CHAPTER 11 MORAL HAZARD

Moral Hazard

Agent takes an action that affects his payoff as well as the principal's

Effort

- Principal only observes outcome, an imperfect indicator of the action
- Agent may choose an inefficient action
- Principal's problem is to find a contract that induces high effort
- □ In insurance markets (e.g. car insurance)
 - Insurance company is the Principal
 - Insured individual is the Agent
 - Action effort in avoiding accidents or thefts
 - MH : Ability of insured individuals to affect the probabilities of events

What is moral hazard?

- The term "moral hazard" was used for the first time in the context of health insurance by Arrow (1963) to characterize the fact that the insured use more healthcare to treat a given illness than the uninsured
- "It is frequently observed that widespread medical insurance increases the demand for medical care."

Bhattacharya, Hyde and Tu – Health Economics

Moral hazard with health insurance

- Insured people take risks with their health that similar uninsured people would not take, and demand more expensive treatment from their doctors when they get sick.
- Moral hazard is the downside of health insurance because it raises society's level of health care expenditures.

Moral Hazard in health Insurance

- Insurance coverage, by lowering the out-of pocket price of care paid by the individual, may increase healthcare use
 - Ex ante MH or hidden action: individuals excert less (unobserved) effort in maintaining their health (less exercise, more cheesburger, smoke ..)*
 - Ex post MH (hidden information about health status): healthcare utilization increases with coverage (usual relationship between price and demand)
- If so, society healthcare spending increases with coverage
- Is there empirical evidence of MH in health insurance?
 - The answer is important for the design of insurance contracts and for public health policy
- What solutions?
 - Market
 - Public policy

Bhattacharya, Hyde and Tu – Health Economics

Ex ante vs. Ex post

- Ex ante moral hazard: behavior changes that occur before an insured event happens and make that event more likely.
 - leaving the stove on
 - skipping the flu vaccine
- Ex post moral hazard: behavior changes that occur after an insured event happens and make recovering from that event more expensive.
 - using expensive drugs instead of generics
 - knee replacement surgery instead of painkillers

The moral hazard pattern

- An individual faces some risk of a bad event X, and his actions can increase or decrease its likelihood
- He holds an insurance contract that will help pay some or all of the costs of *X*, if it occurs. Thus his price of X is now lower.
- In response to the price distortion, he changes his behavior in a way that increases the chance of X or increases the costs of recovering from X.
- The insurance company cannot observe this behavior change there is an *information asymmetry*. Otherwise the contract would have been written to discourage the riskier behavior.
- The individual's riskier behavior creates a social loss, because the costly event X occurs more than it would have without insurance.

Bhattacharya, Hyde and Tu - Health Economics

How does moral hazard lead to social loss?

- Consider an individual who loves cheeseburgers but is at risk for a heart attack.
 - Without health insurance: his cost for each cheeseburger includes both the price of the burger and the increased chance of a heart attack
 - With health insurance: the cost of each cheeseburger declines, since the insurer picks up the costs of heart attack care.
 - In this case, social loss takes the form of extra money, labor, time, and effort that others expend on caring for heart attacks caused by cheeseburger overconsumption

Social loss caused by moral hazard

- With insurance, the effective price per cheeseburger falls from P_U to P_µ, and his consumption spikes from Q_U to Q_µ.
- Point A is the socially efficient equilibrium, while Point B is the outcome with insurance.
- Extra cheeseburgers consumed between points Q_U and Q_I result in more costs than they are worth.



Bhattacharya, Hyde and Tu – Health Economics

Social loss caused by moral hazard

- The vertical distance between *P*_U and *P*_I shows the extent of price distortion.
 - This distance helps determine the social loss from moral hazard.
- The angle between the demand curve DC and the vertical represents the extent of price sensitivity.
 - The larger this angle is, the more responsive behavior is to price distortions and the larger the social loss from moral hazard.



Bhattacharya, Hyde and Tu – Health Economics

Varying price distortion and price sensitivity



In Figure A, price distortion and price sensitivity are both quite high. Insured individuals bear little of the cost of their heart attack treatments, and as they are quite price-sensitive they respond with more frequent trips to the local burger joint. This results in a large social loss.

