

Microcosmo e Macrocosmo

Paolo de Bernardis

Dipartimento di Fisica Sapienza Università di Roma

Lezioni della Cattedra Fermi

23 Gennaio 2014 Dipartimento di Fisica Sapienza Università di Roma

• Einstein's equation, in the case of a homogenous isotropic universe, gives

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = H_o^2 \left[\frac{\Omega_{Ro}}{a^4} + \frac{\Omega_{Mo}}{a^3} + \frac{(1 - \Omega_o)}{a^2} + \Omega_\Lambda\right]$$

- The solution *a*(*t*) tells us how all the distances in the universe evolve with time (i.e. how the universe expands).
- To find the solution, we need to find empirically the mass energy densities ρ_{Ro} , ρ_{Mo} , ρ_{Λ} and from them the parameters Ω_{Ro} , Ω_{Mo} , Ω_{Λ}

Dark Matter

- Dark matter does not interact electromagnetically.
- We can measure it only through its gravitational interaction, which is much weaker than electromagnetic.
- The dynamics of stars in galaxies and of galaxies in clusters of galaxies cannot be explained without the presence of dark matter
- Additional evidence comes from gravitational lensing and other effects. $\Omega_{DMo} = (0.22 \pm 0.02)$

















Figure 4.10— The distribution of radial velocities of all 583 identified Coma cluster galaxies (4000 < cz <10000 km s⁻¹). The solid curve is a Gaussian with mean 6977 ± 53 km and standard deviation 950 ± 39 km s^{-1} . The dotted curve is the sum of two Gaussians with $\overline{cz_1} = 7501 \pm$ 187 km s⁻¹, $\sigma_1 = 650 \pm 216$ km s^{-1} and $\overline{cz_2} = 6640 \pm 470$ km s^{-1} , $\sigma_2 = 1004 \pm 120$ km s⁻¹ and gives a better fit to the observed distribution. The radial velocities of the three dominant galaxies are indicated.



http://www.ub.rug.nl/eldoc/dis/science/m.beijersbergen/c4.pdf







A1689

1ES0657-556



Dark Energy

- Systematic weakness of distant (high redshift) SNe1a
- Can be explained by an accelerated expansion of the universe, so that they are more distant for a given redshift.

$$\ddot{a} = H_o^2 \left[-\frac{\Omega^2 R_o}{a^3} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Omega^2 R_o}{a^2} + \Omega_\Lambda a \right]$$

- The best fit is $\Omega_{\Lambda} = (0.73 \pm 0.03)$
- This can be obtained from independent measurements as well (CMB, see below)

Radiation

- Light and electromagnetic waves fill the universe.
- Stellar radiation is not the most important radiation field present in the universe, since it dilutes far from stars.
- The cosmic microwave background is a perfect balckbody with a temperature $T_0=2.725$ K filling the whole universe, so dominating over stellar and any other radiation at large scales.
- Its density today is negligible:

$$\Omega_{Ro} < 10^{-4}$$

• However, early in the evolution of the universe, it dominated the energy density. In principle, it was light. $(\dot{a})^2 = \sqrt{\Omega_n - \Omega_n} - (1 - \Omega_n)$

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = H_o^2 \left[\frac{\Omega_{Ro}}{a^4} + \frac{\Omega_{Mo}}{a^3} + \frac{(1 - \Omega_o)}{a^2} + \Omega_\Lambda\right]$$

Density Parameter

• The total mass-energy density is the sum of all the components analyzed above.

$$\Omega_o = \Omega_{Ro} + \Omega_{Mo} + \Omega_{DMo} + \Omega_{\Lambda} \approx 1$$

- I.e. the mass-energy density is consistent with the critical density, and there is no curvature of space.
- This result is confirmed and its accuracy is improved by measurements of the causal horizon at redshift 1100, using the cosmic microwave background:

$$\Omega_o = (1.02 \pm 0.02)$$

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = H_o^2 \left[\frac{\Omega_{Ro}}{a^4} + \frac{\Omega_{Mo}}{a^3} + \frac{(1 - \Omega_o)}{a^2} + \Omega_\Lambda\right]$$



$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = H_o^2 \left[\frac{\Omega_{Ro}}{a^4} + \frac{\Omega_{Mo}}{a^3} + \frac{(1 - \Omega_o)}{a^2} + \Omega_\Lambda\right]$$



$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = H_o^2 \left[\frac{\Omega_{Ro}}{a^4} + \frac{\Omega_{Mo}}{a^3} + \frac{(1 - \Omega_o)}{a^2} + \Omega_\Lambda\right]$$





$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = H_o^2 \left[\frac{\Omega_{Ro}}{a^4} + \frac{\Omega_{Mo}}{a^3} + \frac{(1 - \Omega_o)}{a^2} + \Omega_\Lambda\right]$$



$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = H_o^2 \left[\frac{\Omega_{Ro}}{a^4} + \frac{\Omega_{Mo}}{a^3} + \frac{(1 - \Omega_o)}{a^2} + \Omega_\Lambda\right]$$





