail (amylase, protease, and lipase)	Higher revenue and margin




REVIEW ARTICLE

Immobilized inulinase: a new horizon of paramount importance driving the production of sweetener and prebiotics

Gerard Neeraj, Shobana Ravi, Ravindran Somdutt, ShriAishvarya Kaliyur Ravi and Vaidyanathan Vinoth Kumar

Bioprocess Engineering Laboratory, Department of Biotechnology, School of Bioengineering, SRM University, Chennai, India



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

Functional expression of a novel α -amylase from Antarctic psychrotolerant fungus for baking industry and its magnetic immobilization



Lei He¹, Youzhi Mao¹, Lujia Zhang¹, Hualei Wang¹, Siti Aisyah Alias², Bei Gao^{1*} and Dongzhi Wei¹




Fig. 5 **a** AmyA1 immobilized on pretreated IONPs; **b** AmyA1-IONPs under magnetic field




Fig. 4 Crumb structure of the loaf supplemented with: control (without enzyme) (a); AmyA1 (b); α -amylase TAA from *A. oryzae* (c)