Bhattacharya, Hyde and Tu – Health Economics

Varying price distortion and price sensitivity



In Figure B, price distortion and price sensitivity are minimal. Insured individuals bear most of the cost of their cheeseburgers, and their demand for burgers is not very sensitive to prices anyway. In this case, there is still moral hazard but it produces a much smaller social loss.

What determines the level of price distortion and price sensitivity?

- The extent of price distortion is a function of the completeness of the insurance.
 - The fuller the insurance, the greater the price distortion
- The extent of price sensitivity depends mostly on the nature of the risk being insured, and how controllable it is
 - Consider genetic disease vs heart attacks

Bhattacharya, Hyde and Tu – Health Economics

Moral hazard & asymmetric information

- There is price distortion in insurance markets because insurance companies cannot monitor everything patients do and price their actions accordingly.
 - For example, it would be impractical for an insurance company to count how many cheeseburgers its customers eat
 - Similarly, insurers cannot tell if a patient really needed to see his doctor ten times in the last month

Moral hazard occurs if and only if three conditions hold:

- Price distortion: the cost of a risky action to an individual is reduced, usually as a consequence of insurance.
- 2. **Asymmetric information** prevents an insurer from adequately pricing the action.
- Behavior response: the individual responds to the price distortion by changing his behavior—either by taking more risks or demanding more covered goods and services.

Bhattacharya, Hyde and Tu – Health Economics

HOW TO LIMIT MORAL HAZARD

How do health insurers limit moral hazard?

- The extent of moral hazard depends on both how sensitive demand is to price and the amount of price distortion caused by insurance.
- Insurers cannot alter customers' price sensitivity (which is a property of their demand functions), but they do have ways to reduce the price distortion due to insurance



Bhattacharya, Hyde and Tu – Health Economics

Cost-sharing: coinsurance and copayment

- Coinsurance and copayment are two insurance contract provisions that maintain positive marginal costs for the insured. These plans effectively limit insurance coverage so they are no longer full.
 - Coinsurance: insurance provision in which enrollees pay a percentage of each medical bill, and the insurer covers the remaining portion.
 - Copayment: insurance provision in which enrollees pay only a fixed amount, called a copay

Cost-sharing: coinsurance and copayment



Cost-sharing: coinsurance and copayment



- Imagine the consumer starts at 0% coinsurance (full insurance from the previous slide).
 - His insurer then increases the level of coinsurance.
 - As coinsurance rises, out-of-pocket prices move closer to actual prices, and the demand curve rotates back toward the uninsured demand curve D_U.
 - At the extreme, coinsurance of 100% is equivalent to no insurance.

Cost-sharing: coinsurance and copayment



Bhattacharya, Hyde and Tu – Health Economics

Deductibles

- In addition to coinsurance and copayment, many insurers also include deductibles as part of their offered plans.
- Deductibles set minimal levels of expenses below which the insurer does not help reimburse medical expenses.
 - Example: A person insured with a deductible of \$1,000 pays for his first thousand dollars of health care expenditures out-of-pocket. His insurance policy then helps pay for expenses beyond the thousandth dollar.

Deductibles

 This figure shows the relationship between out-ofpocket expenses and total medical expenditures for a 33% coinsurance policy with a deductible of Ω and full insurance for catastrophic care above Ψ



Deductibles

 Requiring insurance enrollees to pay a deductible can limit or eliminate the moral hazard from insurance. However, if the deductible is low enough, moral hazard may still persist.



Monitoring

- Some insurance companies try to observe and guide the preventative measures their customers take, while others choose to supervise the medical care that customers receive.
 - Motivation
 - Employee incentive programs with payouts if you:
 - see a nutritionist
 - do yoga once a week
 - get a fitness test
 - Gatekeeping
 - primary care physicians

Bhattacharya, Hyde and Tu – Health Economics

EVIDENCE OF MORAL HAZARD IN HEALTH INSURANCE

Is there Moral Hazard in Health Insurance?

Health insurance, by design, lowers the price individuals pay for medical care. So it seems obvious that YES, there is MH

BUT

- Healthcare is not like other goods
 - Demand is determined by «needs», not (only) price, i.e. demand is inelastic
 - Demand for healthcare may actually be upward sloping: preventive care improves health and may reduce healthcare utilization
 - By reducing visits to ER, coverage of the (previously) uninsured, may reduce healthcare expenditures

Bhattacharya, Hyde and Tu – Health Economics

Empirical evidence of moral hazard

- Moral hazard is quite difficult to study empirically.
 - Researchers suffer from the same information asymmetry that prevents insurers from eliminating moral hazard: behavior changes are very hard to observe.
 - But a few careful studies do find credible evidence of moral hazard.

