## Leading Edge

# Bacterial Adhesion and Entry into Host Cells

Javier Pizarro-Cerdá<sup>1,2,3,\*</sup> and Pascale Cossart<sup>1,2,3,\*</sup> <sup>1</sup>Institut Pasteur, Unité des Interactions Bactéries-Cellules, Paris, F-75015 France <sup>2</sup>INSERM, U604, Paris, F-75015 France <sup>3</sup>INRA, USC2020, Paris, F-75015 France \*Contact: pizarroj@pasteur.fr (J.P.-C.); pcossart@pasteur.fr (P.C.) DOI 10.1016/j.cell.2006.02.012

Successful establishment of infection by bacterial pathogens requires adhesion to host cells, colonization of tissues, and in certain cases, cellular invasion—followed by intracellular multiplication, dissemination to other tissues, or persistence. Bacteria use monomeric adhesins/invasins or highly sophisticated macromolecular machines such as type III secretion systems and retractile type IV pili to establish a complex host/pathogen molecular crosstalk that leads to subversion of cellular functions and establishment of disease.

#### Introduction

Bacteria are among the most diverse living organisms and have adapted to a great variety of ecological environments, including the human body. Pathogenic bacteria present an astounding arsenal of surface organelles and secreted toxins that allow them to conquer many different niches throughout the course of infection. Particularly fascinating is the fact that some bacterial species, by combining several of these munitions, are able to induce different diseases, as illustrated by the well-known Escherichia coli. Indeed, this important intestinal flora commensal can be responsible for diverse illnesses according to the expression of different combinations of pathogenic factors. For example, enteropathogenic E. coli (EPEC) induces the destruction of the intestinal brush border microvilli leading to diarrhea, while enterohemorrhagic E. coli (EHEC, which includes the famous 0157:H7 strain) is responsible for hemorrhagic colitis and sporadically hemolytic uremic syndrome. Other pathogenic E. coli including enterotoxigenic (ETEC), enteroinvasive (EIEC), enteroaggregative (EAEC), or diffusely adhesive (DAEC) express their own specific combinations of pathogenic factors, resulting in yet other types of infections.

The complexity of the bacterial tools used for cell adhesion and invasion ranges from single monomeric proteins to intricate multimeric macromolecules that perform highly sophisticated functions and can be truly considered as nanomachines. Their huge diversity makes the task of analyzing—and comparing them—difficult. As we gain insight into the complex behavior of some pathogens, by combining information issued from tissue culture assays and in vivo studies, some concepts in the field need to be reconsidered—in particular, the strict distinction between extracellular versus intracellular bacteria (see for example Oh et al., 2005). Whatever these complex and conflicting issues, much exciting research is currently providing new information and revealing the exquisite adaptations that bacterial pathogens have evolved to subvert specific host-cell functions. In this Review, we will address some of the most remarkable strategies used by bacterial pathogens to adhere, and in some cases invade, nonphagocytic epithelial cells within their hosts.

#### **Pili and Fimbria**

Pili are adhesive hair-like organelles that protrude from the surface of bacteria. Since pili can be used as appendages for transfer of genetic material during bacterial conjugation, the term "fimbria" is more commonly used to describe pili, whose function is devoted to attach bacteria to a surface. Identified initially only in Gram-negative organisms such as Escherichia coli, these filamentous surface structures comprise a scaffold-like rod anchored to the bacterial outer membrane and a bacterial adherence factor or adhesin located at the tip of the scaffold, which confers the binding specificity. Some pili, such as Type IV pili, not only mediate adhesion but also perform complex functions such as force-driven contraction, providing bacteria with powerful tools to enhance their contact with target surfaces. Gram-positive bacteria have more recently been shown to also possess pili, and the mechanisms by which these organelles are assembled as well as their contribution to disease are the subject of new intense investigation.

#### Structures Exported by the Chaperone/Usher Pathway: P pili, Type I Pili, and Afa/Dr Adhesins

One of the first- and best-characterized fimbria is the pyelonephritis-associated (P) pilus, expressed by *E. coli* strains that colonize the urinary tract and subsequently infect the kidney, also known as uropathogenic *E. coli* or UPEC. P pili are encoded by the *pap* gene cluster, which contains regulatory as well as biosynthetic genes for fimbrial subunits, protein chaperons and outer membrane anchors. P pili biogenesis is the paradigm of the "chaperone/ usher" pathway (Figure 1A), in which the periplasmic chaperone PapD transports each of the pilus subunits to the outer membrane assembly platform/usher PapC,



#### Figure 1. Pilus Biogenesis Machineries

(A) Chaperone/Usher-Mediated Pilus Biogenesis: Individual components of the pilus are transported from the bacterial cytoplasmic space to the periplasm via the type II secretion system, a general pathway for bacterial protein transport (i). In the absence of the chaperone PapD, the pilus subunits are degraded (ii). Otherwise, PapD stabilizes the subunits (iii) and transports them to the outer membrane usher PapC (iv). The pilus tip is assembled first, and then pilus grow from their base (v). The final quaternary structure is acquired outside the bacterial outer membrane (vi) (adapted from Sauer et al., 2000).

(B) Sortase-Mediated Pilus Biogenesis: Pilus subunits present a signal peptide that promotes their transport from the cytoplasm to the bacterial cell wall by the type II secretion system. Subunits are anchored to the bacterial membrane through their cell wall sorting signal, which are in turn cleaved by the sortase, forming a sortase-subunit acyl intermediate. In the case of C. diphteriae, SpaC is the first subunit to be incorporated into the pilus; a conserved lysine residue (K) at N terminus of SpaA attacks the sortase-SpaC acyl intermediate, and the remainder of the filament is assembled by a sequence of similar transpeptidation reactions. The mature pilus is attached to the cell wall envelope (adapted from Ton-That and Schneewind, 2004).

which in turn facilitates subunit translocation to the bacterial surface (Thanassi et al., 1998). PapD binds pilus subunits to prevent their aggregation at the wrong time in the periplasmic space and catalyzes subunits folding to prime them for assembly (Bann et al., 2004). P pili bind through the PapG adhesin to the  $\alpha$ -D-galactopyranosyl-(1-4)- $\beta$ -D-galactopyranoside moiety of glycolipids of upper urinary tract cells. PapG variants recognize different but related Gal $\alpha$ -(1-4)-Gal receptors differently distributed within host tissues and within the host population, so differential expression of PapG adhesins drives tissue and host specificity (Hultgren et al., 1991).

Type I pili are another kind of composite surface fibers present in different pathogenic *E. coli* (UPEC and DAEC). Type I pili are encoded by the *fim* gene cluster and also exported by the chaperone/usher pathway—in which FimC

is the periplasmic chaperone, FimD is the outer membrane usher, and FimH is the pilus adhesin. FimH recognizes essentially monomannose- and trimannose-containing glycoprotein receptors: commensal *E. coli* isolates present FimH variants that bind with high affinity to trimannose residues, while uropathogenic bacteria present FimH molecules that exhibit a higher affinity for monomannose residues enriched within the urinary tract. Strikingly, FimH interaction with cellular receptors can induce not only the adhesion but also bacterial internalization within bladder cells, resulting in bacterial persistence and chronic urinary tract infections (discussed below).

Another family of adherent structures secreted by the chaperone/usher pathway is the extremely heterogeneous family of Afa/Dr adhesins (Servin, 2005), identified in UPEC and DAEC. Afa/Dr adhesins are typically

encoded by at least five *afa* genes (A through E), *afaE* coding for the actual adhesin. First characterized as afimbrial, several members of this family have now been shown to be assembled into true fimbrial structures: the sequence of the adhesin dictates whether or not it will be assembled into fimbriae, and genetically switching the genes encoding the adhesins switches the adhesin type (Anderson et al., 2004). Most of the Afa/Dr adhesins bind to the Dr<sup>a</sup>-blood group antigen of the complement regulatory molecule CD55 (also known as DAF), but some are reported to interact with carcinoembryonic antigen-related adhesion molecules (CEACAMs), with type IV collagen or with  $\alpha$ 5 $\beta$ 1 integrins (see below).

#### Retractile Type IV Pili

Much current interest is focused in the study of type IV pili, another category of polymeric adhesive surface structures expressed by many Gram-negative bacteria including pathogens such as EPEC, EHEC, Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Legionella pneumophila, Neisseria gonorrhoeae, Neisseria meningitidis, and Vibrio cholerae (Craig et al., 2004). These organelles are essentially composed of a homopolymer of a single pilin subunit, such as PilA in P. aeruginosa, PilE in Neisseria spp., bundlin in EPEC or TcpA in V. cholerae. An adhesive subunit has been characterized at the tip of some pili, for example PilC in Neisseria spp. Besides the structural subunit(s) of the pilus, many accessory molecules including prepilin peptidases, putative nucleotide binding proteins, prepilin-like proteins, cytoplasmic membrane proteins, and outer membrane proteins are required for pilus biogenesis. In some bacterial species, such as EPEC and V. cholerae, type IV pili can aggregate laterally forming bundles. The structure of the EPEC bundlingforming pilus has been characterized recently, and it is demonstrated that EPEC bundlin shows significant differences in its secondary and tertiary structure compared to V. cholerae TcpA; nevertheless, EPEC and V. cholerae type IV pili have virtually similar helical organization, suggesting that evolutionary forces contribute to diversification in pilin structure while pilus structure and function are maintained (Ramboarina et al., 2005).

