Adenovirus: The First Effective In Vivo Gene Delivery Vector

Ronald G. Crystal

Introduction

THE ADENOVIRUS, AN 80–100 NM, nonenveloped, icosa-L hedral capsid, double-stranded DNA virus, is a wellknown cause of respiratory tract infections (Ginsberg, 1984). Adenovirus infection in humans usually is mild, but in immunocompromised individuals, it can be life threatening. The adenovirus was first isolated from human adenoids in 1953. There are over 50 known serotypes (Wilson, 1996; Hackett and Crystal, 2008). In the late 1980s, when we began to think about strategies of transferring genes in vivo, the adenovirus was known to be trophic for the respiratory epithelium. The virus had been sequenced in its entirety, many human serotypes were known, and the detailed biology of how the virus replicated and assembled was well described (Ginsberg, 1984; Russell, 2009). The stage was set, partly by serendipity, to adapt the adenovirus to be an effective means of transferring genes in vivo.

History

In 2014, the concept of using a modified virus to transfer genes *in vivo* is standard practice, widely used throughout the biomedical research community. In the late 1980s, however, when laboratories such as ours started thinking about delivering genes *in vivo*, the concept was new, and the challenge daunting.

We began strategizing how to effectively transfer genes *in vivo* as a follow-up to our development of a therapy for $\alpha 1$ antitrypsin (AAT) deficiency, an autosomal recessive disorder associated with a serum deficiency of AAT and development of emphysema (Crystal, 1990). After almost a decade of work, we had developed augmentation, using weekly intravenous infusions of human plasma-purified AAT to treat the deficiency state (Gadek et al., 1980; Wewers et al., 1987). After that therapy was approved by the FDA, we began thinking about strategies in which we could use gene therapy to produce endogenous AAT, circumventing the need for weekly infusions with the purified protein. At that time, the most effective gene transfer method into primary cells was with a Moloney murine leukemia-based retrovirus. We used a retrovirus construct from E. Gilboa of Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center to transfer the normal human AAT cDNA to mouse fibroblasts (Garver et al., 1987). Although we were able to generate glycosylated, physiologically "normal" human AAT by the fibroblasts, the amounts produced were far below that needed to treat AAT deficiency, thus obviating an *ex vivo* cell-based strategy to effectively treat the deficiency state. This observation led us to the concept that it would be a lot more efficient if we could use a virus to transfer the human AAT gene directly to the lung (the site of disease) or liver (the normal site of AAT production) *in vivo*.

Early In Vivo Studies

In 1989, I received a call from Paul Tolstoshev, a former postdoctoral fellow who was the scientific director of the Strasbourg-based biotech company, Transgene. He told me of a collaboration they had initiated with Michel Perricaudet, an adenovirus virologist at Institute Gustave-Roussy in France, to develop a replication-deficient adenovirus as a gene delivery strategy. As a pulmonary physician, I was well aware that the adenovirus was capable of infecting the lung epithelium and recognized that this might be an effective means to deliver genes directly to the lung. Michel graciously offered to train us in the use of adenoviruses, and I sent David Curiel (then a postdoctoral fellow in our laboratory) to Michel's laboratory to learn the technology so that we could transfer it to our laboratory. David did so, came back with the adenovirus components and the 293 cell line to produce the recombinant vectors, and taught Melissa Rosenfeld (another postdoctoral fellow; now M. Ashlock) how the adenovirus system worked. By deleting the E1 genes to prevent replication, and the E3 genes to make more room for the transgene, the common human serotype 5 adenovirus could be converted to a vector that had sufficient room for a promoter and transgene and was replication deficient (Fig. 1). We quickly established the system in our laboratory, and in one of those rare eureka moments in any scientist's career when you recognize that an observation in your laboratory may have significant implications, we observed that an E1⁻E3⁻ adenovirus coding for β -galactosidase was strikingly effective in transferring genes in vivo (Fig. 2). This quickly led to a publication in *Science*, representing the first demonstration of efficient in vivo transfer of a gene to experimental animals with high levels of organ-specific expression. Soon afterward, we demonstrated that an adenovirus vector could be used to effectively transfer and express the normal human AAT cDNA to the liver, the natural site of AAT expression (Jaffe et al., 1992).

Department of Genetic Medicine, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY 10065.



FIG. 1. Schematic of a typical adenovirus gene transfer vector genome. In an adenovirus vector, the early (E) genes in the E1 region are deleted (to prevent replication) as is the E3 region (to make more room for the expression cassette). The inverted terminal repeats (ITR), packaging signal (ψ), and the late (L) genes remain in the vector. The deletions allow for an expression cassette of up to 7–8 kb. A typical expression cassette, including a promoter, the transgene, and stop/polyA sequences, is inserted into the deleted El region. The construct is typically packaged in 293 cells, a cell line that expresses the human adenovirus E1 region, thus providing the components necessary for replication. The vector enters cells through the fiber interacting with the coxsackie-adenovirus (CAR) receptor and secondary integrin (e.g., $\alpha_V \beta_{3-5}$) receptors.