Granulazione solare

Gas incandescente sulla superficie del Sole (5500 K)





Granulazione solare

Gas incandescente sulla superficie del Sole (5500 K)



Qui, ora

8 minuti luce

Gas incandescente nell' universo primordiale (l' universo diventa trasparente a 3000 K)

14 miliardi di anni luce





Mappa di BOOMERanG dell' Universo Primordiale

What is the CMB



According to modern cosmology:

- An abundant background of photons filling the Universe.
- **Generated** in the very early universe, less than 4 μ s after the Big Bang (10⁹ γ for each baryon)
- Thermalized in the primeval fireball (in the first 380000 years after the big bang) by repeated scattering against free electrons
- Redshifted to microwave frequencies and diluted in the subsequent 14 Gyrs of expansion of the Universe
 Today: 410γ/cm³, ~1 meV

These photons carry significant information on the structure, evolution and composition of our universe





The spectrum

- CMB photons are produced when matter and radiation are in tight thermal equilibrium (Thomson scattering in the primeval plasma)
- The spectrum of the CMB has to be a blackbody.
- The expansion of the universe preserves the shape of a blackbody spectrum, while its temperature decreases as the inverse of the scale factor.
- Measuring a blackbody spectrum of the CMB, we can prove the existence of a primeval fireball phase of the universe.
- To be consistent with the primordial abundance of light elements, a temperature of a few K is expected (Gamow)



CMB anisotropy (intrinsic)

• Different physical effects, all related to the *small* density fluctuations $\delta \rho / \rho$ present 380000 yrs after the big bang (recombination) produce CMB Temperature fluctuations:

$$\frac{\delta T}{T} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{\delta \varphi}{c^2} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\delta \varphi}{\rho_{\gamma}} - \frac{\vec{v}}{c} \cdot \vec{n}$$
Sachs-Wolfe
(gravitational redshift)
Sachs-Wolfe
(gravitational fluctuations)
Sachs-Wolfe
(gravitational fluctuations)
Doppler effect
from velocity
fields

- Scales larger than the horizon are basically frozen in the pre-recombination era. Flat power spectrum of $\delta T/T$ at large scales.
- Scales smaller than the horizon undergo acoustic oscillations during the primeval fireball. Acoustic peaks in the power spectrum of $\delta T/T$ at sub-degree scales.

CMB anisotropy (intrinsic)

- The primeval plasma of photons and matter oscillates :
- self-gravity vs radiation pressure.
- We can measure the result of these oscillations as a weak anisotropy pattern in the image of the CMB.
- Statistical theory: all information encoded in the angular power spectrum of the image.

Density perturbations $(\Delta \rho / \rho)$ were oscillating in the primeval plasma (as a result of the opposite effects of gravity and photon pressure).



After recombination, density perturbation can **grow** and create the hierarchy of structures we see in the nearby Universe.



In the primeval plasma, photons/baryons density perturbations start to oscillate only when the sound horizon

Expected power spectrum:

$$\Delta T(\theta, \varphi) = \sum_{\ell, m} a_{\ell m} Y_{\ell}^{m}(\theta, \varphi)$$
$$c_{\ell} = \left\langle a_{\ell m}^{2} \right\rangle$$

$$\left\langle \Delta T^2 \right\rangle = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{\ell} (2\ell + 1)c_{\ell}$$

See e.g. http://camb.info to compute c_1 for a given cosmological model

An instrument with finite angular resolution is not sensitive to the smallest scales (highest multipoles). For a gaussian beam with s.d. σ :

$$w_{\ell}^{LP} = e^{-\ell(\ell+1)\sigma^2}$$





The image and PS are modified by the geometry of the universe



The mass-energy density of the Universe can be measured in this way.

Composition

- The composition of the universe (baryons, dark matter, dark energy) affects the shape of the power spectrum.
- Accurate measurements of the power spectrum allow to constrain the energy densities of the different components of the universe.



CMB anisotropy (lensing)

- Photons travelling in the universe for 13.7 Gly interact with massive structures, and are deflected (gravitational lensing)
- The result is a modified image of CMB anisotropy, which can be analyzed to study the distribution of mass (mainly dark matter) all the way to recombination.



Typical deflection: 2.5'

intrinsic CMB anisotropy



Typical deflection: 2.5'

lensed CMB anisotropy









14 / May / 2009





Mission :

Table 1. *Planck* coverage statistics.

Planck collaboration: astro-ph/1101:2022

	30 GHz	100 GHz	545 GHz	
Mean ^a	2293	4575	2278	sec deg ²
Minimum	440	801	375	sec deg ²
<half mean<sup="">b</half>	14.4	14.6	15.2	%
$> 4 \times Mean^{c}$	1.6	1.5	1.2	%
$> 9 \times Mean^{d}$	0.41	0.42	0.41	%

^a Mean over the whole sky of the integration time cumulated for all detectors (definition as in Table 3) in a given frequency channel.

^b Fraction of the sky whose coverage is less than half the Mean.

^c Fraction of the sky whose coverage is larger than four times the Mean.