Unlike type I and P pili, which are assembled in the bacterial extracellular space, type IV pili are formed at the cytoplasmic membrane and the intact organelle is extruded across the outer membrane (Wolfgang et al., 2000). However, the most striking feature of type IV pili is their ability to retract through the bacterial cell wall while the pilus tip remains firmly adhered to the target surface. In *Neisseria* spp., it has been shown that the inner membrane ATPase PiIT is involved in type IV pilus retraction (Wolfgang et al., 1998). PiIT is also required for force-dependent pilus elongation, suggesting that bacteria can modulate interaction with surfaces by controlling tension on their pili (Maier et al., 2004). Pilus retraction is required for a specialized form of bacterial movement across semisolid surfaces (such as the mucosal epithelia) called twitching motility.

Type IV pili are also involved in bacterial adhesion to host cells. EPEC type IV bundle-forming pili, for example,

are required for initial bacterial attachment to brush border cells as a prelude for the "attaching and effacing" phenotype (Tobe and Sasakawa 2002) (see below). P. aeruginosa type IV pili are the main adhesive organelles recognizing the disaccharide 
\beta-GalNAc(1-4)\betaGal on epithelial cells asialo-GM1 and -GM2 gangliosides (Suh et al., 2001). In the case of Neisseria spp., PilC-mediated adhesion to host cells via recognition of the complement regulator CD46 has been reported (Kallstrom et al., 1997), but recent studies suggest that the PilC ligand is a still undefined protein (Kirchner and Meyer, 2005). Nevertheless, type IV piliated Neisseria spp. are able to induce several activities including CD46 tyrosine phosphorylation, CD46 redistribution under signaling bacteria and release of CD46-enriched host membrane vesicles (Gill et al., 2005; Lee et al., 2005). A minor subunit of the Neisseria spp. type IV pili, PilV, has also been described as mediating bacterial adhesion to epithelial cells (Winther-Larsen et al., 2001). Phase and antigenic variation have been described for Neisseria spp. type IV pili, enabling the pathogen to express new variants during the course of infection and escape the immune system. Type IV pili have been additionally implicated in other different functions, including biofilm formation and DNA uptake by natural transformation.

#### Pili in Gram-Positive Bacteria

The presence of pili has been for many years the hallmark of Gram-negative bacteria. However, in several Grampositive microorganisms, fimbrial structures have been described in the past, and recently the mechanisms by which Gram-positive pili are assembled have started to be uncovered (Ton-That and Schneewind, 2004). In the case of Corynebacterium diphtheriae, the agent of human diphtheria, pili are composed of three subunits: SpA is the major component of the pilus shaft, SpaB is spaced at regular intervals along the shaft, and SpaC is located at the pilus tip. These pili are generated by the sortase machinery, which had so far only been considered as a pathway to covalently anchor proteins presenting the LPXTG motif to the cell wall peptidoglycan. Study of the assembly mechanisms of Gram-positive pili suggests that sortases, through their transpeptidase activity, also link pili subunits (Figure 1B). A similar sortase-mediated export of pilin subunits seems to exist in other pathogenic species such as Clostridium perfringens, Actinomyces naeslundii, Streptococcus agalactiae, Streptococcus mutans, and Streptococcus pneumoniae (Lauer et al., 2005). However, in species such as Ruminococcus albus, the pilus subunit presents homology to that of Gram-negative type IV pili; moreover, pili are morphologically similar to type IV pili, suggesting that Gram-positive bacteria have also evolved multiple molecular strategies for pili formation.

As in Gram-negative pathogens, Gram-positive pili seem to play an important role in the adhesion of bacteria to host surfaces. In the case of the buccal pathogen *Streptococcus parasanguis*, the distal FimA subunit promotes adhesion to saliva-coated hydroxyl apatite; *Actinomyces* spp., another buccal pathogen, binds salivary proline-rich molecules and mucosal tissues via type 1 and type 2 fimbria, respectively (Ton-That and Schneewind, 2004). Interestingly, the recently discovered *Streptococcus pyogenes* pili have been unwittingly used during more than five decades for serotyping; new data show that immunization of mice with recombinant *S. pyogenes* pilus proteins confers protection against challenge with virulent bacteria, highlighting the key role of these pili for infection (Mora et al., 2005).

#### Adhesins

Besides pili and fimbria, a plethora of different bacterial nonpolymeric adhesins exist which recognize many different elements of host-cell surfaces, including components of the extracellular matrix such as collagens, laminins, elastin, proteoglycans, and hyaluronan. Adhesive glycoproteins such as vitronectin, fibrinogen, and specially fibronectin—which can be present as secreted or plasma membrane-associated molecules—are also recognized by many different species of bacterial pathogens. Integral host membrane adhesion receptors such as integrins, cadherins, selectins, and CEACAMs are receptors of many pathogens for adhesion, and some times for cell entry (see section on invasion).

#### Fibronectin Binding Proteins

Impressive for its broad repertoire of adhesins is S. pyogenes (responsible for cutaneo-mucosal infections including necrotizing fasciitis), which presents more than twelve fibronectin and collagen binding proteins, some of them displaying additional enzymatic activities such as serine protease activity in the case of ScpA or lipoproteinase activity in the case of SfbII (Kreikemeyer et al., 2004). Staphylococcus aureus, agent of polymorphic cutaneo-mucosal infections and toxic shock syndromes, also express fibronectin binding proteins that present mechanico-functional properties similar to those of the streptococcal proteins. despite absence of phylogenetical relationships between them (Schwarz-Linek et al., 2003). For example, the major adhesins Sfbl of S. pyogenes and FnBP-A of S. aureus bind ECM-associated fibronectin and induce the clustering of fibronectin bound integrin receptors, triggering intracellular signaling (Ozeri et al., 2001; Joh et al., 1999; see below).

#### **Autotransporters**

The so-called autotransporters are proteins located on the bacterial surface. They represent the simplest form of protein export in bacteria: molecules secreted by this system, known as type V secretion, contain an N-terminal leader peptide for secretion across the inner membrane, a C-terminal domain that forms a pore in the outer membrane, and a passenger domain that is autotransported through the outer membrane pore to be exposed on the bacterial surface, for cleavage in certain cases (Henderson et al., 2004). Originally it was proposed that a single autotransporter was required for its own transport, but it has been suggested recently that several autotransporters may associate to generate a pore that can support the transport of even folded passenger domains (Veiga et al., 2002).

Several autotransporters have been associated with adhesion in E. coli: antigen 43, the only autotransporter that undergoes phase variation, contains RGD motifs implicated in integrin binding and confers low levels of adhesion to cells; AIDA-A is expressed by DAEC strains and is responsible for their particular diffusively adhesive phenotype; the ETEC-expressed TibA is the first surfacelocalized glycoprotein described for E. coli and its glycosylation confers adhesive properties. In Helicobacter pylori, the etiological agent of several gastric diseases including gastric cancer, several adhesins have been detected and one of these adhesins, BabA, is an autotransporter. BabA recognizes the Lewis b (Le<sup>b</sup>) blood group antigen present on red blood cells and in the gastric mucosa (Ilver et al., 1998); inflammation of the gastric tissue leads to increased expression of other Lewis antigens normally expressed at low levels such as sialylated sLe<sup>x</sup> and sLe<sup>a</sup>, and H. pylori recognizes these molecules via the sialic acid binding protein (SabA) (Mahdavi et al., 2002), suggesting that H. pylori infection favors the expression of its own receptors in target tissues. In the case of Yersinia enterocolitica and Yersinia pseudotuberculosis (the agents of enteric yersiniosis), YadA is the prototype of the new subfamily of trimeric autotransporters, in which three autotransporter subunits associate to form the functional pore (Cotter et al., 2005); YadA mediates adhesion to collagen, laminin, and fibronectin (Nummelin et al., 2004).