Adenovirus-Mediated Transfer of a Recombinant αl-Antitrypsin Gene to the Lung Epithelium in Vivo

MELISSA A. ROSENFELD, WOLFGANG SIEGFRIED, KUNIHIKO YOSHIMURA, KOICHI YONEYAMA, MASASHI FUKAYAMA, LARUE E. STIER, PAAVO K. PÄÄKKÖ, PASCALE GILARDI, LESLIE D. STRATFORD-PERRICAUDET, MICHEL PERRICAUDET, SOPHIE JAILAT, ANDREA PAVIRANI, JEAN-PIERRE LECOCQ, RONALD G. CRYSTAL* 19 APRIL 1991 SCIENCE, VOL. 252

FIG. 2. The first example of effective *in vivo* gene transfer using an adenovirus vector. *Top:* Examples from a notebook in 1991 from the Crystal laboratory, Pulmonary Branch, the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, of a lung of a cotton rat that had received intratracheal E1⁻E3⁻ adenovirus coding for β-galactosidase under control of an RSV promoter 7 days earlier. Shown is a control and with AdRSVβgal vector. There is extensive β-galactosidase expression throughout the lung. *Bottom:* The publication in *Science* was the first article describing effective *in vivo* gene transfer with a recombinant replication-deficient adenovirus (Rosenfeld *et al.*, 1991). Around the same time that we were developing the *in vivo* gene transfer methods to the lung using an $E1^-E3^-$ serotype 5 adenovirus, the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (*CFTR*) gene was identified and characterized (Kerem *et al.*, 1989; Riordan *et al.*, 1989; Rommens *et al.*, 1989). Recognizing that adenoviruses were trophic for the human airway epithelium, we realized that our *in vivo* gene transfer strategy would be ideal to transfer the human *CFTR* cDNA to the airway epithelium to treat cystic fibrosis. We quickly constructed an $E1^-E3^-$ serotype 5 adenovirus gene transfer vector with an expression cassette that included the normal human *CFTR* cDNA under control of a constitutive promoter. This led to a publication in *Cell* documenting effective gene transfer and expression of *CFTR* to the airway epithelium *in vivo* (Fig. 3) (Rosenfeld *et al.*, 1992).

The First Human Studies

With the successful demonstration of effective adenovirusdirected expression of the normal human *CFTR* cDNA in the airway epithelium of experimental animals, our laboratory in the intramural program at the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, and laboratories led by Jim Wilson (initially at Michigan and then at University of Pennsylvania) and Mike Welsh at Iowa and his colleagues at Genzyme began to seriously consider using adenovirus vectors *in vivo* to treat the pulmonary manifestations of cystic fibrosis in humans. In a



FIG. 3. The publication in *Cell* demonstrating effective *in vivo* transfer of the human cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (*CFTR*) cDNA to the epithelium of cotton rats. The expression of the human CFTR protein in the airway epithelium was detected by an antibody 2 weeks after intratracheal administration of an $E1^-E3^-$ serotype adenovirus vector expressing the human *CFTR* cDNA (Rosenfeld *et al.*, 1992).

historic (for the gene therapy field) meeting at the NIH DNA Recombinant Advisory Committee meeting on December 4, 1992, with over 200 scientists, media, venture capitalists, and representatives from pharma in the audience, all three groups had protocols approved. One of the concerns discussed was the theoretical risk for *in vivo* recombination of the E1⁻E3⁻ adenovirus with remnants of endogenous viral sequences, generating a recombinant lethal virus that would infect the community. As a precaution, we constructed two negative pressure rooms in the NIH Clinical Center to contain any recombinant viruses that might be generated. We were lucky enough to win the race to be the first to use a recombinant virus for *in vivo* gene therapy in a human. On April 16, 1993, a 23-year-old man with cystic fibrosis homozygous for the Δ F508 mutation of the CFTR gene was the first human to undergo in vivo gene therapy with administration of an E1⁻E3⁻ recombinant adenovirus vector coding for the normal human *CFTR* cDNA to the nasal epithelium. One day later, using a fiberoptic bronchoscope, we administered 2×10^8 plaque-forming units of the same vector to the airway epithelium, an event that got worldwide media attention (Fig. 4; Supplementary Fig. S1; Supplementary Data are available online at www.liebertpub.com/hum). The Wilson and Welsh/Genzyme groups initiated their clinical studies soon afterward, with all three groups publishing their results within the next few years (Zabner et al., 1993; Crystal et al., 1994; Zuckerman et al., 1999).

Limits Secondary to Antiadenovirus Immunity

transfer is by adenovirus (Yang et al., 1994; Worgall et al., 1997; Hackett and Crystal, 2008; Hartman et al., 2008; Nemerow, 2009; Zaiss et al., 2009; Shayakhmetov, 2010; Thaci et al., 2011). This was demonstrated by many laboratories in experimental animals (Yang et al., 1996; Suzuki et al., 1998; Hartman et al., 2008), and strikingly demonstrated to us in our subsequent human study of repetitive airway epithelial gene therapy for cystic fibrosis (Harvey et al., 1999). This study demonstrated that we could achieve therapeutic levels of *CFTR* mRNA in the airway epithelium of patients with cystic fibrosis with the first administration, but this would quickly wane, and subsequent administrations were limited by immunity against the vector, with reduced yield of expression with each repeat of administration (Fig. 5). This combined innate, humoral, and cellular immunity evoked by the adenovirus vectors was eventually recognized to be responsible for the short, 1-2-week expression of adenovirus vectors administered in vivo to immunocompetent experimental animals and humans and was responsible for dose-limiting adverse

adenovirus vectors were effective at transferring genes to

most organs in vivo (for a list of our adenovirus vector studies

from 1991 to present, see the Supplementary Material). In our

initial enthusiasm, however, we and others did not recognize

an important biologic fact that the human adenovirus is

highly immunogenic, and that this immunogenicity would

limit the time of expression, despite how highly efficient gene

Circumventing Antiadenovirus Immunity

After our initial *Science* article, our laboratory, along with many others, carried out a number of studies showing that The initial approach by us and others to limit the immunity evoked by adenovirus vectors was to either remove

events (Yang et al., 1994, 1996; Jooss and Chirmule, 2003).