^d Fraction of the sky whose coverage is larger than nine times the Mean.



A very stable environment



Fig. 7. The impressive stability of the HFI thermal stages during operations. Shown is the temperature evolution of the bolometer stage (*top*), the 1.6 K optical filter stage (*middle*) and the 4-K cooler reference load stage (*bottom*). The horizontal axis displays days since the beginning of the nominal mission.

Cryostat: dilution He3/He4

Raw HFI data



De-spiked HFI data



<20% of data flagged



(c) ESA, HFI and LFI consortia, J

The 2013 Planck results

- •Planck 2013 results. I. Overview of products and results
- •Planck 2013 results. II. Low Frequency Instrument data processing
- Planck 2013 results. III. LFI systematic uncertainties
- •Planck 2013 results. IV. LFI beams
- Planck 2013 results. V. LFI calibration
- •Planck 2013 results. VI. High Frequency Instrument data processing
- •Planck 2013 results. VII. HFI time response and beams
- •Planck 2013 results. VIII. HFI calibration and mapmaking
- Planck 2013 results. IX. HFI spectral response
- Planck 2013 results. X. HFI energetic particle effects
- Planck 2013 results. XI. Consistency of the data
- Planck 2013 results. XII. Component separation
- Planck 2013 results. XIII. Galactic CO emission
- Planck 2013 results. XIV. Zodiacal emission
- •Planck 2013 results. XV. CMB power spectra and likelihood
- Planck 2013 results. XVI. Cosmological parameters
- •Planck 2013 results. XVII. Gravitational lensing by large-scale structure
- •Planck 2013 results. XVIII. The gravitational lensing-infrared background correlation
- Planck 2013 results. XIX. The integrated Sachs-Wolfe effect

- •Planck 2013 results. XX. Cosmology from Sunyaev- Zeldovich cluster counts
- •Planck 2013 results. XXI. All-sky Compton-parameter map and characterization
- Planck 2013 results. XXII. Constraints on inflation
- •Planck 2013 results. XXIII. Isotropy and statistics of the CMB
- •Planck 2013 results. XXIV. Constraints on primordial non-Gaussianity
- •Planck 2013 results. XXV. Searches for cosmic strings and other topological defects
- •Planck 2013 results. XXVI. Background geometry and topology of the Universe
- •Planck 2013 results. XXVII. Special relativistic effects on the CMB dipole
- •Planck 2013 results. XXVIII. The Planck Catalogue of Compact Sources
- •Planck 2013 results. XXIX. The Planck catalogue of Sunyaev-Zeldovich sources
- •Planck 2013 results. Explanatory supplement

29 papers (+1 to come on CIB); 800+ pages 1 Explanatory Supplement

all products available online

The 2013 Planck results

•Planck 2013 results. I. Overview of products and results	• Plai
•Planck 2013 results. II. Low Frequency Instrument dates	clust
processing	• Plar
Planck 2013 results. III. LFI systematic upgraduities	map
•Planck 2013 results. IV. LFI beams 15tern	• Plar
Planck 2013 results. V. LFI calleration	• Plar
Planck 2013 results. V esen Frequency Instrument data	CMB
processing of oc	•Plai
 Planck 201 results. VII. HFI time response and beams 	non-
• Planu 2013 results. VIII. HFI calibration and mapmaking	•Plai
Wanck 2013 results. IX. HFI spectral response	and o
 Planck 2013 results. X. HFI energetic particle effects 	• Plar
 Planck 2013 results. XI. Consistency of the data 	topo
 Planck 2013 results. XII. Component separation 	• Plar
Planck 20 Components separation	the C
Planck 2013 results. XIV. Zodiacal emission	• Plar
Power Spectra, xcosmological parameters	Com
 Planck 2013 results. XVI. Cosmological parameters 	 Plar
 Planck 2013 results. XVII. Gravitational lensing by large-scale 	Suny
structure	•Plai
• Planck 2013 results XVIII. The gravitationed lensing-infrared	
background correlation	
• Planck 2013 results XIX The integrated Sachs-Wolfe effect	20

nck 2013 results. XX. Cosmology from Sunyaev- Zeldovich ter **Thre^sSunyaev-Zeldovich effect** nck 2013 results. XXI. All-sky Compton-parameter and characterization nck 2013 results. XXII. Constraints on inflation nck 2013 results. XXIII. Isotropy and statistics of the nck 2013 results. XXIV. Constraints on primordial Gauscianity Constraints on cosmology nck 2013 results. XXV. Searches for cosmic strings other topological defects nck 2013 results. XXVI. Background geometry and logy of the Universe nck 2013 results. XXVII. Special relativistic effects on CMB dipole nck 2013 results. XXVIII. The Planck Catalogue of pact Sources nck 2013 results. **Products** ck catalogue of aev-Zeldovich sources nck 2013 results. Explanatory supplement

29 papers (+1 to come on CIB); 800+ pages 1 Explanatory Supplement all products available online



6x10⁶ pixels (5')

Planck Legacy Maps

857 GHz





The CMB component