## Tir: The Bacterially Encoded Cellular Receptor of EPEC and EHEC

Besides their whole arsenal of pili and fimbria, EPEC and EHEC have developed an original bacterial adhesion system in which the bacteria provides both the ligand and the receptor. EPEC and EHEC induce a characteristic lesion known as the "attaching and effacing" (A/E) phenotype: after intimate attachment to intestinal epithelial cells via their adhesins and bundle-forming pili, bacteria induce the local effacement of absorptive microvilli and the accumulation of host cytoskeletal proteins, leading to the formation of pedestal-like structures on which bacteria perch. The molecules required for the induction of A/E lesions are encoded in a region known as the locus of enterocyte effacement (LEE), which codes for a type III secretion system (TTSS). The TTSS gene cluster codes for structural proteins required for the formation of a needle complex or injectisome that traverses the bacterial cell wall and the host-cell plasma membrane (Figure 2); it also codes for chaperones and secreted effectors that will be delivered to the host cytoplasm through the needle complex and will modulate cellular functions favoring bacterial survival. One of the effector proteins of the EPEC/ EHEC TTSS is Tir (translocated intimin receptor), which is injected into host target cells and then is inserted into the host-cell membrane, where it functions as a receptor for another LEE encoded molecule, the outer membrane protein intimin (Kenny et al., 1997)(Figure 3A). In the case of EPEC, Tir is phosphorylated by host kinases such as Fyn and Abl (Phillips et al., 2004; Swimm et al., 2004) on tyrosine-474 and is involved in the recruitment





#### Figure 2. Type III Secretion System

Schematic figure of the Gram-negative TTSS. A cylindrical base, similar to the flagellar hook-basal body complex, spans the periplasm and is associated with the two bacterial membranes where ring-like structures are detected, ensuring stabilization of the whole structure upon the bacterial cell envelope. An elongated hollow extracellular structure called the needle extends around 50 nm outside the bacterial cell wall (it varies according to the different bacterial species) and can be inserted into eukaryotic membranes. Energy derived from ATP hydrolysis drives translocation of bacterial proteins (known as TTSS effectors) from the bacterial cytoplasm to the eukaryotic cell cytoplasm, where they can hijack host signaling pathways. of the host adaptor molecule Nck, which in turn recruits the Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein (N-WASP) and the actin-related protein 2/3 (Arp2/3) complex, which nucleate actin cytoskeleton leading to the formation of the bacterial-associated pedestals (Figure 3B). Actin binding proteins such as α-actinin, talin and vinculin are also recruited to the pedestal. EPEC Tir has a GTPase activating protein (GAP) activity that has been implicated in the down-regulation of pedestals formation (Kenny et al., 2002). EPEC Tir can also trigger Nck-independent pedestal formation, which depends on tyrosine-474 and tyrosine-454 phosphorylation, recruiting a still unknown phosphotyrosine binding adaptor involved in N-WASP and Arp2/3 binding (Campellone and Leong, 2005). EHEC Tir generates pedestals in the absence of phosphotyrosines or Nck by associating with the TTSS effector EspF<sub>U</sub>, which binds N-WASP and stimultates Nck-independent actin assembly (Campellone et al., 2004; Garmendia et al., 2004). Tir is so far the only example of a bacterial receptor that is encoded by the bacterium itself. The murine pathogen Citrobacter rodentium has also acquired by horizontal gene transfer the LEE, which enables it to form pedestals (Deng et al., 2001).

#### **Invasive Strategies**

The extracellular milieu can be a harsh environment in which pathogens are subjected, in addition to physical stresses (such as low pH or shear stress imposed by flow of mucosal secretions or blood) to many other host defense mechanisms including cellular exfoliation, complement deposition, antibody labelling and subsequent recognition by macrophages or cytotoxic T cells, etc. Several bacterial species have evolved molecular strategies to actively induce their entry into target cells for replication and/or dissemination to other host tissues. Invasion can proceed by direct engagement of surface host-cell receptors or by direct translocation of bacterial proteins into the host-cell cytosol that will promote rearrangements of the plasma membrane architecture, inducing pathogen engulfment. A growing number of bacteria that were so-far considered as extracellular have been shown to invade host cells, probably using intracellular compartments for persistence in target tissues.

#### Invasin and Other Ligands Involved in Integrin Engagement and Bacterial Uptake

The ability of adhesion receptors, such as integrins or cadherins, to transmit biochemical signals and mechanical

(B) (i) Transmission electron microscopy of osmotically shocked Salmonella exhibiting TTSS complexes on the bacterial cell envelope (open arrows). The scale bar represents 100  $\mu$ m. Reprinted with permission from Kubori et al., (1998). (ii) Electron microscopy of osmotically shocked and negatively stained *Shigella* showing TTSS scattered over the bacterial cell envelope. The scale bar represents 100  $\mu$ m. Reproduced with permission from Blocker et al., (2003). (iii) Electron micrographs of negatively stained *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium TTSS and (iv) surface rendering of the TTSS base. Reprinted with permission from Marlovits et al., (2004).



#### Figure 3. Tir/Intimin Interaction

(A) EPEC, via its TTSS, injects into the cytosol of target cells the protein Tir, which integrates into the host-cell plasma membrane, dimerizes, and functions as a receptor for the bacterial outer membrane intimin. Tir/intimin interaction promotes Tir phosphorylation by Fyn and Abl, inducing the recruitment of the protein adaptor Nck, which in turn recruits N-WASP and the Arp2/3 complex, leading to actin polymerization and the formation of structures known as pedestals. Actin binding proteins such as talin are recruited to the pedestal, stabilizing the structure.

(B) Scanning electron micrograph of EPEC perching on top of pedestals on HeLa cells (reprinted with permission from Finlay and Cossart, 1997).

force across cell membranes depends on interactions with the actin cytoskeleton. Several pathogens seem to have exploited their interaction with host-cell receptors—and particularly with  $\alpha 5\beta 1$  integrin—not only to adhere but also to trigger actin cytoskeleton rearrangements that can lead to cellular invasion. The first bacterial invasion protein ever described was invasin (Isberg et al., 1987), a surface protein of *Y. enterocolitica* and *Y. pseudo-tuberculosis*. Invasin is related to EPEC/EHEC intimins and binds with high affinity to multiple members of the

β1 chain integrin family (Isberg and Leong, 1990), subverting normal interactions of these adhesion molecules with fibronectin. Invasin, in fact, binds to integrins with higher affinity than fibronectin, and crystal structure of invasin reveals that it presents an optimized surface for integrin binding, including several key residues shared with fibronectin, but in the context of different folds and surface shapes (Hamburger et al., 1999). The short cytoplasmic tail of integrins has no enzymatic activity but recruits components that serve as linking or docking proteins for cytoskeletal-associated elements. The focal adhesion kinase (FAK) or the Src family of kinases are often associated with physiological integrin-mediated signaling, and Y. pseudotuberculosis subverts the function of these proteins to invade nonphagocytic cells (Alrutz and Isberg, 1998; Bruce-Staskal et al., 2002). Integrin engagement by invasin leads also to activation of several small GTPases, including Rac1, a member of the Rho family of master actin regulators, and Arf6, which has been implicated in membrane trafficking; these proteins promote the recruitment to the bacterial entry site of the phosphatidylinositol-phosphate-5-kinase la, inducing the local production of phosphatidylinositol-4,5-biphosphate (Wong and Isberg, 2003), an important second messenger affecting the subcellular localization and activation of actin-regulating molecules (Pizarro-Cerdá and Cossart, 2004) (Figure 4A). It is important to note that the invasive behavior of Y. enterocolitica and Y. pseudotuberculosis is only required during the initial stages of disease for bacterial translocation through epithelial layers, since in vivo bacterial proliferation takes place in the extracellular space and these species have developed an important arsenal of anti-phagocytic molecules (Yersinia outer proteins or Yops) that are injected into target cells via a TTSS to block the potential internalization of bacteria upon adhesion.

Several bacterial pathogens that have been traditionally considered as extracellular-living microorganisms and that interact with integrins for adhesion to host tissues have been shown in recent years to be able to invade host cells; however, the in vivo relevance of many of these in vitro observations remains to be established. For example, clustering of fibronectin-bound  $\beta 1$  integrin receptors by streptococcal SfbI triggers the recruitment of the Rho GTPases Cdc42 and Rac, as well as recruitment/phosphorylaton of FAK, producing actin rearrangements that eventually lead to bacterial internalization (Ozeri et al., 2001). Similarly, staphylococcal FnBP-A-induced α5β1 integrin clustering leads to FAK activation and Src-dependent phosphorylation of cortactin, a molecule involved in the recruitment of the Arp2/3 complex for actin polymerization; actin binding proteins such as vinculin, tensin, and zyxin are also recruited to the bacterial attachment site, promoting S. aureus internalization (Agerer et al., 2005). For DAEC, it has been reported that interaction between Afa/Dr members and  $\alpha 5\beta 1$  integrins also results in bacterial internalization (Plancon et al., 2003); some Afa/Dr molecules can additionally interact with CEACAMs, triggering



#### Figure 4. Invasive Molecular Strategies of Salmonella, Shigella, Yersinia, and Listeria

(A)The Yersinia outer membrane invasin interacts with  $\beta$ 1 integrin receptors and favors activation of the small RhoGTPase Rac1, which will indirectly modulate the phosphatidylinositol metabolism to induce actin rearrangements at the site of bacterial entry, promoting invasion. Host kinases such as FAK or Src also participate in the process.

