ARTICLE

Genome editing reveals a role for OCT4 in human embryogenesis

Norah M. E. Fogarty¹, Afshan McCarthy¹, Kirsten E. Snijders², Benjamin E. Powell³, Nada Kubikova⁴, Paul Blakeley¹, Rebecca Lea¹, Kay Elder⁵, Sissy E. Wamaitha¹, Daesik Kim⁶, Valdone Maciulyte³, Jens Kleinjung⁷, Jin-Soo Kim^{6,8}, Dagan Wells⁴, Ludovic Vallier^{2,9,10}, Alessandro Bertero¹⁰[†], James M. A. Turner³ & Kathy K. Niakan¹

Despite their fundamental biological and clinical importance, the molecular mechanisms that regulate the first cell fate decisions in the human embryo are not well understood. Here we use CRISPR–Cas9–mediated genome editing to investigate the function of the pluripotency transcription factor OCT4 during human embryogenesis. We identified an efficient OCT4-targeting guide RNA using an inducible human embryonic stem cell-based system and microinjection of mouse zygotes. Using these refined methods, we efficiently and specifically targeted the gene encoding OCT4 (*POU5F1*) in diploid human zygotes and found that blastocyst development was compromised. Transcriptomics analysis revealed that, in *POU5F1*-null cells, gene expression was downregulated not only for extra-embryonic trophectoderm genes, such as *CDX2*, but also for regulators of the pluripotent epiblast, including *NANOG*. By contrast, *Pou5f1*-null mouse embryos maintained the expression of orthologous genes, and blastocyst development was established, but maintenance was compromised. We conclude that CRISPR–Cas9–mediated genome editing is a powerful method for investigating gene function in the context of human development.

Early mammalian embryogenesis is controlled by mechanisms that govern the balance between pluripotency and differentiation. Expression of early lineage-specific genes varies substantially between species¹⁻³, with implications for developmental control and stem cell derivation. However, the mechanisms that pattern the human embryo are unclear, because of a lack of methods to efficiently perturb gene expression of early lineage specifiers in this species.

Recent advances in genome editing using the CRISPR (clustered regularly interspaced, short palindromic repeat)-Cas (CRISPRassociated) system have greatly increased the efficiency of genetic modification. The Streptococcus pyogenes Cas9 endonuclease is guided to homologous DNA sequences via a single-guide RNA (sgRNA) whereby it induces double strand breaks (DSBs) at the target site⁴. Endogenous DNA repair mechanisms function to resolve the DSBs, including error-prone non-homologous or micro-homology-mediated end joining, which can lead to insertions or deletions (indels) of nucleotides that can result in the null mutation of the target gene. CRISPR-Cas9-mediated editing has been attempted in abnormally fertilized tripronuclear human zygotes and a limited number of normally fertilized human zygotes, with variable success^{5–8}. To determine whether CRISPR–Cas9 can be used to understand gene function in human preimplantation development, we chose to target POU5F1, a gene encoding the developmental regulator OCT4, as a proof-of-principle. Zygotic POU5F1 is thought to be first transcribed at the four- to eight-cell stage coincident with embryo genome activation (EGA), and OCT4 protein is not detectable until approximately the eight-cell stage^{2,3}. OCT4 perturbation would be predicted to cause a clear developmental phenotype based on studies in the mouse^{9,10} and human embryonic stem (ES) cells¹¹.

By using an inducible human ES cell-based CRISPR–Cas9 system and optimizing mouse zygote microinjection techniques, we have identified conditions that allowed us to target *POU5F1* efficiently and precisely in human zygotes. Live embryo imaging revealed that while OCT4-targeted human embryos initiate blastocyst formation, the inner cell mass (ICM) forms poorly, and embryos subsequently collapse. Mutations affecting *POU5F1* in human blastocysts are associated with the downregulation of genes associated with all three preimplantation lineages, including *NANOG* (epiblast), *GATA2* (trophectoderm) and *GATA4* (primitive endoderm). By contrast, in *OCT4*-null mouse blastocysts, genes such as *Nanog* continue to be expressed in the ICM. The insights gained from these investigations advance our understanding of human development and suggest that OCT4 has an earlier role in the progression of the human blastocyst compared to the mouse, and therefore that there are distinct mechanisms of lineage specification between these species.

Selection of an sgRNA targeting POU5F1

To target *POU5F1*, we selected four sgRNAs using a standard *in silico* prediction tool¹²: two targeting the exon encoding the N-terminal domain of OCT4 (sgRNA1-1 and sgRNA1-2), one targeting the exon encoding the conserved DNA-binding POU homeodomain^{13,14} (sgRNA2b) and one targeting the end of the POU domain and the start of the C-terminal domain (sgRNA4) (Extended Data Fig. 1a). To screen candidate sgRNAs, we took advantage of human ES cells as an unlimited resource that reflects the cellular context of the human preimplantation embryo. We engineered isogenic human ES cells constitutively expressing the Cas9 gene, together with a tetracycline-inducible sgRNA¹¹ (Fig. 1a), thereby allowing comparative assessment of sgRNA activities.

Cells were collected every day for five days for flow cytometry analysis, which revealed that induction of each of the sgRNAs in human ES cells imposed remarkably different temporal effects on OCT4 protein expression (Extended Data Fig. 1b). sgRNA2b was the most

¹Human Embryo and Stem Cell Laboratory, The Francis Crick Institute, 1 Midland Road, London NW1 1AT, UK. ²NIHR Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre hIPSC Core Facility, Department of Surgery, University of Cambridge, Cambridge Biomedical Campus, Cambridge CB2 0SZ, UK. ³Sex Chromosome Biology Laboratory, The Francis Crick Institute, London NW1 1AT, UK. ⁴Nuffield Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Oxford, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford 0X3 9DU, UK. ⁵Bourn Hall Clinic, Bourn, Cambridge CB23 2TN, UK. ⁶Department of Chemistry, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-747, South Korea. ⁷Bioinformatics Facility, The Francis Crick Institute, London NW1 1AT, UK. ⁴Center for Genome Engineering, Institute for Basic Science, Daejeon 34047, South Korea. ⁹Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Wellcome Genome Campus, Hinxton, Cambridge CB10 1SA, UK. ¹⁰Wellcome Trust and MRC Cambridge Stem Cell Institute and Biomedical Research Centre, Anne McLaren Laboratory, Department of Surgery, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 0SZ, UK. [†]Present address: Department of Pathology, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98109, USA.



Figure 1 | Screening sgRNAs targeting OCT4 in optimized inducible CRISPR-Cas9 knockout human ES cells and mouse embryos. a, Schematic of strategy used to induce sgRNA expression in human ES cells. The CAG promoter drives constitutive expression of the Cas9 gene as well as the tetracycline-responsive repressor (tetR). The inducible H1-TO promoter drives expression of each sgRNA in the presence of tetracycline (TET). The two transgenic cassettes are each targeted to one of the AAVS1 genomic safe harbour loci using zinc-finger nucleases (ZFN). TO, tetracycline-responsive operator. b, Immunofluorescence analysis of OCT4 (red) or PAX6 (green) and DAPI nuclear staining (blue) expression in human ES cells after 4 days of sgRNA2b induction (+Tet) or in uninduced (No Tet) control human ES cells. Scale bars, 100 µm. c, Quantification of indel mutations detected at each sgRNA on-target site after 4 days of sgRNA2b induction (+Tet). n = 2 (sgRNA1-1); n = 3 (sgRNA1-2, sgRNA2b or sgRNA4 clones). One-way ANOVA compared to uninduced human ES cells. d, Immunofluorescence analysis for OCT4 (red), SOX17 (green) and DAPI nuclear staining (blue) in control, OCT4-null or mosaic mouse blastocysts 4 days after zygote microinjection. Panels that show individual proteins are in grey; coloured labels relate to merged panels only. Scale bars, 100 µm. e, Quantification of proportions of OCT4-null, mosaic or wild-type mouse blastocysts after microinjection of Cas9 mRNA plus sgRNA1-1, sgRNA1-2, sgRNA2b or sgRNA4, or uninjected controls. Chi-squared test. Data are mean \pm s.d. f, Quantification of proportions of OCT4-null, mosaic or wild-type mouse blastocysts after microinjection of the sgRNA2b-Cas9 ribonucleoprotein complex at concentrations indicated. Chi-squared test. Data are mean \pm s.d. $\mathbf{g},$ Comparison of mutation spectrums after targeting mouse embryos with sgRNA2b plus Cas9 mRNA or protein. Data are proportions of unique indels observed. Chi-squared test. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ****P < 0.0001.

efficient at rapidly causing loss of OCT4 protein expression, with only 15.6% of cells retaining detectable OCT4 by day 5 of induction. Immunofluorescence analysis following sgRNA2b induction confirmed the efficient loss of OCT4 expression (Fig. 1b, Extended Data Fig. 2a). Conversely, in human ES cells induced to express sgRNAs 1-1, 1-2 or 4, 43.7%, 70.5% and 51.7% of cells retained OCT4 expression at the equivalent time, respectively (Extended Data Fig. 1b). To identify the transcriptional consequences of OCT4 depletion, we performed quantitative PCR with reverse transcription (qRT-PCR) and RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) analysis on induced and non-induced sgRNA2b-expressing human ES cells (Extended Data Figs 1c, d and 2b). Induction of sgRNA2b resulted in downregulation of pluripotency genes such as NANOG, ETS1 and DPPA3, consistent with OCT4 depletion causing exit from self-renewal. Furthermore, the differentiation-associated genes PAX6, SOX17, SIX3, GATA2 and SOX9 were upregulated after induction of sgRNA2b, suggesting that OCT4 normally restrains differentiation (Extended Data Figs 1c, d and 2a, b).