FIG. 4. The first human gene therapy with a recombinant virus. On April 16, 1993, at the Clinical Center, NIH, a 23-year-old man with cystic fibrosis received an adenovirus coding for the normal human CFTR cDNA to the nasal epithelium. On the next day, the patient underwent fiberoptic bronchoscopy and 2×10^8 plaque-form-ing units of the vector was delivered through a catheter to the bronchial epithelium. The airways can be seen on the monitor. In the photo, left to right: staff nurse delivering the vector via a syringe, G. McElvaney, R. Crystal, staff nurse, and J. Hay. Successful gene transfer to the airway epithelium was demonstrated by antihuman CFTR antibody detection of CFTR before (*left*) and 4 days after (*right*) vector administration. The CFTR protein is stained red (Rosenfeld et al., 1992).





FIG. 5. Quantitative assessment of the airway epithelium for the percentage of exogenous CFTR mRNA derived from the adenovirus vector compared with endogenous CFTR mRNA (individual's own CFTR gene expression) as a function of dose and time (baseline, days 3 and 30) after endobronchial spray of the first administration (cycle 1), second administration (cycle 2), and third administration (cycle 3) of the vector. The dashed line represents the target 5% level of exogenous vector-derived CFTR mRNA, that is, the level above which there should be sufficient levels of normal CFTR mRNA to correct the defect. Each symbol represents a different individual. Note that correction was achieved 3 days after the first administration (vector-derived mRNA levels all above the 5% level needed for correction), but this wanes by 30 days. Repeat administration (cycle 2) barely achieved this level, and the third administration resulted in no vector-derived CFTR mRNA expression. Adapted from Harvey et al. (1999).

the responsible adenovirus genes from the vectors (such as the E2 and E4 genes and others) or add immune-suppressing genes to be expressed by the adenovirus vector (Gao et al., 1996; Raper et al., 1998; Weitzman, 2005; Bangari and Mittal, 2006; Dharmapuri et al., 2009; Seregin and Amalfitano, 2009). However, despite the elegant design of the molecular strategies to achieve this, these attempts to limit antivector immunity proved fruitless: the adenovirus is too immunogenic in humans, and the immune system too subtle in its ability to recognize adenovirus epitopes (Hackett et al., 2000; Weitzman, 2005; Yang et al., 2007; Dharmapuri et al., 2009; Sack and Herzog, 2009; Thacker et al., 2009; Ahi et al., 2011; Thaci et al., 2011). The only glimmer of hope in creating a "stealth" adenovirus vector has been the development of helper-dependent, so-called "gutless" adenovirus vectors, where all of the adenovirus genes are removed, with the necessary genes to create the vector provided by the producer cells (Kochanek et al., 2001; Kushwah et al., 2007; Segura et al., 2008; Dharmapuri et al., 2009; Thacker et al., 2009; Brunetti-Pierri and Ng, 2011; Vetrini and Ng, 2011). While the experimental animal studies with these vectors are encouraging, the effectiveness of "gutless" adenovirus vectors has not as yet been demonstrated in human trials.

An alternative approach to providing longer-term gene expression is based on the development of adenovirus vectors of different serotypes. While the first adenovirus studies were carried out with human serotype 5, and to a lesser extent human serotype 2, subsequent studies have led to identification of multiple adenovirus serotypes, both human and nonhuman (Dobbelstein, 2003; Bangari *et al.*, 2005; Stone and Lieber, 2006). This led to the concept of "seroswitch," that is, to circumvent the antiadenovirus immunity elicited by the initial administration of an adenovirus gene transfer vector by administering an adenovirus vector comprised of a different serotype carrying the same gene, a strategy that is effective in experimental animals (Mastrangeli *et al.*, 1996; Mack *et al.*, 1997). However, while seroswitch will provide another 1–2 weeks of expression, antiadenovirus immunity against the second serotype will obviate longer-term expression.

Capitalizing on Antiadenovirus Immunity

While the immunogenicity of the adenovirus as a gene transfer vector limits the length of time of expression to a few weeks, this can be leveraged for specific therapeutic applications. First, short-term expression is ideal for clinical applications in which the goal is to build new biologic structures.

A good example is the use of adenovirus to express angiogenic genes such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) in the myocardium to generate new coronary vasculature. In this application, the antivector immunity limits expression of the VEGF to 1-2 weeks, which is ideal for initiating angiogenesis and short enough to prevent excess blood vessels and hemangioma formation (Mack et al., 1998; Patel et al., 1999). Together with Todd Rosengart (then a Weill Cornell cardiothoracic surgeon and now Chairman of Surgery at Baylor), we carried out the first human studies with direct administration to the heart of individuals with diffuse coronary artery disease of an adenovirus vector coding for VEGF 121, one of the two isoforms of VEGF (Fig. 6) (Rosengart et al., 1999a,b, 2013). In a new-generation strategy for cardiac angiogenesis, we are initiating a double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial for cardiac angiogenesis with a serotype 5 adenovirus coding for all three isoforms of VEGF, a construct that is 10- to 100-fold more potent than any single isoform (Rosengart et al., 1999a, 2013; Crystal et al., 2012). Another good example of capitalizing on antivector immunity to limit gene expression was our demonstration that intradermal administration of an adenovirus coding for sonic hedgehog would provide a burst of sonic hedgehog expression to resting hair follicles, with the resulting induction of hair growth (Fig. 7) (Sato et al., 1999).