(B) Salmonella translocates several effectors into target cells, some of them allowing the initial uptake of the bacterium: SipC is part of the TTSS and drives actin polymerization and actin-filament bundling; SopE activates Rho GTPases, fostering actin polimerization and membrane ruffle formation; SopB modulates inositol-polyphosphate metabolism, activating indirectly the same Rho GTPases as SopE; and SipA blocks the actin depolymerization factor cofilin, favoring also membrane ruffle formation. SptP plays a role once the internalization has taken place, inactivating the Rho GTPases, inhibiting actin polymerization, and helping the closure of the plasma membrane over internalized bacteria.

(C) Shigella also translocates several TTSS effectors into target cells to induce invasion: the translocon component lpaC nucleates the formation of actin filaments; VirA indirectly stimulates the RhoGTPase Rac1 favoring actin polymerization (the host tyrosine kinases Abl/Arg also activate indirectly Cdc42 and Rac1) and inhibits microtubule polymerization; lpgD affects phosphoinositide metabolism and promotes the extension of membrane ruffles by decreasing the interactions between the plasma membrane and the actin cytoskeleton; lpaA activates the host protein vinculin, inducing actin depolymerization and recovery of the plasma membrane architecture once the bacteria are internalized.

(D) Listeria invades target cells combining two molecular pathways. In the InIA-dependent pathway, the sortase-anchored bacterial protein InIA interacts with the cell adhesion molecule E-cadherin and promotes the subversion of cell adherens junction machinery (including  $\beta$ - and  $\alpha$ -catenins) to induce entry. The myosin VIIA probably generates the contractile force required for bacterial engulfment. Actin polymerization relies, among other molecules, on the RhoGTPase Rac1.

(E) In the InIB-dependent pathway of *Listeria*, the loosely cell-wall-attached bacterial protein InIB interacts with the molecule gC1qR, and with the signaling receptor Met, which recruits several molecular adaptors, which will perform several functions including the recruitment of a PI3K (involved in the activation of the RhoGTPase Rac1 and the polymerization of actin), and also the ubiquitination of Met and the endocytosis of the receptor via a clathrin-dependent mechanisms. A balance between actin polymerization and actin depolymerization required for efficient bacterial entry is controlled by regulation of the activities of the Lim kinase and the actin depolymerizing factor cofilin.

activation of Cdc42 and the subsequent phosphorylation of the actin binding proteins ezrin, radixin, and moesin, leading to the formation of elongated microvilli underlying the adhering DAEC (Berger et al., 2004). In the case of *Neisseria* spp., members of the opacity-associated (Opa) outer membrane proteins (which are subject to phase variation as their type IV pili) have been reported to induce invasion: in particular, Opa50 binding of  $\alpha$ 5 $\beta$ 1

integrins induces pathogen internalization in epithelial cells in vitro (van Putten et al., 1998). Most other Opa proteins can bind CEACAMs, inducing not only bacterial invasion but also increased adhesion of infected cells to the ECM, counteracting the exfoliation of epithelial cells that normally takes place as an innate immune response against infection (Muenzner et al., 2005).

#### **UPEC: Pili-Mediated Invasion for Persistence**

As mentioned above, type I pili mediate interaction between UPEC and the urinary tract. The main characterized receptor for the adhesin FimH in bladder epithelial cells is the monomannose moiety of the tetraspanin molecule uroplakin 1a (UP1a) (Zhou et al., 2001b). UP1a forms a complex with three other uroplakin proteins to assemble into plaques that almost cover the entire luminal surface of the bladder epithelium, providing an impermeable layer that is critical for bladder function. Interestingly, FimH interaction with bladder cells triggers a signal transduction cascade that results in the uptake of bacteria (Martinez and Hultgren, 2002). The specific signaling role of UP1a in the FimH-mediated entry has not been clearly established; it has been proposed that the highly dynamic physiological endocytosis of the UP1a-containing plaques is hijacked by UPEC to produce invasion. The presence of plasma membrane microdomains known as lipid rafts, which function as platforms for recruitment of signaling molecules, are also required for invasion of target cells by the FimH-dependent pathway (Duncan et al., 2004). Entry into bladder cells needs the activity of the type I phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K), a lipid kinase involved in the production of the important second messenger phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-triphosphate. Several proteins are phosphorylated during UPEC entry into bladder cells including FAK, which associates with the PI3K, boosting its lipid kinase activity. Formation of complexes of the actin binding proteins a-actinin/vinculin also takes place, probably stabilizing actin filaments surrounding invading UPEC. Cdc42 and RhoA are also required for the FimH-dependent cell invasion process by UPEC (Martinez and Hultgren, 2002). FimH has been additionally reported to bind some other glycosylated and nonglycosylated receptors different from UP1a, including extracellular matrix structural proteins (laminin and fibronectin), CEACAMs, and the GPI-anchored protein CD48, which mediates FimH-dependent entry of UPEC in mast cells (Shin et al., 2000). It has been proposed that infection of bladder cells leads to the establishment of a bacterial reservoir that is probably involved in the recurrent urinary tract infections associated with UPEC (Anderson et al., 2003).

## Salmonella and Shigella: Paradigms of TTSS Users for Invasion

Salmonella spp. and Shigella spp. were long considered as distinct species due to their characteristic associated diseases: acute gastroenteritis or systemic typhoid fever in the case of Salmonella, and dysentery in the case of Shigella. Using molecular biology tools and genomics, it has been established that these microorganisms belong to the same bacterial cluster as *E. coli*, having acquired by horizontal transfer similar genes that enable them to invade and colonize target cells in specific ways (Parsot 2005). In *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium, up to five virulence gene clusters or pathogenicity islands (SPIs) have been identified which encode different proteins involved in invasion of target cells (SPI-1) and establishment of infection within the intracellular environment (SPI-2 to 5). SPI-1 and SPI-2 code for two different TTSS. Entry of *Shigella flexneri* depends also on a TTSS encoded on a virulence plasmid pathogenicity island. The size of the *S. flexneri* LPS, regulated by its glucosylation, controls the TTSS accessibility to the cell surface (West et al., 2005).

Both pathogens trigger important cytoskeletal changes at the host-cell plasma membrane to promote invasion (Cossart and Sansonetti, 2004). The S. enterica SPI-1-TTSS is involved in the translocation of proteins that modulate actin rearrangements, subverting in certain cases the functions of endogenous host-cell proteins by precisely mimicking their activity. Two of these effectors, SopE and SopE2, are bona fide guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) for Cdc42 and Rac1 and mimic host-cell GEFs, catalyzing the GTP loading and activation of these small GTPases, which results in formation of membrane extensions required for bacterial engulfment (Hardt et al., 1998). A still-unknown indirect cascade of Cdc42 and Rac1 activation is mediated by another SPI-1-TTSS effector, SopB (also known as SigD), which is an inositol phosphatase that generates phosphatidylinositol-3-phosphate and inositol-1,4,5,6-tetraphosphate upon invasion (Zhou et al., 2001a). Interestingly, recovery of normal cellular architecture is observed once S. enterica has completely invaded target cells, highlighting that the activation of the Rho GTPases and actin polymerization must be transient. In fact, S. enterica translocates another SPI-1-TTSS effector, SptP, which is a GAP for both Cdc42 and Rac1, accelerating the GTP hydrolysis and the consequent inactivation of these Rho GTPases after invasion (Fu and Galan, 1999). The half-life of SptP is longer than that of SopE or SopE2, assuring in the long term the balance toward recovery of the normal cell architecture after bacterial internalization (Kubori and Galan, 2003). Two additional translocated proteins, SipC and SipA, modulate directly actin dynamics: SipC is part of the translocon-complex (together with SipB) that inserts as a pore into host membranes and allows secretion of effectors in the host-cell cytoplasm, and drives actin polymerization and actin filament bundling (Hayward and Koronakis, 1999), while SipA antagonizes the functions of the actin depolymerizing factor cofilin and of the actin filament severing protein gelsolin, preventing disassembly of formed actin fibers (McGhie et al., 2004)(Figure 4B).

In the case of *S. flexneri*, the translocon components IpaB and IpaC are homologous (although not identical in structure and function) to *S. enterica* SipB and SipC, and IpaC nucleates the formation of actin filaments beneath invading bacteria as SipC does (Kueltzo et al., 2003). IpaB interacts with the hyaluronic receptor CD44 and mobilizes this host protein in cholesterol-rich lipid rafts, allowing the



#### Figure 5. Imaging of Bacterial Interactions with Target Cells

(A) 3D reconstruction from confocal images depicting how translocation of IpaB (green) from *Shigella* (blue) to the cytoplasm of target cells induces the rearragement of the actin cytoskeleton (red) that will permit bacterial entry (image courtesy of Jost Enninga).