Analysing POU5F1 targeting specificity

To compare the on-target editing efficiencies and mutation spectrums induced by candidate sgRNAs, we performed a time-course genotypic analysis on cells collected across four days after sgRNA induction. Targeted deep sequencing of the on-target site revealed indels from as early as 24 h after induction of sgRNA2b, but not until 48 h after induction of sgRNAs1-1, 1-2 or 4 (Fig. 1c). sgRNA2b-induced indels most commonly comprised a 2-bp deletion upstream of the protospacer-adjacent motif (PAM) site leading to a frameshift mutation and a premature stop codon (Extended Data Fig. 3), consistent with the loss of OCT4 protein expression.

We evaluated putative off-target sites identified by their sequence similarity to the seed region of sgRNA2b (Extended Data Fig. 4a, b). We did not observe off-target indels in sgRNA2b-induced human ES cells, nor any sequence alterations above background PCR error rates observed in control human ES cell lines. In parallel, we performed a genome-wide unbiased evaluation of off-target events using Digenomeseq (Extended Data Fig. 4c). Targeted deep sequencing across the experimentally determined putative off-target sites revealed that indels had occurred only at the on-target site (Extended Data Fig. 4d). Furthermore, we used the WebLogo program to determine the most frequent sequences associated with putative sites identified from Digenome-seq^{15,16} (Extended Data Fig. 4e). Deep sequencing at these sites also confirmed that no off-target events had occurred (Extended Data Fig. 4f). In all, owing to both its efficient mutagenicity and its high on-target specificity, sgRNA2b appeared the most promising.

sgRNA activity in mouse embryos

We used published sgRNA and Cas9 mRNA zygote microinjection conditions¹⁷ to further assess sgRNA activity and optimize microinjection methodologies in mouse zygotes. As it has been shown that OCT4null mouse blastocysts lack expression of the primitive endoderm marker SOX17 owing to a cell-autonomous requirement for FGF4 and MAPK signalling^{9,18}, we used the absence of both OCT4 and SOX17 immunostaining to identify OCT4-deficient embryos (Fig. 1d). This OCT4-null phenotype was observed in 54% of embryos injected with Cas9 mRNA and sgRNA2b, and in 0%, 10% or 3% of embryos injected with Cas9 mRNA and sgRNA1-1, sgRNA1-2 or sgRNA4, respectively (Fig. 1e). These data confirm that sgRNA2b is superior to the other tested sgRNAs at inducing null mutations in both mouse embryos and human ES cells. We next tested a greater range of Cas9 mRNA and sgRNA concentrations to identify conditions that could enhance rates of mutagenesis (Extended Data Fig. 5a). We confirmed that the previously reported concentrations of 100 ng μ l⁻¹ Cas9 mRNA and 50 ng μ l⁻¹ sgRNA¹⁷ were optimal for inducing an OCT4-null phenotype.

It has been suggested that microinjection of sgRNA and Cas9 ribonucleoprotein complexes may reduce mosaicism and allelic complexity by bypassing the requirement for Cas9 translation and sgRNA-Cas9

complex formation in embryos^{19,20}. To test this, we microinjected mouse pronuclear zygotes with preassembled ribonucleoprotein complexes containing varying concentrations of Cas9 protein $(20-200 \text{ ng }\mu\text{l}^{-1})$ and sgRNA2b (20–100 ng μ l⁻¹; Fig. 1f and Extended Data Fig. 5b). Immunofluorescence analysis revealed that the sgRNA-Cas9 complex was superior to Cas9 mRNA in causing loss of both OCT4 and SOX17, and that the optimal concentration comprised $50\,ng\,\mu l^{-1}$ Cas9 protein and 25 ng μ l⁻¹ sgRNA (Fig. 1f). Notably, MiSeq analysis demonstrated that 83% of blastocysts derived from sgRNA2b-Cas9 complex microinjections had four or fewer different types of indels (Fig. 1g), suggesting that editing occurred before or at the two-cell stage. By contrast, only 53% of embryos microinjected with sgRNA2b and Cas9 mRNA exhibited this range of indels. Furthermore, a greater proportion of blastocysts that formed after sgRNA2b and Cas9 mRNA microinjection had six or more different types of detectable indels (42%) compared to those that formed after microinjection of the sgRNA2b-Cas9 complex (8%). This increased mutational spectrum suggests that, following Cas9 mRNA injection, DNA editing occurred between the three- and four-cell stages. Consistent with previous reports²¹, we observed a stereotypic pattern in the types of indels detected in independently targeted embryos, including the representative 28-bp deletion (Extended Data Fig. 5c), which was distinct from those induced in human ES cells.

As well as lacking SOX17 and OCT4 expression, mouse embryos microinjected with the sgRNA2b-Cas9 complex recapitulated other reported OCT4-null phenotypes, such as downregulation of PDGFRA, SOX7, GATA6 and GATA4 in the primitive endoderm (Extended Data Fig. 5d). Consistent with the role of OCT4 in repressing trophectoderm genes9, the few ICM cells that could be detected in sgRNA2b-Cas9 microinjected embryos expressed CDX2 ectopically (Extended Data Fig. 5d). When plated in mouse ES cell derivation conditions, these embryos failed to generate ICM outgrowths, and instead differentiated into trophoblast-like cells (Extended Data Fig. 5e). By contrast, blastocysts derived from non-injected embryos formed ICM outgrowths in most instances, as did blastocysts from embryos microinjected with Cas9 protein alone or an sgRNA-Cas9 complex targeting Dmc1 (a gene not essential for preimplantation development). Having thus determined sgRNA2b to be an efficient and specific guide capable of generating a null mutation of POU5F1 or Pou5f1 in human ES cells and mouse preimplantation embryos, respectively, we next used this guide together with our optimized microinjection technique to target POU5F1 in human preimplantation embryos.

Targeting POU5F1 in human preimplantation embryos

To test whether OCT4 is required in human embryos, we performed CRISPR-Cas9 editing on thawed in vitro fertilized (IVF) zygotes that were donated as surplus to infertility treatment. We microinjected 37 zygotes with the sgRNA2b-Cas9 ribonucleoprotein complex (Supplementary Video 1), and 17 zygotes with Cas9 protein alone to control for the microinjection technique. Of the zygotes that were microinjected with sgRNA2b-Cas9, 30 embryos retained both pronuclei during microinjection, with pronuclear fading observed approximately 6 h later and cytokinesis on average 5 h later (Supplementary Video 2). These timings are similar to those previously published^{22,23} and indicate that microinjection was performed when the embryos were in S phase of the cell cycle (Fig. 2a). Genome editing by the ribonucleoprotein complex has been estimated²⁴ to start after approximately 3 h *in vitro* and to persist for 12-24 h, so CRISPR-Cas9-induced DSBs are likely to be formed during late S phase or subsequently at G2 phase. In seven of the zygotes that were microinjected with sgRNA2b-Cas9, the pronuclei had already faded after thawing, showing that they had exited S phase and were undergoing syngamy. These embryos consequently underwent cell division approximately 3 h after microinjection. In these embryos, editing is likely to have occurred during the G1 phase of the next cell cycle, at the two-cell stage (Fig. 2a), which would promote mosaicism.

Time-lapse microscopy of the embryos showed that the timings of cleavage divisions following pronuclear fading were similar between embryos microinjected with Cas9 protein or sgRNA2b-Cas9 (Fig. 2b, c). By the eight-cell stage, cleavage arrest was observed in 62% (23 out of 37) of sgRNA2b-Cas9-microinjected embryos compared to 53% (9 out of 17) of Cas9-microinjected control embryos (Fig. 2d). As developmental arrest at the onset of EGA at the eight-cell stage correlates strongly with an uploidy in IVF embryos²⁵, we also sought to determine embryo karyotypes. We performed low-pass wholegenome sequencing, which has been shown to accurately estimate gross chromosome anomalies²⁶. We collected blastomeres from sgRNA2b-Cas9-microinjected embryos arrested up to the eight-cell stage and detected chromosomal loss or gain in 83% (five out of six) of these embryos (Extended Data Fig. 6a), which is consistent with rates reported by preimplantation genetic screening^{26,27}. Trophectoderm biopsies of a subset of blastocysts that developed following sgRNA2b-Cas9 microinjection showed that 60% (three out of five) were euploid (Fig. 2e, Extended Data Fig. 6a). The other two blastocysts exhibited karyotypic abnormalities, including the loss of chromosome 16 (Extended Data Fig. 6b), an abnormality frequently observed in human preimplantation embryos and thus likely to be unrelated to targeting²⁵. In the Cas9microinjected control group, 57% (four out of seven) of blastocysts were euploid, and aneuploidies were observed in the remaining three blastocysts, including the loss of chromosome 14 in two sibling-matched control embryos, and the gain of chromosome 15 and 18 (Fig. 2e, Extended Data Fig. 6a, b). Altogether, these data suggest that CRISPR-Cas9 targeting does not increase the rate of karyotypic anomalies in human embryos.