Second, short-term expression is also ideal for applications where the goal is to destroy cells, as is the goal of anticancer strategies. This approach has been used to deliver directly to tumors cytotoxic genes, suicide genes that convert prodrugs to cytotoxic drugs, and immune-related genes to attract and/or activate local antitumor immunity (Wu *et al.*, 2005; Tagawa *et al.*, 2008; Matthews *et al.*, 2009; Fukazawa *et al.*, 2010; Aguilar *et al.*, 2011; Aurisicchio and Ciliberto, 2012; Duarte *et al.*, 2012; Deisseroth *et al.*, 2013). Elegant molecular engineering strategies have been designed to target such vectors specifically to tumors (Kanerva *et al.*, 2002; Hedley *et al.*, 2006; Khare *et al.*, 2011; Beatty and Curiel, 2012; Hangalapura *et al.*, 2012; Kaufmann and Nettelbeck, 2012). Finally, with the recognition that

antiadenovirus immunity is vigorous, many groups have made it even more so by generating replication-competent adenoviruses as therapeutic strategies to treat cancer, that is, to enlist the antiadenovirus immunity in killing cancer cells (Short and Curiel, 2009; Eager and Nemunaitis, 2011; Alemany, 2012; Cerullo *et al.*, 2012; Russell *et al.*, 2012; Choi and Yun, 2013).

Third, we and others have leveraged the potent immunity against the adenovirus to create vaccines against the transgene (Krause and Worgall, 2011) or by inserting the antigen in the capsid (Lasaro and Ertl, 2009; Thacker et al., 2009; Matthews, 2011). We have also used this approach to develop vaccines against bioterrorism agents, such as anthrax and plague (Tan et al., 2003; Boyer et al., 2005; Hashimoto et al., 2005; Kasuya et al., 2005; Boyer and Crystal, 2006; Chiuchiolo et al., 2006; De et al., 2008; Sofer-Podesta et al., 2009; Boyer et al., 2010; Van Blarcom et al., 2010). In a variation of this approach, we have used adenovirus vectors to code for a monoclonal antibody against a pathogen, taking advantage of the rapid and robust adenovirus vectormediated gene expression (8-12 hr) to generate immunity against a pathogen in a pandemic (Kasuya et al., 2005; Chiuchiolo et al., 2006). In the most recent iteration of this concept, we have developed vaccines against addictive drugs (cocaine or nicotine) by covalently attaching an analog of the addictive molecule to the adenovirus capsid proteins, with effective generation of humoral immunity



FIG. 6. The first human trial of inducing cardiac angiogenesis with an adenovirus gene transfer vector. In 1997, we initiated a clinical trial to treat individuals with diffuse coronary artery disease with direct cardiac administration of an adenovirus coding for vascular endothelial growth factor (*VEGF*) 121 (Rosengart *et al.*, 1999a,b, 2013). Clockwise, left to right: T. Rosengart, R. Crystal, and K. Krieger.



FIG. 7. Induction of hair growth in a C57Bl/6 mouse 2 weeks after administration of an adenovirus vector coding for sonic hedgehog. To visualize hair growth, the hair of the mouse was bleached with blond hair dye to provide contrast for assessing new growth of the natural black hair of the mouse. The tuft of black hair is apparent (Sato *et al.*, 1999).

against the addictive molecule (Hicks *et al.*, 2011; Koob *et al.*, 2011; Wee *et al.*, 2012; De *et al.*, 2013).

Adenovirus Vectors in 2014

With thousands of articles published regarding adenovirus vectors, and hundreds of human trials with adenovirus vectors, what have we learned and what is the role of adenovirus vectors in the gene therapist's armamentarium?

First, there is no question that the adenovirus is the most effective means of delivering genes in vivo. Most human cells express the coxsackie-adenovirus primary adenovirus receptor as well as the secondary integrin receptors (Sharma et al., 2009; Smith et al., 2010; Chen and Lee, 2013; Wolfrum and Greber, 2013), and thus are easily infected with adenovirus vectors, with consequent high of the transgene expression. However, because of the immunity evoked against the adenovirus capsid and low-level expression of adenovirus genes, the effective expression for adenovirus vectors *in vivo* in humans peaks at 1 week and is limited to about 2 weeks. This makes adenovirus vectors ideal for when the desired expression is short-term, such as for building new biologic structures. Adenovirus vectors can also be used in therapies for cancer, where inducing immunity against the cancer or directly killing the cancer cell is the goal. Finally, the combined immunity against the adenovirus together with the short time of expression is ideal for using the adenovirus as a platform for developing vaccines.

Second, despite all of the efforts to circumvent antivector immunity, we have learned that mother nature is smarter than gene therapy adenovirologists, and we have not been able to effectively circumvent the antiadenovirus immunity by engineering stealth adenovirus vectors. While gutless adenovirus vectors may be the answer, it is likely that the potent adenovirus capsid immunogens will outsmart the most clever vectorology.

Third, despite the concern over safety of using adenovirus vectors in relation to secondary innate and adaptive immune responses to the vectors, there is now extensive experience with adenovirus vectors in many different clinical applications, and the dose and routes that are safe are now well established (Crystal *et al.*, 2002; Harvey *et al.*, 2002; Journal

of Gene Medicine, 2013). Worldwide, adenovirus vectors are the most common vector used in clinical trials: to date, there have been 476 human gene therapy studies that have been initiated using adenovirus vectors, representing 23.5% of all gene therapy trials (Journal of Gene Medicine, 2013).

Fourth, adenovirus vectors have been a fruitful laboratory for developing strategies to test whether modification of viral capsids can help to enhance therapeutic properties of the virus, such as organ (or cancer)-specific homing. These design strategies will continue, and will be helpful in limiting adverse events while allowing higher doses.

Finally, the conventional way to manufacture adenovirus vectors is in cell lines expressing the adenovirus *E1* genes with subsequent purification of the produced vectors. These production strategies will undoubtedly improve in both efficiency and purity of intact, functional vectors.