(B) 3D reconstruction from confocal images illustrating the recruitment of clathrin (red) around internalized *Listeria* (green; merge of clathrin and internal *Listeria*: yellow) during the first minutes of invasion. External *Listeria* (light blue) is located on top of host cell (image courtesy of Esteban Veiga).

potential recruitment of other signaling molecules at the site of bacterial entry (Lafont et al., 2002). The Abl/Arg tyrosine kinases phosphorylate the molecular adaptor Crk, which presumably activates a GEF for Cdc42 and Rac1 (Burton et al., 2003). Crk also recruits cortactin, which is phosphorylated by Src and is implicated in the Arp2/3dependent actin nucleation at the bacterial internalization site (Bougneres et al., 2004). Indirect stimulation of actin polymerization via Rac1 and WAVE2 is orchestrated via the TTSS effector VirA, which also inhibits microtubule polymerization (Yoshida et al., 2002) (Figure 4C). S. flexneri IpgD is an homolog inositol phosphatase of S. enterica SopB, decreasing the plasma membrane levels of phosphatidylinositol-4,5-biphosphate and consequently limiting the membrane/cytoskeleton interactions favored by this phosphoinositide; IpgD thus promotes extension of membrane filopodia necessary for bacterial engulfment (Niebuhr et al., 2002). S. flexneri also induces actin depolymerization by activating the actin binding protein vinculin via the TTSS effector IpaA (Bourdet-Sicard et al., 1999), allowing recovery of the plasma membrane architecture after invasion.

Two elegant studies have recently approached the realtime visualization of TTSS effectors secretion upon contact with target cells. In the case of *S. enterica*, it was determined that the translocation process is extremely fast, and the pool of stocked intrabacterial SipA can be completely injected between 100–600 s (Schlumberger et al., 2005). Concerning *S. flexneri*, it was observed that IpaB and IpaC are synthesized and stocked within bacteria in association with chaperons before actual contact with target cells; kinetics of secretion of IpaB and IpaC are rapid and highly concurrent as revealed by live-cell imaging, indicating that quick ejection of these effectors is the rate-limiting step for the invasion process (Enninga et al., 2005) (Figure 5A).

## Listeria: Engagement of E-cadherin and Met Signaling Pathways

The Gram-positive pathogen *Listeria monocytogenes* is an intracellular parasite able to traverse three human barriers—the intestinal barrier, the blood-brain barrier, and the feto-placental barrier—leading to several clinical manifestations including gastroenteritis, meningitis, or abortion (Dussurget et al., 2004). Traversal of these different barriers is mediated by InIA, the prototypic member of the internalin family of leucine-rich repeat molecules, which is present in all the L. monocytogenes serovars. The InIA receptor is the cell adhesion molecule E-cadherin, a protein involved in homophilic interactions required for the maintenance of cell adherens junctions. The InIA/E-cadherin interaction is species-specific, since only E-cadherins possessing a proline residue at position 16 (as is the case for human E-cadherin) are able to bind InIA (Lecuit et al., 1999). Initial interaction between InIA and E-cadherin requires integrity of lipid rafts (Seveau et al., 2004). Engagement of E-cadherin by InIA leads to recruitment of two catenins to the bacterial internalization site: β-catenin binds to the C-terminal cytoplasmic domain of E-caderin, and recruits at the same time  $\alpha$ -catenin (Lecuit et al., 2000) (Figure 4D). Recruitment of α-catenin depends also on ARHGAP10, a molecule that exhibits GAP activity for RhoA and Cdc42 (Sousa et al., 2005). Actin polymerization in the InIA-dependent pathway relies on Rac, cortactin and Arp2/3, but the molecular events leading to the activation of this cascade remain unknown. The unconventional myosin VIIA and its ligand vezatin are also required for the InIA-mediated entry of L. monocytogenes, probably generating the tension required for internalization of engulfed bacteria (Sousa et al., 2004). Traversal of the intestinal barrier and of the feto-placental barrier by subversion of cellular E-cadherin function by InIA has been established (Lecuit et al., 2001, 2004), and it is suspected that traversal of the blood-brain barrier probably also requires InIA/E-cadherin interactions.

Invasion of epithelial cells implicates another member of the internalin family, InIB. This protein is loosely attached to the bacterial surface by GW motifs that interact noncovalently with lipoteichoic acids, as opposed to InIA, which is covalently linked to the bacterial cell wall peptidoglycan by a sortase through its C-terminal LPXTG motif. InIB can be released from the bacterial cell wall upon contact with glycosaminoglycans of the extracellular matrix (Jonquieres et al., 2001), and soluble InIB can interact through its GW motifs with a host-cell membrane molecule, the *re*ceptor for the *g*lobular head of complement *C1q* molecule (gC1q-R) (Braun et al., 2000); however, the functional

significance of this interaction is not understood yet. The main cellular receptor for InIB is the hepatocyte growth factor receptor Met, a receptor tyrosine kinase (Shen et al., 2000), which binds to the leucine rich repeats of InIB. The interaction between InIB and Met is species specific, since InIB recognizes human but not rabbit Met (Khelef et al., 2006). Activation of Met by InIB leads to recruitment of several molecular adaptors such as Gab1, Cbl, and Shc, which promote the plasma-membrane association of the PI3K (Ireton et al., 1996) (Figure 4E). PI3K then is involved in activation of Rac and induction of actin polymerization through the activity of WAVE and the Arp2/3 complex (Bierne et al., 2001, 2005). Actin rearrangements are also controlled downstream of Rac by the actin depolymerizing protein cofilin and by the cofilin-modulating enzyme LIM kinase (Bierne et al., 2001). Internalization of Met upon interaction with its natural ligand HGF is clathrin dependent and involves Cbl, which is also an ubiquitin ligase that ubiquitinates Met. As shown recently, Cbl promotes the recruitment and activity of the clathrin-dependent endocytosis machinery at the site of bacterial entry and bacterial internalization (Veiga and Cossart, 2005) (Figure 5B). InIB, thus, seems to exploit unexpectedly the normal trafficking of its receptor to promote invasion (Li et al., 2005). Whether the recruitment of the endocytosis machinery is also occurring for other bacteria is an open question. Integrity of lipids rafts is also required for the InIB-dependent pathway; however, in contrast to the InIA/E-cadherin case, it is not the initial interaction between InIB and Met that is favored by lipid rafts, but the signaling downstream of PI3K activation (Seveau et al., 2004). Potentiation of L. monocytogenes entry into target cells by InIA and InIB also requires other cell surface proteins such as the autolysins Ami and Auto, or the cholesterol-dependent cytolysin listeriolysin O (LLO) (Dussurget et al., 2004).

## Rickettsia: A Human Pathogen Closely Related to Plant Symbionts

Members of the  $\alpha$ -proteobacteria group display diverse interactions with higher eukaryotes. Species such as *Sinorhizobium melitoti* or *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* are plant symbionts or parasites, respectively. Other species such as *Rickettsia* spp. or *Brucella* spp. are animal intracellular parasites—and have been classified as "select agents" for bioterrorism. Interestingly, virulence/symbiosis systems are conserved between these different groups of pathogens/symbionts, indicating that an original ancestor evolved to adapt to different environments in plants or animals.

*Rickettsia* spp. are agents of epidemic typhus and the Mediterranean spotted fever and are strict intracellular, noncultivatable bacteria. Two outer membrane proteins, rOmpA and rOmpB, have been described as putative adhesins favoring respectively *R. conorii* and *R. japonica* attachment to epithelial cells in vitro (Li and Walker, 1998; Uchiyama 2003). rOmpB, which belongs to a family of autotransporters in Gram-negative bacteria, has been recently described as the bacterial ligand of a *R. conorii* 

receptor on target cells, Ku70, a component of the DNAdependent protein kinase (Martinez et al., 2005). As described above for several bacterial receptors on hostcell plasma membranes, association of Ku70 with cholesterol-enriched lipid rafts is required for invasion. As shown for Met during the InIB-dependent internalization of L. monocytogenes, ubiquitination of Ku70 by Cbl is also a prerequisite for bacterial entry. Interestingly, structural motifs at the N-terminal domain of Ku70 share homology with integrin domains, and it has been already proposed that Ku70 can function as a receptor for fibronectin (Monferran et al., 2004). Activation of Src and FAK, two important events strongly associated with  $\beta$ 1-integrin activation, are also detected during invasion of target cells by R. conorii; Cdc42 (but not Rac1), PI3K, cortactin and the Arp2/3 complex are also molecules required for entry (Martinez and Cossart, 2004).