Forty-seven per cent (8 out of 17) of Cas9-microinjected control embryos developed to the blastocyst stage, a rate equivalent to those of uninjected controls²⁸, suggesting that the microinjection technique did not affect embryo viability (Fig. 2d). However, significantly fewer of the sgRNA2b-Cas9-microinjected embryos-only 19% (7 out of 37)developed to the blastocyst stage (Fig. 2d, P = 0.03). The blastocysts that formed following sgRNA2b-Cas9 protein microinjection were of variable quality (Extended Data Fig. 6c). Although all blastocysts had a discernible blastocoel cavity, only some possessed a small compact ICM (Extended Data Fig. 6c), and all retained a thick zona pellucida, in contrast to Cas9-microinjected controls. Embryos arising from zygotes microinjected with sgRNA2b-Cas9 also went through iterative cycles of expanding and initiating blastocyst formation and then collapsing, until some embryos ultimately degenerated (Supplementary Videos 2 and 3). These findings suggest that targeting OCT4 in human embryos reduces both viability and quality of blastocysts.

To measure on-target editing efficiency, we performed targeted deep and/or Sanger sequencing of separate individual cells microdissected from sgRNA2b-Cas9-microinjected embryos arrested before the eight-cell stage, and found indels at the POU5F1 on-target site in 71% (five out of seven) of embryos (Fig. 3a, purple line). The most frequently observed indels in sgRNA2b-Cas9-microinjected embryos were the 2-bp and 3-bp deletions that were observed in the sgRNA2b-induced human ES cells (Fig. 3b, Extended Data Fig. 7a, b). This finding indicates that human ES cells can be used not only to screen sgRNA efficiency, but also to predict the in vivo mutation spectrum induced by CRISPR-Cas9-mediated genome editing. We also detected larger POU5F1 deletions in the human embryos than in human ES cells, similar to our observations in mouse embryos (Fig. 3b, Extended Data Fig. 7a, b). Furthermore, targeted deep and/or Sanger sequencing in edited cells demonstrated that off-target mutations were undetectable above background PCR error rates, confirming the specificity of the sgRNA (Extended Data Fig. 7c, d).

We next assessed mutational signatures in more developmentally advanced embryos, after EGA. Notably, we confirmed that ontarget editing had occurred in eight out of eight sgRNA2b–Cas9microinjected embryos analysed from the eight-cell to the blastocyst stage (Fig. 3a, green line). However, these embryos invariably retained wild-type copies of the *POU5F1* allele in at least one cell (Fig. 3a).



Figure 2 | The developmental potential of human embryos following CRISPR-Cas9-mediated genome editing. a, Schematic of the first cell division in human embryos and time of microinjection. PN, pronuclei; PNF, pronuclear fading. b, Representative human embryo at each developmental stage analysed. B, blastocyst; SB, start of blastocyst formation; SC, start of cavitation. c, Morphokinetic analysis of human development after microinjection. Non-parametric two-tailed Kolmogorov-Smirnov test; NS, not significant. d, Kaplan-Meier survival

In sgRNA2b–Cas9-microinjected human embryos, OCT4 protein expression was downregulated in most cleavage-stage cells and undetectable above background in others, confirming the high efficiency of editing (Fig. 3c, Extended Data Fig. 8a). However, we were able to identify at least one cell that had nuclear OCT4 staining above background levels in all cases (Fig. 3c, Extended Data Fig. 8a). Moreover, despite a significant reduction in cell number (P=0.001), blastocyst-stage embryos also retained OCT4 expression in a subset of cells (Fig. 3d, e, Extended Data Fig. 8b, c). These findings suggest that *POU5F1* targeting efficiency is high, and that only embryos with partial OCT4 expression are able to progress to the blastocyst stage.

To determine whether there is a high degree of editing in embryos before the onset of OCT4 expression, we microinjected four additional human embryos with the sgRNA2b-Cas9 complex and stopped their development before the eight-cell stage. One-hundred per cent (four out of four) of these embryos had detectable indels, with two embryos lacking wild-type POU5F1 alleles (Fig. 3a, black line). In one embryo, editing occurred in all blastomeres, although one blastomere retained one copy of the wild-type allele. In another embryo, although four out of five blastomeres had been edited, one blastomere retained both copies of the wild-type allele. Together with the cleavage-arrested embryos above, these data show that in 45% (five out of eleven) of cleavage stage embryos (either stopped or developmentally arrested), all of the cells analysed from each embryo had no detectable POU5F1 wild-type alleles, indicating high rates of editing. In addition, these data suggest that OCT4 has an unexpectedly earlier function in humans than in mice, before blastocyst formation.

curve of human embryos following microinjection of Cas9 protein or sgRNA2b–Cas9 ribonucleoprotein complex. Zygotic *POU5F1* expression is initiated between the four- and eight-cell stages. Chi-squared test comparing the survival trend across time. *P < 0.05. e, Karyotype analysis by whole-genome sequencing of human blastocysts following microinjection of Cas9 protein or sgRNA2b–Cas9 ribonucleoprotein complex. Representative euploid embryos are shown.

Loss of OCT4 associated with gene mis-expression

To identify globally which genes might be affected by the loss of OCT4, we microdissected single cells from microinjected embryos at the blastocyst stage. We adapted a method to isolate both RNA and DNA from single cells²⁹ in order to perform RNA-seq and targeted deep or Sanger sequencing of on-target and putative off-target sites. Principal component analysis showed that cells from sgRNA2b–Cas9-microinjected human blastocysts clustered distinctly from those derived from Cas9-microinjected controls (Fig. 4a). Notably, the cluster from sgRNA2b–Cas9-microinjected embryos contained not only cells that were homozygous null mutant for *POU5F1*, but also those that were wild-type or heterozygous. This finding suggests that loss of *POU5F1* may impose non-cell autonomous effects on gene expression in neighbouring wild-type or heterozygous cells.

Differential gene expression analysis indicated that the genes that were most highly mis-expressed in the sgRNA2b–Cas9-targeted human blastocysts (compared to the Cas9 controls) included those that we previously identified as highly enriched in the epiblast, including *NANOG*, *KLF17*, *DPPA5*, *ETV4*, *TDGF1* and *VENTX* (Extended Data Fig. 9a, Supplementary Table 1). Immunofluorescence analysis confirmed that even in cells that retained OCT4, the expression of NANOG was absent (Fig. 4b, Extended Data Fig. 8c). In striking contrast, *OCT4*null mouse blastocysts maintained Nanog expression in the ICM (Fig. 4b, Extended Data Fig. 8d, e), as previously reported^{9,18}.

In OCT4-null cells, several trophectoderm-associated genes were also downregulated, including CDX2, HAND1, DLX3, TEAD3, PLAC8 and GATA2 (Extended Data Fig. 9a, Supplementary Table 1). We confirmed



Figure 3 | **Genotypic characterization of OCT4-targeted human embryos. a**, Proportion of *POU5F1*-null, heterozygous or wild-type (WT) cells in each human embryo. The number of separate individual cells analysed is indicated. Embryos 2, 5, 7 and 8 were microinjected with Cas9 protein as a control. All other embryos were microinjected with the sgRNA2b–Cas9 ribonucleoprotein complex. The development of some embryos was stopped and they were removed from culture for analysis, while others were analysed following cleavage arrest. **b**, The types and relative proportions of indel mutations observed compared to all observable indel mutations within each human embryo. **c**, Immunofluorescence analysis for OCT4 (green) and DAPI nuclear staining (blue) in an uninjected control cleavage stage

loss of GATA2 protein expression in human sgRNA2b–Cas9-injected embryos (Fig. 4c, Extended Data Fig. 8f). Coupled with the failure to maintain a fully expanded blastocyst, this finding suggests that the integrity of the trophectoderm may be compromised in OCT4-targeted embryos. To investigate this further, we performed immunofluorescence analysis for ZO-1, which incorporates into tight junctions during trophectoderm formation. In sgRNA2b–Cas9-targeted human blastocysts, ZO-1 expression was interrupted, patchy and diffuse compared to the uniform network-like distribution in uninjected control embryos (Fig. 4d). By contrast, in mouse *Oct4*-null embryos, expression of trophectoderm markers such as *Cdx2*, *Hand1* and *Gata3* is upregulated⁹.