In summary, in the 23 years since our initial demonstration of the effectiveness of *in vivo* gene transfer using recombinant replication-deficient adenovirus vectors, together with adeno-associated viruses and lenti/retrovirus vectors. the adenovirus now represents one of the three major viral vector categories in the gene therapy tool kit. Through studies with adenovirus vectors, we have come to understand the importance of immunity, both preexisting and that evoked by the vector, in the efficacy and safety of viral vectors. Adenoviruses have been important tools to explore issues of tropism and regulatable expression and, importantly, opened the door to effective clinical gene therapy. For high-level, short-term expression or for purposefully evoking immunity, the adenovirus vectors stand alone as the choice for the gene therapist. Through some serendipity, luck at being at the right place at the right time, and collaboration with many talented postdoctoral fellows and collaborators throughout the world, I was able to play a part in the development of the adenovirus vector system and translation of its use to the clinic.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank my many postdoctoral fellows and collaborators at NIH, Weill Cornell, and throughout the world who contributed to the early development of the adenovirus as a gene transfer vector and the translation of the adenovirus technology to gene therapy to humans.

Author Disclosure Statement

No competing financial interests exist.

References

- Aguilar, L.K., Guzik, B.W., and Guilar-Cordova, E. (2011). Cytotoxic immunotherapy strategies for cancer: mechanisms and clinical development. J. Cell Biochem. 112, 1969–1977.
- Ahi, Y.S., Bangari, D.S., and Mittal, S.K. (2011). Adenoviral vector immunity: its implications and circumvention strategies. Curr. Gene Ther. 11, 307–320.
- Alemany, R. (2012). Chapter four—design of improved oncolytic adenoviruses. Adv. Cancer Res. 115, 93–114.
- Aurisicchio, L., and Ciliberto, G. (2012). Genetic cancer vaccines: current status and perspectives. Expert Opin. Biol. Ther. 12, 1043–1058.

- Bangari, D.S., and Mittal, S.K. (2006). Current strategies and future directions for eluding adenoviral vector immunity. Curr. Gene Ther. 6, 215–226.
- Bangari, D.S., Shukla, S., and Mittal, S.K. (2005). Comparative transduction efficiencies of human and nonhuman adenoviral vectors in human, murine, bovine, and porcine cells in culture. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 327, 960–966.
- Beatty, M.S., and Curiel, D.T. (2012). Chapter two—adenovirus strategies for tissue-specific targeting. Adv. Cancer Res. 115, 39–67.
- Boyer, J.L., and Crystal, R.G. (2006). Genetic medicine strategies to protect against bioterrorism. Trans. Am. Clin. Climatol. Assoc. 117, 297–310.
- Boyer, J.L., Kobinger, G., Wilson, J.M., *et al.* (2005). Adenovirus-based genetic vaccines for biodefense. Hum. Gene Ther. 16, 157–168.
- Boyer, J.L., Sofer-Podesta, C., Ang, J., et al. (2010). Protective immunity against a lethal respiratory *Yersinia pestis* challenge induced by V antigen or the F1 capsular antigen incorporated into adenovirus capsid. Hum. Gene Ther. 21, 891–901.
- Brunetti-Pierri, N., and Ng, P. (2011). Helper-dependent adenoviral vectors for liver-directed gene therapy. Hum. Mol. Genet. 20, R7–R13.
- Cerullo, V., Koski, A., Vaha-Koskela, M., *et al.* (2012). Chapter eight—oncolytic adenoviruses for cancer immunotherapy: data from mice, hamsters, and humans. Adv. Cancer Res. 115, 265–318.
- Chen, R.F., and Lee, C.Y. (2013). Adenoviruses types, cell receptors and local innate cytokines in adenovirus infection. Int. Rev. Immunol. [Epub ahead of print]; DOI: 10.3109/ 08830185.2013.823420
- Chiuchiolo, M.J., Boyer, J.L., Krause, A., et al. (2006). Protective immunity against respiratory tract challenge with *Yersinia pestis* in mice immunized with an adenovirus-based vaccine vector expressing V antigen. J. Infect. Dis. 194, 1249–1257.
- Choi, I.K., and Yun, C.O. (2013). Recent developments in oncolytic adenovirus-based immunotherapeutic agents for use against metastatic cancers. Cancer Gene Ther. 20, 70–76.
- Crystal, R.G. (1990). Alpha 1-antitrypsin deficiency, emphysema, and liver disease. Genetic basis and strategies for therapy. J. Clin. Invest. 85, 1343–1352.
- Crystal, R.G., McElvaney, N.G., Rosenfeld, M.A., *et al.* (1994). Administration of an adenovirus containing the human CFTR cDNA to the respiratory tract of individuals with cystic fibrosis. Nat. Genet. 8, 42–51.
- Crystal, R.G., Harvey, B.G., Wisnivesky, J.P., *et al.* (2002). Analysis of risk factors for local delivery of low- and intermediate-dose adenovirus gene transfer vectors to individuals with a spectrum of comorbid conditions. Hum. Gene Ther. 13, 65–100.
- Crystal, R.G., Kaminsky, S.M., Hackett, N.R., *et al.* (2012). Double-blinded, placebo-controlled, randomized gene therapy using surgery for vector delivery. Hum. Gene Ther. 23, 438–441.
- De, B.P., Hackett, N.R., Crystal, R.G., et al. (2008). Rapid/ sustained anti-anthrax passive immunity mediated by coadministration of Ad/AAV. Mol. Ther. 16, 203–209.
- De, B.P., Pagovich, O.E., Hicks, M.J., *et al.* (2013). Disrupted adenovirus-based vaccines against small addictive molecules circumvent anti-adenovirus immunity. Hum. Gene Ther. 24, 58–66.
- Deisseroth, A., Tang, Y., Zhang, L., *et al.* (2013). TAA/ ecdCD40L adenoviral prime-protein boost vaccine for cancer and infectious diseases. Cancer Gene Ther. 20, 65–69.