#### Conclusions

Pathogenic bacteria have evolved an incredibly large and diverse array of adhesion and invasion molecules that enable them to exploit a variety of host-cell surface components and occupy different niches within the human body. While major advances have recently been made concerning the biogenesis, assembly and structure of previously known pili, fimbriae, invasins, and type III secretion systems, new adhesive structures have unexpectedly been discovered in Gram-positive bacteria such as streptococci, highlighting the ever growing diversity of the strategies used by pathogenic bacteria to adhere and colonize their hosts. In some cases, bacterial components mimic structurally the normal ligand as in the case of Yersinia invasin when binding to integrins; in other cases, the bacterial protein only exploits the specific signaling properties of a given host-cell component, as in the case of Listeria internalin binding to the adhesion molecule E-cadherin. The special case of EPEC/EHEC Tir protein which is first injected by the bacterium to serve as a receptor for another bacterial surface protein intimin has remained unique and not described in the other enterobacteriacae. In many cases, it is difficult to assign to a given protein an adhesin versus an invasin function, as many invasins are often working as adhesins. In the case of Salmonella and Shigella, the bacteria are not highly adherent, but their invasion machinery is particularly efficient. It seems that contact with the cell inevitably leads to entry, owing to the efficiency of the bacterial TTSS effectors injected directly into the host cytosol, which insidiously interfere with the cytoskeleton and other components critical for the entry process. How contact occurs is thus a critical issue, and in this case, as for many bacterial pathogens, membrane organization and presence of microdomains seem to govern key events in the internalization process. As demonstrated for Listeria, the presence of microdomains may be critical for the adhesion step. It may also be required for later stages during signaling. The events that occur at the plasma membrane are as yet far from being understood. It is clear that the challenge for the future will be to understand how bacteria coordinate in time and space the expression of their different effectors and how the cell reacts to this aggression. Understanding how cells behave in front of a pathogen may also provide key answers to more general questions in cell biology such as signaling during adhesion or during a variety of other stress conditions.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank members of the Cossart lab for helpful discussions, and our referees for their very useful comments. We are particularly grateful to Olaf Schneewind, to Esteban Veiga for Figure 5A, and Jost Enninga for Figure 5B. We would like to apologize to all those authors whose work we may not have adequately presented or even omitted due to space limitations. P.C. is an international research scholar of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

#### REFERENCES

Agerer, F., Lux, S., Michel, A., Rohde, M., Ohlsen, K., and Hauck, C.R. (2005). Cellular invasion by Staphylococcus aureus reveals a functional link between focal adhesion kinase and cortactin in integrin-mediated internalisation. J. Cell Sci. *118*, 2189–2200.

Alrutz, M.A., and Isberg, R.R. (1998). Involvement of focal adhesion kinase in invasin-mediated uptake. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *95*, 13658–13663.

Anderson, G.G., Palermo, J.J., Schilling, J.D., Roth, R., Heuser, J., and Hultgren, S.J. (2003). Intracellular bacterial biofilm-like pods in urinary tract infections. Science *301*, 105–107.

Anderson, K.L., Billington, J., Pettigrew, D., Cota, E., Simpson, P., Roversi, P., Chen, H.A., Urvil, P., du Merle, L., Barlow, P.N., et al., (2004). An atomic resolution model for assembly, architecture, and function of the Dr adhesins. Mol. Cell *15*, 647–657.

Bann, J.G., Pinkner, J.S., Frieden, C., and Hultgren, S.J. (2004). Catalysis of protein folding by chaperones in pathogenic bacteria. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *101*, 17389–17393.

Berger, C.N., Billker, O., Meyer, T.F., Servin, A.L., and Kansau, I. (2004). Differential recognition of members of the carcinoembryonic antigen family by Afa/Dr adhesins of diffusely adhering Escherichia coli (Afa/Dr DAEC). Mol. Microbiol. *52*, 963–983.

Bierne, H., Miki, H., Innocenti, M., Scita, G., Gertler, F.B., Takenawa, T., and Cossart, P. (2005). WASP-related proteins, Abi1 and Ena/ VASP are required for Listeria invasion induced by the Met receptor. J. Cell Sci. *118*, 1537–1547.

Bierne, H., Gouin, E., Roux, P., Caroni, P., Yin, H.L., and Cossart, P. (2001). A role for cofilin and LIM kinase in Listeria-induced phagocytosis. J. Cell Biol. *155*, 101–112.

Blocker, A., Gounon, P., Larquet, E., Niebuhr, K., Cabiaux, V., Parsot, C., and Sansonetti, P.J. (2003). The tripartite type III secreton of Shigella flexneri inserts IpaB and IpaC into host membranes. J. Cell Biol. *147*, 683–693.

Bougneres, L., Girardin, S.E., Weed, S.A., Karginov, A.V., Olivo-Marin, J.C., Parsons, J.T., Sansonetti, P.J., and Van Nhieu, G.T. (2004). Cortactin and Crk cooperate to trigger actin polymerization during Shigella invasion of epithelial cells. J. Cell Biol. *166*, 225–235.

Bourdet-Sicard, R., Rudiger, M., Jockusch, B.M., Gounon, P., Sansonetti, P.J., and Tran Van Nhieu, G. (1999). Binding of the Shigella protein IpaA to vinculin induces F-actin depolymerization. EMBO J. *18*, 5853–5862.

Braun, L., Ghebrehiwet, B., and Cossart, P. (2000). gC1q-R/p32, a C1q-binding protein, is a receptor for the InIB invasion protein of Listeria monocytogenes. EMBO J. *19*, 1458–1466.

Bruce-Staskal, P.J., Weidow, C.L., Gibson, J.J., and Bouton, A.H. (2002). Cas, Fak and Pyk2 function in diverse signaling cascades to promote Yersinia uptake. J. Cell Sci. *115*, 2689–2700.

Burton, E.A., Plattner, R., and Pendergast, A.M. (2003). Abl tyrosine kinases are required for infection by Shigella flexneri. EMBO J. *22*, 5471–5479.

Campellone, K.G., and Leong, J.M. (2005). Nck-independent actin assembly is mediated by two phosphorylated tyrosines within enteropathogenic Escherichia coli Tir. Mol. Microbiol. *56*, 416–432.

Campellone, K.G., Robbins, D., and Leong, J.M. (2004). EspFU is a translocated EHEC effector that interacts with Tir and N-WASP and promotes Nck-independent actin assembly. Dev. Cell 7, 217–228.

Cossart, P., and Sansonetti, P.J. (2004). Bacterial invasion: the paradigms of enteroinvasive pathogens. Science 304, 242–248.

Cotter, S.E., Surana, N.K., and St Geme, J.W., 3rd. (2005). Trimeric autotransporters: a distinct subfamily of autotransporter proteins. Trends Microbiol. *13*, 199–205.

Craig, L., Pique, M.E., and Tainer, J.A. (2004). Type IV pilus structure and bacterial pathogenicity. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. *2*, 363–378.

Deng, W., Li, Y., Vallance, B.A., and Finlay, B.B. (2001). Locus of enterocyte efffacement from *Citrobacter rodentium*: sequence analysis and evidence for horizontal transfer among attaching and effacing pathogens. Infect. Immun. *69*, 6323–6335.

Duncan, M.J., Li, G., Shin, J.S., Carson, J.L., and Abraham, S.N. (2004). Bacterial penetration of bladder epithelium through lipid rafts. J. Biol. Chem. *279*, 18944–18951.

Dussurget, O., Pizarro-Cerdá, J., and Cossart, P. (2004). Molecular determinants of *Listeria monocytogenes* virulence. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 58, 587–610.

Enninga, J., Mounier, J., Sansonetti, P., and Nhieu, G.T. (2005). Secretion of type III effectors into host cells in real time. Nat. Methods. *2*, 959–965.

Finlay, B.B., and Cossart, P. (1997). Exploitation of mammalian host cell functions by bacterial pathogens. Science *276*, 718–725.

Fu, Y., and Galan, J.E. (1999). A salmonella protein antagonizes Rac-1 and Cdc42 to mediate host-cell recovery after bacterial invasion. Nature *401*, 293–297.

Garmendia, J., Phillips, A.D., Carlier, M.F., Chong, Y., Schuller, S., Marches, O., Dahan, S., Oswald, E., Shaw, R.K., Knutton, S., and Frankel, G. (2004). TccP is an enterohaemorrhagic Escherichia coli 0157:H7 type III effector protein that couples Tir to the actin-cytoskeleton. Cell. Microbiol. *2*, 1167–1183.

Gill, D.B., Spitzer, D., Koomey, M., Heuser, J.E., and Atkinson, J.P. (2005). Release of host-derived membrane vesicles following pilusmediated adhesion of Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Cell. Microbiol. 7, 1672–1683.

Hamburger, Z.A., Brown, M.S., Isberg, R.R., and Bjorkman, P.J. (1999). Crystal structure of invasin: a bacterial integrin-binding protein. Science *286*, 291–295.

Hardt, W.D., Chen, L.M., Schuebel, K.E., Bustelo, X.R., and Galan, J.E. (1998). S. typhimurium encodes an activator of Rho GTPases that induces membrane ruffling and nuclear responses in host cells. Cell 93, 815–826.

Hayward, R.D., and Koronakis, V. (1999). Direct nucleation and bundling of actin by the SipC protein of invasive Salmonella. EMBO J. *18*, 4926–4934.