In addition, primitive endoderm markers such as *GATA4* were downregulated in sgRNA2b–Cas9-microinjected embryos compared to Cas9 controls. Immunofluorescence analysis suggested that SOX17 protein expression was also downregulated (Fig. 3d, Extended Data human embryo or an embryo that developed following sgRNA2b–Cas9 microinjection (n=5). Confocal *z*-section. Arrowhead, OCT4-expressing cell. Scale bars, 100 µm. **d**, Immunofluorescence analysis for OCT4 (green), SOX17 (red) and DAPI nuclear staining (blue) in an uninjected control human blastocyst (n=3) or a blastocyst that developed following sgRNA2b–Cas9 microinjection (n=3). Confocal *z*-section. Scale bars, 100 µm. **e**, Quantification of the number of DAPI- or OCT4-positive nuclei in uninjected control human blastocysts (n=3) compared to blastocysts that developed following sgRNA2b–Cas9 microinjection (n=5). One-tailed *t*-test. **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.

Fig. 8b). Moreover, we were surprised to observe ectopic expression of *PAX6* in some cells from sgRNA2b–Cas9-edited human blastocysts (Extended Data Fig. 9a, Supplementary Table 1). The lack of expression of genes associated with all three lineages in the blastocysts suggests that OCT4-targeted embryos either failed to initiate the expression of these genes or downregulated their expression as development progressed. To determine whether the gene expression patterns in OCT4-targeted cells more closely resemble those of cells from earlier stages of human development, we integrated our data with a previously published dataset comprising all stages of human preimplantation development^{3,30} (Fig. 4e, Extended Data Fig. 9b). This revealed that while cells from OCT4-targeted embryos were progressing towards the transcriptional state of the blastocyst, they were more dispersed and heterogeneous in their gene expression. Together, our data suggest that the integrity of the human blastocyst is compromised as a consequence of OCT4



Figure 4 | **Phenotypic characterization of OCT4-targeted human embryos. a**, Principal component analysis of single-cell RNA-seq data showing comparisons between the cells from human blastocysts that developed following microinjection of the sgRNA2b-Cas9 ribonucleoprotein complex (filled shapes) and Cas9-microinjected controls (unfilled shapes). The genotype of each cell is distinguished by colour. Five samples failed repeated genotyping but the RNA quality is good and these are listed as Unknown. Each data point represents a single cell. **b**, Immunofluorescence analysis for OCT4 (green), NANOG (red) and DAPI nuclear staining (blue) in a human or a mouse uninjected control blastocyst that developed after sgRNA2b-Cas9 microinjection (mouse: *n* = 7; human: *n* = 3). Confocal *z*-section. Scale bars, 100 μm. **c**, Immunofluorescence analysis for OCT4 (green), GATA2

downregulation. As a result, all lineages are negatively affected, pointing to a functional role for OCT4 in early human development.

Discussion

CRISPR–Cas9-mediated genome editing represents a transformative method to evaluate the function of putative regulators of human preimplantation development. We have demonstrated the importance of initially screening sgRNA efficiencies and mutagenic patterns before targeting in human embryos, as sgRNAs were not equivalently efficient in inducing *POU5F1*-null mutations despite scoring highly by *in silico* predictions. We have shown that OCT4 loss has different consequences in human and mouse embryos, consistent with other differences reported between these species. For example, pharmacological inhibition of FGF and downstream ERK signalling leads to ectopic expression of pluripotency factors in the mouse, but not the human at equivalent stages^{31,32}.

Unexpectedly, our data suggest that OCT4 may be required earlier in human development than in mice, for instance during the cleavage or morula stages, when OCT4 expression is initiated (Fig. 4f). As the mouse maternal–zygotic *Pou5f1*-null mutation phenocopies the zygotic-null mutation⁹, it is unlikely that persistence of maternal transcripts or proteins compensates for the loss of OCT4 expression, and (magenta) and DAPI nuclear staining (blue) in an uninjected control human blastocyst (n = 3) or in a blastocyst that developed following sgRNA2b-Cas9 microinjection (n = 3). Confocal projection. Scale bars, 100 µm. **d**, Immunofluorescence analysis for OCT4 (green), ZO-1 (magenta) and DAPI nuclear staining (blue) in an uninjected control human blastocyst (n = 2) or in a blastocyst that developed following sgRNA2b-Cas9 microinjection (n = 2). Confocal projection. Scale bars, 100 µm. **e**, Principal component analysis of a previously published human single-cell RNA-seq dataset³⁰ integrated with data from embryos microinjected with Cas9 protein or the sgRNA2b-Cas9 complex. Each point represents a single cell. **f**, Diagram summarizing the observations made in the study and their relationship to the onset of zygotic *POU5F1* expression.

any additional compensatory mechanisms that may be present in the mouse do not appear to be conserved in the regulation of human development. The mis-expression of genes associated with all three blastocyst lineages in OCT4-targeted human blastocysts further suggests that OCT4 may have an essential function before this stage. In the future, it would be informative to determine whether OCT4 mutation leads to changes in gene expression before the blastocyst stage, which may explain the failure of blastocyst development. Alternatively, inducing *POU5F1*-null mutations in human embryos slightly later in development, following the onset of EGA, may bypass its earlier critical role and thereby delineate its function in the fully formed blastocyst.

Notably, CRISPR–Cas9-mediated genome editing does not appear to increase genomic instability or developmental arrest before EGA, suggesting that this method could be used to understand the function of other putative lineage specifiers. In future, a number of adaptations may provide further advantages. Co-injection of the CRISPR–Cas9 components with sperm during intracytoplasmic sperm injection³³ might allow more time for targeting before the first cell division, further increasing editing efficiency. Indeed, this approach has been used recently in human embryos⁸. Introducing multiple sgRNAs might increase targeting efficiency, but may also increase the risk of off-target mutations. Alternatively, introducing the CRISPR–Cas9 components



alongside a donor oligonucleotide complementary to the target locus and harbouring a premature stop codon should favour the generation of null mutations via homology-directed repair. This approach may not be straightforward, given that recent attempts to correct an abnormal paternal gene variant were suggested to use the maternal allele for HDR rather than an introduced template⁸, although this requires further validation³⁴. Targeting genes that are not essential for, or have a later or more specific role in, pre-implantation development will also inform our interpretation of the OCT4 phenotype. At present, we cannot be certain that the early developmental arrest is associated with the loss of OCT4 rather than some non-specific effect of injecting both Cas9 and the sgRNA, as opposed to Cas9 alone. However, a previous study showed that human embryos in which a non-essential gene was targeted exhibited rates of blastocyst formation similar to controls⁸. This suggests that the effects we see here are due to loss of OCT4. In summary, we have developed an optimized approach to target OCT4 in human embryos, thus suggesting that OCT4 has a different function in humans than in mice. This proof of principle lays out a framework for future investigations that could transform our understanding of human biology, thereby leading to improvements in the establishment and therapeutic use of stem cells and in IVF treatments.

Online Content Methods, along with any additional Extended Data display items and Source Data, are available in the online version of the paper; references unique to these sections appear only in the online paper.

Received 12 June; accepted 29 August 2017. Published online 20 September 2017.