- Dharmapuri, S., Peruzzi, D., and Aurisicchio, L. (2009). Engineered adenovirus serotypes for overcoming anti-vector immunity. Expert Opin. Biol. Ther. 9, 1279–1287.
- Dobbelstein, M. (2003). Viruses in therapy—royal road or dead end? Virus Res. 92, 219–221.
- Duarte, S., Carle, G., Faneca, H., et al. (2012). Suicide gene therapy in cancer: where do we stand now? Cancer Lett. 324, 160–170.
- Eager, R.M., and Nemunaitis, J. (2011). Clinical development directions in oncolytic viral therapy. Cancer Gene Ther. 18, 305–317.
- Fukazawa, T., Matsuoka, J., Yamatsuji, T., *et al.* (2010). Adenovirus-mediated cancer gene therapy and virotherapy (Review). Int. J. Mol. Med. 25, 3–10.
- Gadek, J.E., Hosea, S.W., Gelfand, J.A., *et al.* (1980). Replacement therapy in hereditary angioedema: successful treatment of acute episodes of angioedema with partly purified C1 inhibitor. N. Engl. J. Med. 302, 542–546.
- Gao, G.P., Yang, Y., and Wilson, J.M. (1996). Biology of adenovirus vectors with E1 and E4 deletions for liver-directed gene therapy. J. Virol. 70, 8934–8943.
- Garver, R.I., Jr., Chytil, A., Karlsson, S., *et al.* (1987). Production of glycosylated physiologically "normal" human alpha 1-antitrypsin by mouse fibroblasts modified by insertion of a human alpha 1-antitrypsin cDNA using a retroviral vector. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 84, 1050–1054.
- Ginsberg, H.S., ed. (1984). *The Adenoviruses* (Plenum Press, New York).
- Hackett, N.R., and Crystal, R.G. (2008). Adenovirus vectors for gene therapy. In *Gene Therapy: Therapeutic Mechanisms and Strategies*, D. Lasic and N.S. Templeton, eds. (CRC Press, New York), pp. 39–68.
- Hackett, N.R., Kaminsky, S.M., Sondhi, D., *et al.* (2000). Antivector and antitransgene host responses in gene therapy. Curr. Opin. Mol. Ther. 2, 376–382.
- Hangalapura, B.N., Timares, L., Oosterhoff, D., *et al.* (2012). CD40-targeted adenoviral cancer vaccines: the long and winding road to the clinic. J. Gene Med. 14, 416–427.
- Hartman, Z.C., Appledorn, D.M., and Amalfitano, A. (2008). Adenovirus vector induced innate immune responses: impact upon efficacy and toxicity in gene therapy and vaccine applications. Virus Res. 132, 1–14.
- Harvey, B.G., Leopold, P.L., Hackett, N.R., *et al.* (1999). Airway epithelial CFTR mRNA expression in cystic fibrosis patients after repetitive administration of a recombinant adenovirus. J. Clin. Invest. 104, 1245–1255.
- Harvey, B.G., Maroni, J., O'Donoghue, K.A., *et al.* (2002). Safety of local delivery of low- and intermediate-dose adenovirus gene transfer vectors to individuals with a spectrum of morbid conditions. Hum. Gene Ther. 13, 15–63.
- Hashimoto, M., Boyer, J.L., Hackett, N.R., *et al.* (2005). Induction of protective immunity to anthrax lethal toxin with a nonhuman primate adenovirus-based vaccine in the presence of preexisting anti-human adenovirus immunity. Infect. Immun. 73, 6885–6891.
- Hedley, S.J., Chen, J., Mountz, J.D., *et al.* (2006). Targeted and shielded adenovectors for cancer therapy. Cancer Immunol. Immunother. 55, 1412–1419.
- Hicks, M.J., De, B.P., Rosenberg, J.B., *et al.* (2011). Cocaine analog coupled to disrupted adenovirus: a vaccine strategy to evoke high-titer immunity against addictive drugs. Mol. Ther. 19, 612–619.
- Jaffe, H.A., Danel, C., Longenecker, G., *et al.* (1992). Adenovirus-mediated *in vivo* gene transfer and expression in normal rat liver. Nat. Genet. 1, 372–378.