Henderson, I.R., Navarro-Garcia, F., Desvaux, M., Fernandez, R.C., and Ala'Aldeen, D. (2004). Type V protein secretion pathway: the auto-transporter story. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 68, 692–744.

Hultgren, S.J., Normark, S., and Abraham, S.N. (1991). Chaperoneassisted assembly and molecular architecture of adhesive pili. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. *45*, 383–415. Ilver, D., Arnqvist, A., Ogren, J., Frick, I.M., Kersulyte, D., Incecik, E.T., Berg, D.E., Covacci, A., Engstrand, L., and Boren, T. (1998). Helicobacter pylori adhesin binding fucosylated histo-blood group antigens revealed by retagging. Science 279, 373–377.

Ireton, K., Payrastre, B., Chap, H., Ogawa, W., Sakaue, H., Kasuga, M., and Cossart, P. (1996). A role for phosphoinositide 3-kinase in bacterial invasion. Science 274, 780–782.

Isberg, R.R., Voorhis, D.L., and Falkow, S. (1987). Identification of invasin: a protein that allows enteric bacteria to penetrate cultured mammalian cells. Cell 50, 769–778.

Isberg, R.R., and Leong, J.M. (1990). Multiple beta 1 chain integrins are receptors for invasin, a protein that promotes bacterial penetration into mammalian cells. Cell *60*, 861–871.

Joh, D., Wann, E.R., Kreikemeyer, B., Speziale, P., and Hook, M. (1999). Role of fibronectin-binding MSCRAMMs in bacterial adherence and entry into mammalian cells. Matrix Biol. *18*, 211–223.

Jonquieres, R., Pizarro-Cerdá, J., and Cossart, P. (2001). Synergy between the N- and C-terminal domains of InIB for efficient invasion of non-phagocytic cells by Listeria monocytogenes. Mol. Microbiol. *42*, 955–965.

Kallstrom, H., Liszewski, M.K., Atkinson, J.P., and Jonsson, A.B. (1997). Membrane cofactor protein (MCP or CD46) is a cellular pilus receptor for pathogenic Neisseria. Mol. Microbiol. *25*, 639–647.

Kenny, B., DeVinney, R., Stein, M., Reinscheid, D.J., Frey, E.A., and Finlay, B.B. (1997). Enteropathogenic E. coli (EPEC) transfers its receptor for intimate adherence into mammalian cells. Cell *91*, 511–520.

Kenny, B., Ellis, S., Leard, A.D., Warawa, J., Mellor, H., and Jepson, M.A. (2002). Co-ordinate regulation of distinct host cell signalling pathways by multifunctional enteropathogenic Escherichia coli effector molecules. Mol. Microbiol. *44*, 1095–1107.

Khelef, N., Lecuit, M., Bierne, H., and Cossart, P. (2006). Species specificity of the *Listeria monocytogenes* protein InIB. Cell. Microbiol. *8*, 457–470.

Kirchner, M., and Meyer, T.F. (2005). The PilC adhesin of the Neisseria type IV pilus-binding specificities and new insights into the nature of the host cell receptor. Mol. Microbiol. *56*, 945–957.

Kreikemeyer, B., Klenk, M., and Podbielski, A. (2004). The intracellular status of Streptococcus pyogenes: role of extracellular matrix-binding proteins and their regulation. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 294, 177–188.

Kubori, T., and Galan, J.E. (2003). Temporal regulation of salmonella virulence effector function by proteasome-dependent protein degradation. Cell *115*, 333–342.

Kubori, T., Matsushima, Y., Nakamura, D., Uralil, J., Lara-Tejero, M., Sukhan, A., Galan, J.E., and Aizawa, S.I. (1998). Supramolecular structure of the Salmonella typhimurium type III protein secretion system. Science 280, 602–605.

Kueltzo, L.A., Osiecki, J., Barker, J., Picking, W.L., Ersoy, B., Picking, W.D., and Middaugh, C.R. (2003). Structure-function analysis of invasion plasmid antigen C (lpaC) from Shigella flexneri. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 2792–2798.

Lafont, F., Tran Van Nhieu, G., Hanada, K., Sansonetti, P., and van der Goot, F.G. (2002). Initial steps of Shigella infection depend on the cholesterol/sphingolipid raft-mediated CD44-IpaB interaction. EMBO J. *21*, 4449–4457.

Lauer, P., Rinaudo, C.D., Soriani, M., Margarit, I., Maione, D., Rosini, R., Taddei, A.R., Mora, M., Rappuoli, R., Grandi, G., and Telford, J.L. (2005). Genome analysis reveals pili in Group B Streptococcus. Science *309*, 105.

Lecuit, M., Dramsi, S., Gottardi, C., Fedor-Chaiken, M., Gumbiner, B., and Cossart, P. (1999). A single amino acid in E-cadherin responsible for host specificity towards the human pathogen Listeria monocytogenes. EMBO J. *18*, 3956–3963. Lecuit, M., Nelson, D.M., Smith, S.D., Khun, H., Huerre, M., Vacher-Lavenu, M.C., Gordon, J.I., and Cossart, P. (2004). Targeting and crossing of the human maternofetal barrier by Listeria monocytogenes: role of internalin interaction with trophoblast E-cadherin. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *101*, 6152–6157.

Lecuit, M., Vandormael-Pournin, S., Lefort, J., Huerre, M., Gounon, P., Dupuy, C., Babinet, C., and Cossart, P. (2001). A transgenic model for listeriosis: role of internalin in crossing the intestinal barrier. Science 292, 1722–1725.

Lecuit, M., Hurme, R., Pizarro-Cerdá, J., Ohayon, H., Geiger, B., and Cossart, P. (2000). A role for alpha-and beta-catenins in bacterial uptake. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *97*, 10008–10013.

Lee, S.W., Higashi, D.L., Snyder, A., Merz, A.J., Potter, L., and So, M. (2005). PiIT is required for PI(3,4,5)P3-mediated crosstalk between Neisseria gonorrhoeae and epithelial cells. Cell. Microbiol. 7, 1271–1284.

Li, H., and Walker, D.H. (1998). rOmpA is a critical protein for the adhesion of Rickettsia rickettsii to host cells. Microb. Pathog. 24, 289–298.

Li, N., Xiang, G.S., Dokainish, H., Ireton, K., and Elferink, L.A. (2005). The Listeria protein internalin B mimics hepatocyte growth factorinduced receptor trafficking. Traffic 6, 459–473.

Mahdavi, J., Sonden, B., Hurtig, M., Olfat, F.O., Forsberg, L., Roche, N., Angstrom, J., Larsson, T., Teneberg, S., Karlsson, K.A., et al., (2002). Helicobacter pylori SabA adhesin in persistent infection and chronic inflammation. Science *297*, 573–578.

Maier, B., Koomey, M., and Sheetz, M.P. (2004). A force-dependent switch reverses type IV pilus retraction. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *101*, 10961–10966.

Marlovits, T.C., Kubori, T., Sukhan, A., Thomas, D.R., Galan, J.E., and Unger, V.M. (2004). Structural insights into the assembly of the type III secretion needle complex. Science *306*, 1040–1042.

Martinez, J.J., and Cossart, P. (2004). Early signaling events involved in the entry of Rickettsia conorii into mammalian cells. J. Cell Sci. *117*, 5097–5106.

Martinez, J.J., and Hultgren, S.J. (2002). Requirement of Rho-family GTPases in the invasion of Type 1-piliated uropathogenic Escherichia coli. Cell. Microbiol. *4*, 19–28.

Martinez, J.J., Seveau, S., Veiga, E., Matsuyama, S., and Cossart, P. (2005). Identification of KU70, a component of the DNA-dependent protein kinase, as a receptor involved in *Rickettsia conorii* invasion of mammalian cells. Cell *123*, 1013–1023.

McGhie, E.J., Hayward, R.D., and Koronakis, V. (2004). Control of actin turnover by a salmonella invasion protein. Mol. Cell *13*, 497–510.

Monferran, S., Paupert, J., Dauvillier, S., Salles, B., and Muller, C. (2004). The membrane form of the DNA repair protein Ku interacts at the cell surface with metalloproteinase 9. EMBO J. 23, 3758–3768.

Mora, M., Bensi, G., Capo, S., Falugi, F., Zingaretti, C., Manetti, A.G., Maggi, T., Taddei, A.R., Grandi, G., and Telford, J.L. (2005). Group A Streptococcus produce pilus-like structures containing protective antigens and Lancefield T antigens. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *102*, 15641–15646.

Muenzner, P., Rohde, M., Kneitz, S., and Hauck, C.R. (2005). CEACAM engagement by human pathogens enhances cell adhesion and counteracts bacteria-induced detachment of epithelial cells. J. Cell Biol. *170*, 825–836.