- 1. Chen, A. E. et al. Optimal timing of inner cell mass isolation increases the efficiency of human embryonic stem cell derivation and allows generation of sibling cell lines. *Cell Stem Cell* **4**, 103–106 (2009).
- Niakan, K. K. & Eggan, K. Analysis of human embryos from zygote to blastocyst 2. reveals distinct gene expression patterns relative to the mouse. Dev. Biol. 375, 54-64 (2013)
- Blakeley, P. et al. Defining the three cell lineages of the human blastocyst by 3. single-cell RNA-seq. *Development* **142**, 3613 (2015). Jinek, M. *et al.* A programmable dual-RNA-guided DNA endonuclease in
- 4. adaptive bacterial immunity. Science 337, 816-821 (2012).
- 5. Kang, X. et al. Introducing precise genetic modifications into human 3PN embryos by CRISPR/Cas-mediated genome editing. J. Assist. Reprod. Genet.
- Saj, 581–588 (2016).
 Tang, L. *et al.* CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene editing in human zygotes using Cas9 protein. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* 292, 525–533 (2017). 6.
- Liang, P. et al. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene editing in human tripronuclear zygotes. *Protein Cell* **6**, 363–372 (2015). Ma, H. et al. Correction of a pathogenic gene mutation in human embryos. 7.
- 8. Nature 548, 413–419 (2017). Frum, T. *et al.* Oct4 cell-autonomously promotes primitive endoderm
- 9. development in the mouse blastocyst. Dev. Cell 25, 610-622 (2013). 10.
- Nichols, J. *et al.* Formation of pluripotent stem cells in the mammalian embryo depends on the POU transcription factor Oct4. *Cell* **95**, 379–391 (1998). 11. Bertero, A. et al. Optimized inducible shRNA and CRISPR/Cas9 platforms for
- in vitro studies of human development using hPSCs. Development 143, 4405–4418 (2016). 12.
- Hsu, P. D. et al. DNA targeting specificity of RNA-guided Cas9 nucleases. Nat. Biotechnol. **31**, 827–832 (2013). Niwa, H., Masui, S., Chambers, I., Smith, A. G. & Miyazaki, J. Phenotypic
- 13 complementation establishes requirements for specific POU domain and generic transactivation function of Oct-3/4 in embryonic stem cells. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **22**, 1526–1536 (2002).
- Schöler, H. R., Ruppert, S., Suzuki, N., Chowdhury, K. & Gruss, P. New type of
- POU domain in germ line-specific protein Oct-4. *Nature* **344**, 435–439 (1990). Kim, D. *et al.* Digenome-seq: genome-wide profiling of CRISPR-Cas9 off-target 15.
- Kim, D., Kim, S., Kim, S., Park, J. & Kim, J. S. Genome-wide target specificities of CRISPR-Cas9 nucleases revealed by multiplex Digenome-seq. *Genome Res.* 16. 26, 406-415 (2016).
- Wang, H. *et al.* One-step generation of mice carrying mutations in multiple genes by CRISPR/Cas-mediated genome engineering. *Cell* **153**, 910–918 (2013). 17.
- 18
- by CRISPR/Cas-mediated genome engineering. Cell **153**, 910–918 (2013). Le Bin, G. C. *et al.* Oct4 is required for lineage priming in the developing inner cell mass of the mouse blastocyst. *Development* **141**, 1001–1010 (2014). Yen, S. T. *et al.* Somatic mosaicism and allele complexity induced by CRISPR/ Cas9 RNA injections in mouse zygotes. *Dev. Biol.* **393**, 3–9 (2014). Cho, S. W., Lee, J., Carroll, D., Kim, J. S. & Lee, J. Heritable gene knockout in *Caenorhabditis elegans* by direct injection of Cas9-sgRNA ribonucleoproteins. *Genetics* **195**, 1177–1180 (2013). Shin, H. Y. *et al.* (2PISPR/Case fargeting events cause complex delations and 20
- Shin, H. Y. et al. CRISPR/Cas9 targeting events cause complex deletions and 21. insertions at 17 sites in the mouse genome. Nat. Commun. 8, 15464 (2017).

- Capmany, G., Taylor, A., Braude, P. R. & Bolton, V. N. The timing of pronuclear 22. Reprod. 2, 299–306 (1996).
- Balakier, H., MacLusky, N. J. & Casper, R. F. Characterization of the first cell 23. cycle in human zygotes: implications for cryopreservation. Fertil. Steril. 59, 359–365 (1993). Kim, S., Kim, D., Cho, S. W., Kim, J. & Kim, J. S. Highly efficient RNA-guided
- 24. genome editing in human cells via delivery of purified Cas9 ribonucleoproteins. *Genome Res.* **24**, 1012–1019 (2014). Vera-Rodriguez, M., Chavez, S. L., Rubio, C., Reijo Pera, R. A. & Simon, C.
- 25. Prediction model for aneuploidy in early human embryo development revealed by single-cell analysis. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 7601 (2015). Wells, D. *et al.* Clinical utilisation of a rapid low-pass whole genome sequencing
- 26. technique for the diagnosis of aneuploidy in human embryos prior to implantation. *J. Med. Genet.* **51**, 553–562 (2014). Maurer, M. *et al.* Chromosomal aneuploidies and early embryonic
- developmental arrest. Int. J. Fertil. Steril. **9**, 346–353 (2015). Wong, C. C. et al. Non-invasive imaging of human embryos before embryonic 28. genome activation predicts development to the blastocyst stage
- Nat. Biotechnol. **28**, 1115–1121 (2010). Macaulay, I. C. *et al.* G&T-seq: parallel sequencing of single-cell genomes and 29. transcriptomes. Nat. Methods 12, 519-522 (2015).
- 30 Yan, L. et al. Single-cell RNA-seq profiling of human preimplantation embryos and embryonic stem cells. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **20**, 1131–1139 (2013). 31. Roode, M. et al. Human hypoblast formation is not dependent on FGF
- signalling. *Dev. Biol.* **361**, 358–363 (2012). Kuijk, E. W. *et al.* The roles of FGF and MAP kinase signaling in the segregation 32
- of the epiblast and hypoblast cell lineages in bovine and human embryos. Development **139**, 871–882 (2012). Suzuki, T., Asami, M. & Perry, A. C. Asymmetric parental genome engineering
- 33.
- Suzuki, I., Asami, M. & Perry, A. C. Asymmetric parental genome engineering by Cas9 during mouse meiotic exit. *Sci. Rep.* **4**, 7621 (2014). Egli, D., Zuccaro, M. V., Kosicki, M., Church, G. M., Bradley, A. & Jasin, M. Inter-homologue repair in fertilized human eggs? Preprint at http://dx.doi. org/10.1101/181255 (2017). 34.

Supplementary Information is available in the online version of the paper.

Acknowledgements We thank the generous donors whose contributions have enabled this research; M. Macnamee, P. Snell and L. Christie at Bourn Hall Clinic for their support and assistance with the donation of embryos; Hiroda, P. Singh and J. Schimenti for the DMC1 sgRNA sequence and product; R. Lovell-Badge, I. Henderson, J. Haber, J. Rossant and A. Handyside for discussions and advice; the Wellcome Trust policy advisers, especially K. Littler and S. Rappaport, as well as J. Lawford-Davies and M. Chatfield for advice and support; and the Francis Crick Institute's Biological Resources, Advanced Light Microscopy, High Throughput Sequencing, Research Illustration (Fig. 2a) and Bioinformatics facilities. D.W. was supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Oxford Biomedical Research Centre Programme. N.K. was supported by the University of Oxford Clarendon Fund and Brasenose College Joint Scholarship. A.B. was supported by a British Heart Foundation PhD Studentship (FS/11/77/39327). K.E.S. was supported by the NIHR Cambridge BRC. L.V. was supported by core grant funding from the Wellcome Trust and Multiple Scholarship (FS/11/77/19927). Medical Research Council (PSAG028). J.-S.K. was supported by the Institute for Basic Science (IBS-R021-D1). Work in the K.K.N. and J.M.A.T. labs was supported by the Francis Crick Institute, which receives its core funding from Cancer Research UK, the UK Medical Research Council, and the Wellcome Trust (FC001120 and FC001193). Work in the K.K.N. laboratory was also supported by the Rosa Beddington Fund.

Author Contributions K.K.N. conceived the project, designed and performed experiments, microinjected embryos and analysed data. N.M.E.F. performed single-cell analysis, human ES cell experiments, human and mouse embryo phenotyping and genotyping. A.M. performed genotyping of human ES cells, stem cell derivation, mouse embryo phenotyping and generated the sgRNAs. K.E.S. generated the inducible human ES cells, independently performed human ES cell phenotyping and performed flow cytometry analysis. A.B designed and assisted with human ES cell experiments and L.V. and A.B. supervised the experiments. N.K. and D.W. performed cytogenetic analysis and independently confirmed human embryo genotyping analysis. K.E. coordinated donation of embryos to the research project. B.E.P. generated some of the sgRNAs used in mice and supplied sgRNA sequences. P.B. and J.K. performed the RNA-seq analysis. R.L. and S.E.W. assisted with phenotyping. D.K. and J.-S.K. performed Digenome-seq analysis. V.M. assisted with genotyping. K.K.N., J.M.A.T. and N.M.E.F. wrote the manuscript with help from all of the authors. All authors assisted with experimental design, generated figures and/or commented on the manuscript.

Author Information Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints. The authors declare competing financial interests: details are available in the online version of the paper. Readers are welcome to comment on the online version of the paper. Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to K.K.N. (kathy.niakan@crick.ac.uk).

Reviewer Information Nature thanks D. Egli, J. Kimmelman and the other anonymous reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

5 OCTOBER 2017 | VOL 550 | NATURE | 73



METHODS

Ethics statement. This study was approved by the UK Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority (HFEA): research licence number 0162, and the Health Research Authority's Research Ethics Committee (Cambridge Central reference number 16/EE/0067).

The process of licence approval entailed independent peer review along with consideration by the HFEA Licence Committee. Our research is compliant with the HFEA Code of Practice and has undergone inspections by the HFEA since the licence was granted. Research donors were recruited from patients at Bourn Hall clinic.

Informed consent was obtained from all couples that donated spare embryos following IVF treatment. Before giving consent, people donating embryos were provided with all of the necessary information about the research project, an opportunity to receive counselling and the conditions that apply within the licence and the HFEA Code of Practice. Specifically, patients signed a consent form authorizing the use of genome editing techniques including CRISPR–Cas9 on donated embryos. Donors were informed that after the embryos had been genetically modified their development would be stopped before 14 days post-fertilization and that subsequent biochemical and genetic studies would be performed. Informed consent was also obtained from donors for all the results of these studies to be published in scientific journals. No financial inducements were offered for donation. Consent was not obtained to perform genetic tests on patients and no such tests were performed. The patient information sheets and consent document provided to patients are publicly available (https://www.crick.ac.uk/research/a-z-researchers/researchers-k-o/kathy-niakan/hfea-licence/).