- Jooss, K., and Chirmule, N. (2003). Immunity to adenovirus and adeno-associated viral vectors: implications for gene therapy. Gene Ther. 10, 955–963.
- Journal of Gene Medicine (2013). Gene Therapy Clinical Trials Worldwide. Available at www.wiley.com//legacy/wileychi/ genmed/clinical/ (accessed December 2013).
- Kanerva, A., Mikheeva, G.V., Krasnykh, V., *et al.* (2002). Targeting adenovirus to the serotype 3 receptor increases gene transfer efficiency to ovarian cancer cells. Clin. Cancer Res. 8, 275–280.
- Kasuya, K., Boyer, J.L., Tan, Y., *et al.* (2005). Passive immunotherapy for anthrax toxin mediated by an adenovirus expressing an anti-protective antigen single-chain antibody. Mol. Ther. 11, 237–244.
- Kaufmann, J.K., and Nettelbeck, D.M. (2012). Virus chimeras for gene therapy, vaccination, and oncolysis: adenoviruses and beyond. Trends Mol. Med. 18, 365–376.
- Kerem, B., Rommens, J.M., Buchanan, J.A., *et al.* (1989). Identification of the cystic fibrosis gene: genetic analysis. Science 245, 1073–1080.
- Khare, R., Chen, C.Y., Weaver, E.A., *et al.* (2011). Advances and future challenges in adenoviral vector pharmacology and targeting. Curr. Gene Ther. 11, 241–258.
- Kochanek, S., Schiedner, G., and Volpers, C. (2001). Highcapacity "gutless" adenoviral vectors. Curr. Opin. Mol. Ther. 3, 454–463.
- Koob, G., Hicks, M.J., Wee, S., *et al.* (2011). Anti-cocaine vaccine based on coupling a cocaine analog to a disrupted adenovirus. CNS Neurol. Disord. Drug Targets 10, 899–904.
- Krause, A., and Worgall, S. (2011). Delivery of antigens by viral vectors for vaccination. Ther. Deliv. 2, 51–70.
- Kushwah, R., Cao, H., and Hu, J. (2007). Potential of helperdependent adenoviral vectors in modulating airway innate immunity. Cell Mol. Immunol. 4, 81–89.
- Lasaro, M.O., and Ertl, H.C. (2009). New insights on adenovirus as vaccine vectors. Mol. Ther. 17, 1333–1339.
- Mack, C.A., Song, W.R., Carpenter, H., *et al.* (1997). Circumvention of anti-adenovirus neutralizing immunity by administration of an adenoviral vector of an alternate serotype. Hum. Gene Ther. 8, 99–109.
- Mack, C.A., Magovern, C.J., Budenbender, K.T., *et al.* (1998). Salvage angiogenesis induced by adenovirus-mediated gene transfer of vascular endothelial growth factor protects against ischemic vascular occlusion. J. Vasc. Surg. 27, 699– 709.
- Mastrangeli, A., Harvey, B.G., Yao, J., *et al.* (1996). "Seroswitch" adenovirus-mediated *in vivo* gene transfer: circumvention of anti-adenovirus humoral immune defenses against repeat adenovirus vector administration by changing the adenovirus serotype. Hum. Gene Ther. 7, 79–87.
- Matthews, Q.L. (2011). Capsid-incorporation of antigens into adenovirus capsid proteins for a vaccine approach. Mol. Pharm. 8, 3–11.
- Matthews, K.S., Alvarez, R.D., and Curiel, D.T. (2009). Advancements in adenoviral based virotherapy for ovarian cancer. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 61, 836–841.
- Nemerow, G.R. (2009). A new link between virus cell entry and inflammation: adenovirus interaction with integrins induces specific proinflammatory responses. Mol. Ther. 17, 1490– 1491.
- Patel, S.R., Lee, L.Y., Mack, C.A., *et al.* (1999). Safety of direct myocardial administration of an adenovirus vector encoding vascular endothelial growth factor 121. Hum. Gene Ther. 10, 1331–1348.

- Raper, S.E., Haskal, Z.J., Ye, X., *et al.* (1998). Selective gene transfer into the liver of non-human primates with E1-deleted, E2A-defective, or E1-E4 deleted recombinant adenoviruses. Hum. Gene Ther. 9, 671–679.
- Riordan, J.R., Rommens, J.M., Kerem, B., *et al.* (1989). Identification of the cystic fibrosis gene: cloning and characterization of complementary DNA. Science 245, 1066–1073.
- Rommens, J.M., Iannuzzi, M.C., Kerem, B., *et al.* (1989). Identification of the cystic fibrosis gene: chromosome walking and jumping. Science 245, 1059–1065.
- Rosenfeld, M.A., Siegfried, W., Yoshimura, K., *et al.* (1991). Adenovirus-mediated transfer of a recombinant α 1-antitrypsin gene to the lung epithelium *in vivo*. Science 252, 431–434.
- Rosenfeld, M.A., Yoshimura, K., Trapnell, B.C., *et al.* (1992). *In vivo* transfer of the human cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator gene to the airway epithelium. Cell 68, 143–155.
- Rosengart, T.K., Lee, L.Y., Patel, S.R., *et al.* (1999a). Sixmonth assessment of a phase I trial of angiogenic gene therapy for the treatment of coronary artery disease using direct intramyocardial administration of an adenovirus vector expressing the VEGF121 cDNA. Ann. Surg. 230, 466–470.
- Rosengart, T.K., Lee, L.Y., Patel, S.R., *et al.* (1999b). Angiogenesis gene therapy: phase I assessment of direct intramyocardial administration of an adenovirus vector expressing VEGF121 cDNA to individuals with clinically significant severe coronary artery disease. Circulation 100, 468–474.
- Rosengart, T.K., Bishawi, M.M., Halbreiner, M.S., *et al.* (2013). Long-term follow-up assessment of a phase 1 trial of angiogenic gene therapy using direct intramyocardial administration of an adenoviral vector expressing the VEGF121 cDNA for the treatment of diffuse coronary artery disease. Hum. Gene Ther. 24, 203–208.
- Russell, W.C. (2009). Adenoviruses: update on structure and function. J. Gen. Virol. 90, 1–20.
- Russell, S.J., Peng, K.W., and Bell, J.C. (2012). Oncolytic virotherapy. Nat. Biotechnol. 30, 658–670.
- Sack, B.K., and Herzog, R.W. (2009). Evading the immune response upon *in vivo* gene therapy with viral vectors. Curr. Opin. Mol. Ther. 11, 493–503.
- Sato, N., Leopold, P.L., and Crystal, R.G. (1999). Induction of the hair growth phase in postnatal mice by localized transient expression of Sonic hedgehog. J. Clin. Invest. 104, 855–864.
- Segura, M.M., Alba, R., Bosch, A., *et al.* (2008). Advances in helper-dependent adenoviral vector research. Curr. Gene Ther. 8, 222–235.
- Seregin, S.S., and Amalfitano, A. (2009). Overcoming preexisting adenovirus immunity by genetic engineering of adenovirus-based vectors. Expert Opin. Biol. Ther. 9, 1521– 1531.
- Sharma, A., Li, X., Bangari, D.S., *et al.* (2009). Adenovirus receptors and their implications in gene delivery. Virus Res. 143, 184–194.
- Shayakhmetov, D.M. (2010). Virus infection recognition and early innate responses to non-enveloped viral vectors. Viruses 2, 244–261.
- Short, J.J., and Curiel, D.T. (2009). Oncolytic adenoviruses targeted to cancer stem cells. Mol. Cancer Ther. 8, 2096–2102.
- Smith, J.G., Wiethoff, C.M., Stewart, P.L., et al. (2010). Adenovirus. Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. 343, 195–224.
- Sofer-Podesta, C., Ang, J., Hackett, N.R., et al. (2009). Adenovirus-mediated delivery of an anti-V antigen monoclonal