Niebuhr, K., Giuriato, S., Pedron, T., Philpott, D.J., Gaits, F., Sable, J., Sheetz, M.P., Parsot, C., Sansonetti, P.J., and Payrastre, B. (2002). Conversion of PtdIns(4,5)P(2) into PtdIns(5)P by the S.flexneri effector IpgD reorganizes host cell morphology. EMBO J. *21*, 5069–5078.

Nummelin, H., Merckel, M.C., Leo, J.C., Lankinen, H., Skurnik, M., and Goldman, A. (2004). The Yersinia adhesin YadA collagen-binding domain structure is a novel left-handed parallel beta-roll. EMBO J. *23*, 701–711.

Oh, J.D., Karam, S.M., and Gordon, J.I. (2005). Intracellular Helicobacter pylori in gastric epithelial progenitors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *102*, 5186–5191.

Ozeri, V., Rosenshine, I., Ben-Ze'Ev, A., Bokoch, G.M., Jou, T.S., and Hanski, E. (2001). De novo formation of focal complex-like structures in host cells by invading Streptococci. Mol. Microbiol. *41*, 561–573.

Parsot, C. (2005). Shigella spp., and enteroinvasive Escherichia coli pathogenicity factors. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. *252*, 11–18.

Phillips, N., Hayward, R.D., and Koronakis, V. (2004). Phosphorylation of the enteropathogenic E. coli receptor by the Src-family kinase c-Fyn triggers actin pedestal formation. Nat. Cell Biol. 6, 618–625.

Pizarro-Cerdá, J., and Cossart, P. (2004). Subversion of phosphoinositide metabolism by intracellular bacterial pathogens. Nat. Cell Biol. *6*, 1026–1033.

Plancon, L., Du Merle, L., Le Friec, S., Gounon, P., Jouve, M., Guignot, J., Servin, A., and Le Bouguenec, C. (2003). Recognition of the cellular beta1-chain integrin by the bacterial AfaD invasin is implicated in the internalization of afa-expressing pathogenic Escherichia coli strains. Cell. Microbiol. *5*, 681–693.

Ramboarina, S., Fernandes, P.J., Daniell, S., Islam, S., Simpson, P., Frankel, G., Booy, F., Donnenberg, M.S., and Matthews, S. (2005). Structure of the bundle-forming pilus from enteropathogenic Escherichia coli. J. Biol. Chem. *280*, 40252–40260.

Sauer, F.G., Barnhart, M., Choudhury, D., Knight, S.D., Waksman, G., and Hultgren, S.J. (2000). Chaperone-assisted pilus assembly and bacterial attachment. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. *10*, 548–556.

Schlumberger, M.C., Muller, A.J., Ehrbar, K., Winnen, B., Duss, I., Stecher, B., and Hardt, W.D. (2005). Real-time imaging of type III secretion: Salmonella SipA injection into host cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *102*, 12548–12553.

Schwarz-Linek, U., Werner, J.M., Pickford, A.R., Gurusiddappa, S., Kim, J.H., Pilka, E.S., Briggs, J.A., Gough, T.S., Hook, M., Campbell, I.D., and Potts, J.R. (2003). Pathogenic bacteria attach to human fibronectin through a tandem beta-zipper. Nature *423*, 177–181.

Servin, A.L. (2005). Pathogenesis of Afa/Dr diffusely adhering Escherichia coli. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 18, 264–292.

Seveau, S., Bierne, H., Giroux, S., Prevost, M.C., and Cossart, P. (2004). Role of lipid rafts in E-cadherin–and HGF-R/Met–mediated entry of Listeria monocytogenes into host cells. J. Cell Biol. *166*, 743–753.

Shen, Y., Naujokas, M., Park, M., and Ireton, K. (2000). InIB-dependent internalization of Listeria is mediated by the Met receptor tyrosine kinase. Cell *103*, 501–510.

Shin, J.S., Gao, Z., and Abraham, S.N. (2000). Involvement of cellular caveolae in bacterial entry into mast cells. Science 289, 785–788.

Sousa, S., Cabanes, D., Archambaud, C., Colland, F., Lemichez, E., Popoff, M., Boisson-Dupuis, S., Gouin, E., Lecuit, M., Legrain, P., and Cossart, P. (2005). ARHGAP10 is necessary for alpha-catenin recruitment at adherens junctions and for Listeria invasion. Nat. Cell Biol. 7, 954–960.

Sousa, S., Cabanes, D., El-Amraoui, A., Petit, C., Lecuit, M., and Cossart, P. (2004). Unconventional myosin VIIa and vezatin, two proteins crucial for Listeria entry into epithelial cells. J. Cell Sci. *117*, 2121–2130.

Suh, J.Y., Spyracopoulos, L., Keizer, D.W., Irvin, R.T., and Sykes, B.D. (2001). Backbone dynamics of receptor binding and antigenic regions of a Pseudomonas aeruginosa pilin monomer. Biochemistry *40*, 3985–3995.

Swimm, A., Bommarius, B., Li, Y., Cheng, D., Reeves, P., Sherman, M., Veach, D., Bornmann, W., and Kalman, D. (2004). Enteropathogenic Escherichia coli use redundant tyrosine kinases to form actin pedestals. Mol. Biol. Cell *15*, 3520–3529.

Thanassi, D.G., Saulino, E.T., and Hultgren, S.J. (1998). The chaperone/usher pathway: a major terminal branch of the general secretory pathway. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. *1*, 223–231.

Tobe, T., and Sasakawa, C. (2002). Species-specific cell adhesion of enteropathogenic Escherichia coli is mediated by type IV bundle-forming pili. Cell. Microbiol. *4*, 29–42.

Ton-That, H., and Schneewind, O. (2004). Assembly of pili in Grampositive bacteria. Trends Microbiol. *12*, 228–234.

Uchiyama, T. (2003). Adherence to and invasion of Vero cells by recombinant Escherichia coli expressing the outer membrane protein rOmpB of Rickettsia japonica. Ann. N Y Acad. Sci. *990*, 585–590.

van Putten, J.P., Duensing, T.D., and Cole, R.L. (1998). Entry of OpaA+ gonococci into HEp-2 cells requires concerted action of glycosaminoglycans, fibronectin and integrin receptors. Mol. Microbiol. *29*, 369– 379.

Veiga, E., and Cossart, P. (2005). Listeria hijacks the clathrin-dependent endocytic machinery to invade mammalian cells. Nat. Cell Biol. 7, 894–900.

Veiga, E., Sugawara, E., Nikaido, H., de Lorenzo, V., and Fernandez, L.A. (2002). Export of autotransported proteins proceeds through an oligomeric ring shaped by C-terminal domains. EMBO J. *21*, 2122–2131.

West, N.P., Sansonetti, P., Mounier, J., Exley, R.M., Parsot, C., Guadagnini, S., Prevost, M.C., Prochnicka-Chalufour, A., Delepierre, M., Tanguy, M., and Tang, C.M. (2005). Optimization of virulence functions through glucosylation of Shigella LPS. Science *307*, 1313–1317.

Winther-Larsen, H.C., Hegge, F.T., Wolfgang, M., Hayes, S.F., van Putten, J.P., and Koomey, M. (2001). Neisseria gonorrhoeae PilV, a type IV pilus-associated protein essential to human epithelial cell adherence. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *98*, 15276–15281.

Wolfgang, M., Park, H.S., Hayes, S.F., van Putten, J.P., and Koomey, M. (1998). Suppression of an absolute defect in type IV pilus biogenesis by loss-of-function mutations in piIT, a twitching motility gene in Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *95*, 14973–14978.

Wolfgang, M., van Putten, J.P., Hayes, S.F., Dorward, D., and Koomey, M. (2000). Components and dynamics of fiber formation define a ubiquitous biogenesis pathway for bacterial pili. EMBO J. *19*, 6408–6418.

Wong, K.W., and Isberg, R.R. (2003). Arf6 and phosphoinositol-4-phosphate-5-kinase activities permit bypass of the Rac1 requirement for beta1 integrin-mediated bacterial uptake. J. Exp. Med. 198, 603–614.

Yoshida, S., Katayama, E., Kuwae, A., Mimuro, H., Suzuki, T., and Sasakawa, C. (2002). Shigella deliver an effector protein to trigger host microtubule destabilization, which promotes Rac1 activity and efficient bacterial internalization. EMBO J. *21*, 2923–2935.

Zhou, D., Chen, L.M., Hernandez, L., Shears, S.B., and Galan, J.E. (2001a). A Salmonella inositol polyphosphatase acts in conjunction with other bacterial effectors to promote host cell actin cytoskeleton rearrangements and bacterial internalization. Mol. Microbiol. *39*, 248–259.

Zhou, G., Mo, W.J., Sebbel, P., Min, G., Neubert, T.A., Glockshuber, R., Wu, X.R., Sun, T.T., and Kong, X.P. (2001b). Uroplakin Ia is the urothelial receptor for uropathogenic Escherichia coli: evidence from in vitro FimH binding. J. Cell Sci. *114*, 4095–4103.