Embryos surplus to the patient's IVF treatment were donated cryopreserved and were transferred to the Francis Crick Institute where they were thawed and used in the research project.

Power analysis and data acquisition. The R statistical package pwr was used to determine the number of human embryos required to determine the function of OCT4 compared to microinjected controls. A two-sample *t*-test was performed to a significance level of P < 0.05. The effect size was 0.8, which assumes an observable difference between the CRISPR-injected and control embryos. The sample size was estimated to be 25 CRISPR-targeted embryos.

Unless stated otherwise, the experiments were not randomized and the investigators were not blinded to allocation during experiments and outcome assessment. sgRNA design to target POU5F1. So as not to lower the targeting efficiency, we determined whether the sgRNAs targeted polymorphic regions of the human genome. Most sgRNAs had a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) frequency of less than 0.1% in the human population, with the exception of the sgRNA targeting exon 4, which had an SNP frequency of 32% within the sgRNA target sequence as determined by the 1000 Genomes project³⁵. We retained this sgRNA as it had the highest in silico score and overlapped with a site that has been previously shown in complementarity studies to be functionally required for pluripotency, suggesting that even an in-frame deletion would render a loss of function in the gene¹³. We also favoured the use of sgRNAs with sequence conservation of the PAM and sgRNA seed sequence (approximately 12-bp region proximal to the PAM sequence) that would allow us to determine efficiency in mouse embryos. In the case of high-scoring sgRNAs targeting exon 2d, there is no mouse equivalent sgRNA sequence that we could evaluate, and for exon 3, we could not design sgRNAs where the predicted cut site would be within the exon; these options were therefore excluded.

sgRNA production and ribonucleoprotein preparation. sgRNAs were prepared as previously described³⁶. The sgRNA was cloned into the bicistronic expression vector px330 (Addgene; 42230³⁷) using the Bbs1 restriction site. The sgRNA sequence from the correctly targeted px330 vector was amplified using the Q5 hot start high fidelity DNA polymerase (NEB; M0493) and the PCR product was *in vitro* transcribed using the MEGAshortscript T7 kit (ThermoFisher Scientific; AM1354) and purified using the Zymo RNA Clean & Concentrator columns (Zymo Research; R1017). The sgRNA and *Cas9* mRNA (TriLink Biotechnologies; L61256) and recombinant Cas9 protein (Toolgen; TGEN CP1) were individually re-suspended in RNase-free water, aliquoted and stored at -80 °C until use. Prior to microinjection, the ribonucleoprotein complex was prepared by centrifying the Cas9 protein for 1 min at 14,000 r.p.m. at 4°C and transferring the supernatant to a fresh tube containing the sgRNA. This was incubated at 37 °C for 15 min, pulse spun and transferred to a fresh tube for microinjection.

Mouse zygote collection. Four- to eight-week-old (C57BL6 × CBA) F1 female mice were super-ovulated using injection of 5 IU of pregnant mare serum gonadotrophin (PMSG; Sigma-Aldrich). Forty-eight hours after PMSG injection, 5 IU of human chorionic gonadotrophin (HCG; Sigma-Aldrich) was administered. Superovulated females were set up for mating with eight-week-old or older (C57BL6 × CBA) F1 males. Mice were maintained on a 12h light-dark cycle.

Mouse zygotes were isolated in Global total with HEPES (LifeGlobal; LGTH-100) under mineral oil (Origio; ART-4008-5P) and cumulus cells were removed with hyaluronidase (Sigma-Aldrich; H4272). All animal research was performed in compliance with the UK Home Office Licence Number 70/8560.

Human embryo thaw. Human zygotes were thawed using Quinn's Advantage thaw kit (Origio; ART-8016). Briefly, upon thawing the embryos were transferred to 3 ml of 0.5% sucrose thawing medium and incubated for 5 min at 37°C, followed by 3 ml of 0.2% sucrose thawing medium for 10 min at 37°C. The embryos were then washed through seven drops of diluent solution before culture. Human blastocysts were thawed using the Blast thaw kit (Origio; 10542010) following the manufacturer's instructions.

Human and mouse microinjection and culture. Human and mouse embryo microinjections were performed in Global Total medium with HEPES under mineral oil on a heated stage with a holding pipette (Research Instruments) and a Femtojet 4i microinjection manipulator (Eppendorf) set at approximately 40 injection pressure and 20 constant pressure. Embryos were microinjected with a mixture of *Cas9* mRNA and sgRNA or the ribonulceoprotein complex back-filled into microfilament glass capillary injection needles (World Precision Instruments; TW100F-6) pulled using a pipette puller (Suter; P-97 micropipette puller). The microinjection procedure took ~15 min to complete.

Human or mouse embryos were cultured in drops of pre-equilibrated Global medium (LifeGlobal; LGGG-20) supplemented with 5 mg ml⁻¹ protein supplement (LifeGlobal; LGPS-605) and overlaid with mineral oil (Origio; ART-4008-5P). Pre-implantation embryos were incubated at 37 °C and 5.5% CO₂ in an EmbryoScope+ time-lapse incubator (Vitrolife) for either 3–4 d (mouse) or 5–6 d (human).

Genomic DNA extraction and genotyping. Human ES cells were lysed using proteinase K digestion ($10 \mu g \, ml^{-1}$ in lysis buffer ($100 \, mM$ Tris buffer pH 8.5, 5 mM EDTA, 0.2% SDS, 200 mM NaCl)) overnight at 37 °C. gDNA was extracted from the lysed cells using phenol:chloroform extraction followed by ethanol precipitation. For the time-course genotypic analysis, bulk cells were collected every 24 h and PCR products were amplified from the extraction genomic DNA. These products were used to generate multiplexed libraries for targeted amplicon sequencing by MiSeq according to the manufacturer's instructions (Illumina).

Genomic DNA from fixed embryos (human and mouse) was isolated using the alkaline lysis method; $25 \,\mu$ l of 50 mM NaOH was added to the sample and incubated at 95 °C for 5 min. Samples were neutralized by adding 2.5 μ l of 1 M Tris-HCL pH 8.0.

The Illustra Single Cell GenomiPhi DNA Amplification Kit (GE Healthcare Life Sciences; 29108039) was used according to manufacturer's instructions to amplify gDNA from unfixed mouse blastocysts. DNA was purified by adding 30µl of 20 mM EDTA, 5µl of 3 M sodium acetate and 137µl ice cold ethanol. Tubes were mixed by inverting and centrifuged at 16,000g for 20 min. Supernatant was removed and DNA was washed in 100µl ice cold 70% ethanol by mixing and centrifuging for 5 min. DNA was resuspended by adding 20µl H₂O and incubating for 20 min at 4°C before mixing by gentle pipetting. These products were used to generate multiplexed libraries for targeted amplicon sequencing by MiSeq according to the manufacturer's instructions (Illumina).

To genotype cells from unfixed Cas9 control or OCT4-targeted human embryos, genomic DNA was isolated from either an individual single cell (1-cell embryos) or following microdissection of multiple individual single-cell samples from each embryo or approximately five cells from trophectoderm biopsies. The samples were genotyped following whole genome amplification (WGA) using one of the following protocols:

(1) For the single cell samples used in the either the modified G&T-seq protocol²⁹ or isolated solely for genotyping, genomic DNA was amplified using the REPLI-g Single Cell Kit (Qiagen; 150343) according to the manufacturer's guidelines. The DNA samples were quantified using high-sensitivity Qubit assay. In preparation for Sanger sequencing and MiSeq analysis, the WGA DNA product was diluted 1:100 in nuclease-free water, and $2\,\mu l$ of this product was used as the template in a PCR reaction containing 25 µl Phusion High Fidelity PCR Master Mix (New England Biolabs), 2.5 µl 5 µM forward primer, 2.5 µl 5 µM reverse primer and 18 µl nuclease-free water. Thermocycling settings used were as follows: 98 °C 30 s, 35 cycles of 98 °C 10 s, 58 °C 30 s, 72 °C 30 s, and a final extension of 72 °C for 5 min. Gel electrophoresis confirmed that the size of the PCR product corresponded to the expected amplicon size. PCR amplicons were analysed by Sanger sequencing and indels were quantified by TIDE webtool³⁸. Results of the TIDE analysis were also verified by manual visual inspection of the Sanger chromatograms. For MiSeq library preparation, quantification, pooling and denaturation were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions (Illumina). PCR amplicons were cleaned using an equal volume of AMPure XP beads according to the manufacturer's instructions (Beckman Coulter). Index PCR was performed using 10µl of cleaned amplicon, 12.5µl Q5 high fidelity 2X Master Mix (NEB; M0492S), 1.25µl