antibody protects mice against a lethal *Yersinia pestis* challenge. Infect. Immun. 77, 1561–1568.

- Stone, D., and Lieber, A. (2006). New serotypes of adenoviral vectors. Curr. Opin. Mol. Ther. 8, 423–431.
- Suzuki, M., Singh, R., Moore, M.A., *et al.* (1998). Similarity of strain- and route-dependent murine responses to an adenovirus vector using the homologous thrombopoietin cDNA as the reporter genes. Hum. Gene Ther. 9, 1223–1231.
- Tagawa, M., Kawamura, K., Ueyama, T., *et al.* (2008). Cancer therapy with local oncolysis and topical cytokine secretion. Front. Biosci. 13, 2578–2587.
- Tan, Y., Hackett, N.R., Boyer, J.L., *et al.* (2003). Protective immunity evoked against anthrax lethal toxin after a single intramuscular administration of an adenovirus-based vaccine encoding humanized protective antigen. Hum. Gene Ther. 14, 1673–1682.
- Thaci, B., Ulasov, I.V., Wainwright, D.A., *et al.* (2011). The challenge for gene therapy: innate immune response to adenoviruses. Oncotarget 2, 113–121.
- Thacker, E.E., Timares, L., and Matthews, Q.L. (2009). Strategies to overcome host immunity to adenovirus vectors in vaccine development. Expert Rev. Vaccines 8, 761– 777.
- Van Blarcom, T.J., Sofer-Podesta, C., Ang, J., et al. (2010). Affinity maturation of an anti-V antigen IgG expressed in situ through adenovirus gene delivery confers enhanced protection against Yersinia pestis challenge. Gene Ther. 17, 913–921.
- Vetrini, F., and Ng, P. (2011). Liver-directed gene therapy with helper-dependent adenoviral vectors: current state of the art and future challenges. Curr. Pharm. Des. 17, 2488– 2499.
- Wee, S., Hicks, M.J., De, B.P., *et al.* (2012). Novel cocaine vaccine linked to a disrupted adenovirus gene transfer vector blocks cocaine psychostimulant and reinforcing effects. Neuropsychopharmacology 37, 1083–1091.
- Weitzman, M.D. (2005). Functions of the adenovirus E4 proteins and their impact on viral vectors. Front. Biosci. 10, 1106–1117.
- Wewers, M.D., Casolaro, M.A., Sellers, S.E., *et al.* (1987). Replacement therapy for alpha 1-antitrypsin deficiency associated with emphysema. N. Engl. J. Med. 316, 1055–1062.
- Wilson, J.M. (1996). Adenoviruses as gene-delivery vehicles. N. Engl. J. Med. 334, 1185–1187.
- Wolfrum, N., and Greber, U.F. (2013). Adenovirus signalling in entry. Cell Microbiol. 15, 53–62.
- Worgall, S., Leopold, P.L., Wolff, G., et al. (1997). Role of alveolar macrophages in rapid elimination of adenovirus vectors administered to the epithelial surface of the respiratory tract. Hum. Gene Ther. 8, 1675–1684.
- Wu, Q., Xia, D., Carlsen, S., *et al.* (2005). Adenovirus-mediated transgene-engineered dendritic cell vaccine of cancer. Curr. Gene Ther. 5, 237–247.
- Yang, Y., Nunes, F.A., Berencsi, K., *et al.* (1994). Cellular immunity to viral antigens limits E1-deleted adenoviruses for gene therapy. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 91, 4407– 4411.
- Yang, Y., Jooss, K.U., Su, Q., *et al.* (1996). Immune responses to viral antigens versus transgene product in the elimination of recombinant adenovirus-infected hepatocytes *in vivo*. Gene Ther. 3, 137–144.
- Yang, T.C., Millar, J.B., Grinshtein, N., *et al.* (2007). T-cell immunity generated by recombinant adenovirus vaccines. Expert Rev. Vaccines 6, 347–356.

- Zabner, J., Couture, L.A., Gregory, R.J., et al. (1993). Adenovirus-mediated gene transfer transiently corrects the chloride transport defect in nasal epithelia of patients with cystic fibrosis. Cell 75, 207–216.
- Zaiss, A.K., Machado, H.B., and Herschman, H.R. (2009). The influence of innate and pre-existing immunity on adenovirus therapy. J. Cell Biochem. 108, 778–790.
- Zuckerman, J.B., Robinson, C.B., McCoy, K.S., *et al.* (1999). A phase I study of adenovirus-mediated transfer of the human cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator gene to

a lung segment of individuals with cystic fibrosis. Hum. Gene Ther. 10, 2973–2985.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Ronald G. Crystal Department of Genetic Medicine Weill Cornell Medical College 1300 York Avenue, Box 164 New York, NY 10065

E-mail: geneticmedicine@med.cornell.edu