Ex ante moral hazard

- RAND HIE: people on the free plan more likely to show up at the hospital with broken bones or drug abuse
- Ghana: insured households less likely to use mosquito nets, key for preventing malaria
- Seguro Popular: low-income Mexicans assigned to receive free insurance were less likely to get a flu shot and cancer screenings

Bhattacharya, Hyde and Tu – Health Economics

Ex ante moral hazard

		-	
	Annual visits per 10,000 enrollees		
Diagnosis	Free plan	Cost-sharing plans	Ratio of free to cost-sharing
Fracture/dislocation	168	134	125%
Misc. serious trauma	67	57	118%
Acute alcohol/drug related	27	20	135%

Table 11.1. Evidence of ex ante moral hazard from the RAND HIE.

Source: Data from Table 5.3 in Newhouse (1993). With permission from RAND.

Ex post moral hazard

- Stanford employees: after a 1967 change that required a new 25% copay, visits to the doctor declined by 24%
- RAND HIE: those on the free plan more likely to visit hospital
- Germany: introducing deductibles leads to greatly decreased health expenditures
- Canada: people with prescription drug coverage visit doctor more often

Bhattacharya, Hyde and Tu – Health Economics

THE TRADEOFF BETWEEN MORAL HAZARD AND RISK REDUCTION

Moral hazard and risk reducation

- Q: Given the social loss associated with moral hazard, should insurance policies that create moral hazard be prohibited?
 - Example: Pollution is generally regarded as an externality that needs to be taxed or otherwise regulated. Should the provision of insurance be similarly taxed or even banned?
- A: No, because insurance itself provides positive welfare gains that may offset the harm from moral hazard.
 - Asymmetric information creates a tradeoff between more insurance coverage, which generates more moral hazard, and less insurance coverage, which increases risk exposure.

Bhattacharya, Hyde and Tu – Health Economics

The relationship between insurance coverage and probability of sickness *without* moral hazard



- In this case, a person's probability of sickness p does not depend on the fullness of insurance coverage.
- Risk-averse individuals prefer lower probabilities of sickness and fuller insurance, so utility is increasing toward the graph's lower-right corner.
- Fuller insurance increases consumer utility—and full insurance F maximizes it.

But in the world of moral hazard, a person's probability of sickness *p* increases with the fullness of his insurance.



As discussed, insurance coverage may induce recklessness, as people take less care or adopt new dangerous hobbies.

Bhattacharya, Hyde and Tu - Health Economics

Insurance providers react by raising premiums for fuller coverage.



- Since, in a competitive market, premiums are a function of the probability of sickness, we can relabel the *y*-axis in the previous graph the "premium per unit of coverage".
 - The upward-sloping curve represents the set of contracts that an insurer may offer to a person, who, without insurance, would have probability p_0 of sickness.

With moral hazard, insurance contract *F* is no longer feasible.



- Instead, the new optimal contract in a world with moral hazard is at A.
- However, compared to contract F, contract A charges higher per unit premiums and offers a lower quantity of insurance.
- Contract A falls on a lower indifference curve than contract *F*, and the drop in utility *I*₃ - *I*₁ is the social loss when comparing a world without moral hazard to one with moral hazard.

Bhattacharya, Hyde and Tu – Health Economics

THE UPSIDE OF MORAL HAZARD?

The upside of moral hazard?

- Extra preventative care
 - Evidence from RAND HIE, Oregon Medicaid Experiment, and elsewhere
 - This is a beneficial effect if and only if people consume less preventative care than they "should"
- The income effect
 - Insurance makes people "richer" by making expensive surgeries or treatments affordable when they may have been unaffordable without insurance
 - Does this mean moral hazard can be efficient?

Bhattacharya, Hyde and Tu – Health Economics

Conclusion

- Understanding moral hazard is critical to understanding how health insurance works.
 Every insurance scheme must cope with this problem.
- Insurance plans in real markets are always necessarily incomplete because of moral hazard.
- The adoption of new technologies by providers poses a particular challenge, since untried and expensive technologies create more opportunities for moral hazard to increase health care expenditures.