Nextera XT Index 1 primer and 1.25 µl Nextera XT Index 2 primer (Nextera XT Index kit; FC-131-1001). The thermocycling parameters used were: 98 °C for 30 s, 35 cycles of 98 °C for 10 s, optimized annealing temperature for 30 s, 72 °C for 30 s, and a final extension of 72 °C for 2 min. Index PCR was cleaned using equal volume of AMPure XP beads as described previously. Beads were rehydrated with 20 µl nuclease-free water. Five microlitres of the index PCR product was run on a gel to identify any samples with over-abundance of primer dimers, which were subsequently subjected to gel size selection and extraction using QIAquick gel extraction kit (Qiagen; 28704). Index PCR products were quantified using QuantiFluor dsDNA system (Promega; E2670). The concentration was used to determine the dilution required to obtain a 5 µM solution of each sample. Five microlitres of each sample was pooled and the library was spiked with 20% PhiX genomic control (Illumina; FC-110-3001). Sequencing generated paired-end (2 × 250-bp) dual indexed reads. After sequencing, reads were demultiplexed and stored as FASTQ files for downstream processing and analysis. The CRISPR Genome Analyser³⁹

or CRISPR Cas Analyser⁴⁰ tools were used to align the reads and to determine the percentage of non-wild-type reads resulting from editing, as well as assessing the position and size of each indel for all of the PCR amplicons evaluated. Seven single cell samples processed solely for genotyping failed to amplify using any of the sgRNA2b on-target site primers. Eight samples from single cells processed using the modified G&T-seq protocol failed to amplify using any of the sgRNA2b on-target site primers. We further tested these DNA samples using primers up- and down-stream of the sgRNA2b on-target site. We also performed PCR analysis using primers targeting *GAPDH* as a positive control. These samples failed to generate amplicons using any of these primer pairs and were subsequently excluded from the analysis on the basis that they were likely to be of poor quality.

(2) For the samples used in the cytogenetic analysis described below, the cells were subjected to WGA (SurePlex, Rubicon). Out of the 22 OCT4-targeted human embryo samples, three failed WGA using this protocol and were excluded from further analysis and three showed suboptimal amplification. The samples showing some evidence of amplification were processed along with three control samples for genotype analysis. The resulting PCR amplicons were quantified using high sensitivity Qubit assay to establish whether concentrations were in an acceptable range (approximately 3–5 ng μ l⁻¹). Gel electrophoresis confirmed that the size of the PCR product corresponded to the expected amplicon size. Of the 19 WGA products examined from the OCT4-targeted embryos, six failed the targeted PCR amplification and were excluded from further analysis. The rest were processed for genotype analyse using MiSeq targeted deep sequencing. The sequences were analysed using the CRISPR Cas Analyser tool by uploading the FASTQ files and defining the target DNA sequence and unedited sequence as a reference. The genotypes were further confirmed using the IGV software (Broad Institute).

The samples from the protocols above were used for genotyping of on- and putative off-target sites. The samples were amplified using the primers listed in Extended Data Table 1a. Primers were designed to generate amplicons of approximately 250 bp centred around the predicted cut site so as to maximize the detection of a variety of mutations and ensure that each amplicon was sequenced continuously from the forward and reverse barcode. We excluded PCR primers targeting highly polymorphic regions of the genome³⁵.

PCR amplification of the sgRNA2b on-target site was initially performed on all samples using a primer pair generating an amplicon size of 244 bp, which is also suitable for MiSeq analysis. Any samples that failed amplification three times using this primer pair were subjected to amplification using alternative primer pairs listed in Extended Data Table 1a. Where only the original reference genome sequence was identified, the genotype was classified as wild-type. When only edited sequences were detected, the genotype was defined as knockout. Whenever an original reference sequence and an edited sequence were identified in the same cell the corresponding cell was characterised as heterozygous. Where possible we assessed multiple single cells from the same embryo. Putative off-target sites were evaluated using the primer pairs listed in Extended Data Table 1a.

Evaluating potential off-target sites. Putative off-targets were determined using the MIT CRISPR Design tool (http://crispr.mit.edu/), which indicated top scoring off-target sites. We evaluated sequences that had mismatches of three nucleotides or fewer compared to the sgRNA2b sequence. As described previously¹⁷, potential off-target sites were also identified by using the following parameters: 12 base pairs of the sgRNA seed sequence plus an NGG PAM sequence where (N was varied to include all possible nucleotides) were searched against the reference human genome (hg19).

Digenome sequencing. Digenome-seq was performed as described previously^{15,16}. In brief, 20 μ g genomic DNA was incubated with pre-incubated 100 nM recombinant Cas9 protein and 300 nM sgRNA in a reaction volume of 1 ml (100 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM MgCl2, 100 μ g ml⁻¹ BSA, pH 7.9) at 37 °C for 8 h. Digested DNA was mixed with 50 μ g ml⁻¹ RNase A (Qiagen) at 37 °C for 30 min,

and purified again with a DNeasy Tissue Kit (Qiagen). One micrgram of digested DNA was fragmented using the Covaris system and ligated with adaptors using TruSeq DNA libraries. DNA libraries were subjected to whole genome sequencing performed at Macrogen using an Illumina HiSeq X Ten at a sequencing depth of $30-40 \times .$ *In vitro* DNA cleavage scores were calculated using a previously described scoring system¹⁶.

Immunohistochemistry. Embryos and cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 1 h and overnight, respectively, at 4 °C and immunofluorescently analysed as described previously². The primary antibodies used are listed in Extended Data Table 1b. Embryos were placed on coverslip dishes (MatTek) for confocal imaging.

Cytogenetic analysis. To determine the chromosome copy number, single or multiple blastomeres were biopsied from embryos at the cleavage stage and clumps of approximately five cells were microdissected from the trophectoderm of blastocysts. The cells were washed through three drops of a wash buffer (PBS/0.1% polyvinyl alcohol), which had previously been tested to confirm absence of contaminating DNA (Reprogenetics UK). The cells were transferred to 0.2-ml PCR tubes in a volume of 1.5 µl, lysed and subjected to whole-genome amplification (SurePlex, Rubicon) followed by low-pass next generation sequencing (coverage depth $<0.1\times$) (VeriSeq PGS kit, Illumina). Libraries were prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions and sequenced using the MiSeq sequencing platform. Typically, ${\sim}1$ million reads were generated per sample, of which 60–70% successfully mapped to unique genomic sites. Mapped reads were interpreted using BlueFuse Multi software (Illumina) in order to generate chromosome copy number profiles. This strategy has been extensively validated and is widely used for the detection of whole chromosome losses and gains, as well as segmental aneuploidy, in human embryos undergoing preimplantation genetic diagnosis²⁶. Analysis of single blastomeres allowed each chromosomal region of at least 5 Mb to be assigned a copy number of 0, 1, 2, 3 or 4 (corresponding to nullisomy, monosomy, disomy, trisomy or tetrasomy). In trophectoderm samples, composed of several cells, it was also possible to detect the presence of chromosomal mosaicism, indicated when copy number values for a given chromosome had an intermediate value, between the thresholds for assigning 1 and 2 or 2 and 3 chromosome copies⁴¹

Imaging. Confocal immunofluorescence pictures were taken with a Leica SP5 confocal microscope and 3–5-µm-thick optical sections were collected. Quantification was performed manually using Fiji (ImageJ) or automated using MINS 1.3 software⁴².

Epifluorescence images were obtained on an Olympus IX73 using Cell^F software (Olympus Corporation) or on an EVOS FL cell imaging system (AMF4300). Phase contrast images and videos were collected on an Olympus IX73 using with Cell^F software and RI Viewer software (Research Instruments), respectively.

 $\label{eq:constraint} Time-lapse imaging was performed using an EmbryoScope+ time-lapse incubator (Vitrolife) and annotated using the EmbryoViewer software.$

Generation of optimized inducible knockout (OPTiKO) human ES cell lines. The sgRNA sequences were cloned into the pAAV-Puro_siKO-TO vector as previously described¹¹. In brief, complementary single-stranded oligonucleotides (Extended Data Table 1a) were annealed and scarlessly ligated to AarI-digested plasmids between the H1-TO tetracycline-inducible promoters and the scaffold sgRNA sequence. The Cas9 and inducible sgRNA targeting vectors were each inserted into one of the two alleles of the AAVS1 locus by homologous-directed recombination facilitated by two obligate heterodimer ZFNs¹¹. Cells were cultured in the presence of 10 µM ROCK inhibitor Y-27632 (Sigma-Aldrich; Y0503) in medium without antibiotics 24 h before nucleofection. Cells were washed with PBS (Life Technologies; 14190-094) and dissociated with Accutase (Life Technologies; A11105-01) for 5 min at 37 °C. Colonies were mechanically triturated into clumps of 2–3 cells and counted. 2×10^6 cells were nucleofected in 100 μl with a total of 12 μ g DNA (4 μ g each for the two ZFN plasmids, and 2 μ g each for the two targeting vectors) using the Lonza P3 Primary Cell 4D-Nucleofector X Kit and the cycle CA-137 on a Lonza 4D-Nucleofector System. Cells were incubated for 5 min at room temperature, after which antibiotic-free KSR containing 10µM ROCK inhibitor was added. After another 5 min the cell suspension was distributed on pre-plated DR4 (Applied Stem Cell; ASF-1013) drug resistant MEF feeders in antibiotic-free KSR medium. Four days after nucleofection, cells underwent double antibiotic selection with 0.5 µg ml-1 Puromycin (Sigma-Aldrich) and 25 µg ml⁻¹ Geneticin (G418 Sulphate (Gibco)) for 7 days. Targeted colonies appeared after 4-8 d and were mechanically picked and clonally expanded at 10-14 d after transfection.

Extensive genotyping was carried out on the targeted clones to check for correct AAVS1 gene targeting and to exclude the presence of randomly integrated plasmids, as previously described¹¹. Briefly, genomic DNA was extracted using the Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega; A1120). Site-specific integration



was checked for both 5'and 3'ends of each of the two targeting vectors (*Cas9* and inducible sgRNA). Clones were also screened for the absence of the wild-type locus (indicating homozygous targeting) and for the absence of amplicons for both the 5' and 3' ends of the targeting vector backbones (to ensure there was no random integration of the plasmid).

Culture conditions for human ES cells and engineering inducible cell lines. Clonal H9 human ES cells (WiCell) (n = 2 or 3 per sgRNA) were cultured in feeder- and serum-free conditions either in mTeSR1 (Stem Cell Technologies) on growth factor-reduced Matrigel-coated dishes (BD Biosciences) or as previously described⁴³ as indicated in the figure legends. Tetracycline hydrochloride (Sigma-Aldrich; T7660) was used at 1 µg ml⁻¹ to induce guide expression. Human ES cells underwent routine mycoplasma screening and karyotyping.

Flow cytometry. Cells were collected every day for 5 d alongside matched control cells. Cells were dissociated into single-cell suspension using TrypLE Select 1X (Gibco; 12563011) for 5 min at 37 °C. The cell suspension was pelleted, washed with PBS (Life Technologies; 14190-094) then fixed and permeabilized using BD Cytofix/Cytoperm (554714) for 20 min at 4 °C. A 1 \times permeabilization/wash buffer (BD; 554723) containing fetal bovine serum (FBS) and saponin was used for all subsequent wash steps and during antibody incubation unless indicated otherwise. After fixation, cells were washed once then stored at 4 °C until the day 5 sample had been collected, at which point all samples underwent intracellular staining. Cells were blocked for 30 min at room temperature with $1\times$ permeabilization/wash buffer containing 10% donkey serum (Bio-rad; C06SB) and 0.1% Triton X-100 (ThermoFisher Scientific; 85111). Cells were stained with primary antibodies by incubating at room temperature for 1 h and cells were washed three times after each incubation. Negative control secondary-only stained cells and unstained cells were performed on each batch of cells at a given day. Flow cytometry was performed using a Cyan ADP flow cytometer and the Summit software (Beckman Coulter), and 10,000-50,000 events were recorded. FlowJo was used to analyse flow cytometry results. Cells were first gated on the basis of forward and side scatter properties, after which singlets were isolated on the basis of relationship between side scatter area peak area and width. A secondary-only negative control was used to determine the background and OCT4-positive cells were quantified relative to cells that were OCT4-negative in the total bulk population of cells analysed.

RNA isolation from human ES cells for RNA-seq and qRT-PCR. qRT-PCR data presented in Extended Data Fig. 1c were generated as follows: RNA was isolated using TRI reagent (Sigma) and DNase I-treated (Ambion). cDNA was synthesized using a Maxima first strand cDNA synthesis kit (Fermentas). qRT-PCR was performed using SensiMix SYBR low-ROX kit (Bioline) on a QuantStudio 5 machine (ThermoFisher Scientific). Primers pairs used are listed in Extended Data Table 1a. Each sample was run in triplicate and samples were normalized using *GAPDH* as the housekeeping gene, and the results were analysed using the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method

In preparation for RNA-seq of the human ES cells induced to express sgRNA2b, samples were further cleaned using ethanol precipitation. Libraries were prepared using KAPA mRNA HyperPrep kit for Illumina platforms (Roche Sequencing Solutions Inc.)

The qRT–PCR data presented in Extended Data Fig. 2b were generated as follows: RNA was extracted using the GenElute Mammalian Total RNA Miniprep Kit (Sigma-Aldrich; RTN350-1KT) and the On-Column DNase I Digestion kit (Sigma-Aldrich; DNASE70-1SET). Five-hundred nanograms of RNA was reverse-transcribed with SuperScript II (Invitrogen; 18064071). qRT-PCR was performed using 5 ng cDNA and SensiMix SYBR low-ROX (Bioline; QT625-20). qRT–PCR was performed on a Stratagene Mx-3005P (Agilent Technologies) and the results were analysed using the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method. Each sample was run in duplicate and samples were normalized using *PBGD* as the housekeeping gene.

G&T-seq. Samples were processed using a previously published protocol that was adapted where indicated²⁹. Single cells from microdissected human embryos were picked using 100 μ m inner diameter Stripper pipette (Origio) and transferred to individual low bind RNase-free tubes containing 2.5 μ l RLP plus buffer (Qiagen; 79216).

To separate RNA and genomic DNA (gDNA), $50 \mu l$ of Dynabeads were washed and incubated with $100 \mu M$ biotinylated poly-dT oligonucleotide (IDT). Ten microlitres of oligo-dT beads were added to each tube containing the single cell. Samples were incubated in a thermomixer for 20 min at room temperature at 2,000 r.p.m. Tubes were put on a magnet until the beads collected into a pellet and the supernatant went clear. The supernatant containing the genomic DNA was transferred to a new collection tube. Beads were washed three times to collect any residual genomic DNA, which was amplified as described above.

cDNA was generated from the RNA captured on the bead using the SMARTer v4 Ultra Low Input kit (Clontech; 634891) as previously described³. Reverse transcription was performed on the thermomixer using the settings 2 min at

42 °C at 2,000 rpm, 60 min at 42 °C at 1,500 rpm, 30 min at 50 °C at 1,500 rpm and 10 min at 60 °C at 1,500 rpm. cDNA was amplified by adding 12.5 μl 2X SeqAmp PCR buffer, 0.5 µl PCR Primer II A (12µM), 0.5 µl SeqAmp DNA polymerase, $1.5\,\mu$ l nuclease-free water. Beads were mixed on a thermomixer for 60 s at room temperature at 2,000 rpm and then were incubated on a PCR machine using the following settings: 95 °C for 1 min, 24 cycles of 98 °C for 10 s, 65 °C for 30 s and 68 °C for 3 min, before a final extension for 10 min at 72 °C. Amplified cDNA was purified by adding $25\,\mu l$ Ampure XP beads according to the manufacturer's instructions. Twelve microlitres of purification buffer was added to rehydrate the pellet and incubated for 2 min at room temperature. cDNA was eluted by pipetting up and down 10 times before returning the tube to the magnet. The clear supernatant containing the cDNA was removed from the immobilised beads and transferred to a new low-bind tube. cDNA was stored at -80 °C until library preparation. cDNA quality was assessed by High Sensitivity DNA assay on an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyser with good quality cDNA showing a broad peak from 300 to 9,000 bp. cDNA concentration was measured using QuBit dsDNA HS kit (Life Technologies).

In preparation for library generation, cDNA was sheared using an E220 focused-ultrasonicator (Covaris) to achieve cDNA in 200-500 bp range. Ten microlitres of cDNA sample and $32 \mu l$ purification buffer was added to a Covaris AFA Fibre Pre-Slit Snap Cap microTUBE. cDNA was sheared using the following settings: Peak Incident power 175 W, Duty Factor 10%, 200 cycles per burst, water level 5.

Libraries were prepared using Low Input Library Prep Kit v2 (Clontech; 634899) according to manufacturer's instructions. Dual indexing was performed by substituting the manufacturer's provided indexing adaptors with NEBNext Multiplex Oligos for Illumina Dual Index primers set 1 (NEB; E7600S). Library quality was assessed by Bioanalyser and the concentration was measured by high sensitivity QuBit assay.

Twenty-five microlitres of AMPure beads was added to each collection tube containing the genomic DNA. Tubes were mixed well and incubated at room temperature for 20 min so that the DNA could be bound to the beads. Tubes were put on the magnet until the supernatant ran clear so that it could be removed and discarded. The beads were washed twice with $100\,\mu$ I 80% ethanol. Any remaining ethanol was removed and beads allowed to dry, and resuspended in nuclease-free water.

Single-cell RNA-seq data analysis. RNA-seq data for single cells were obtained as paired-end reads and analysis was performed blinded to the identity of the samples. The RNA-Seq data flow was managed by a GNU make pipeline. Transcript reads were aligned to the Ensembl GRCh37 genome using TopHat2 (version 2.1.1 with option no coverage search)44; alignment rates were typically between 60 and 80%. Transcript counts were computed using the featureCounts program (version 1.5.1)⁴⁵. A quality filter was applied to the matrix, ensuring >50,000 total transcript reads per cell and >5 reads in at least 5 samples. The raw transcript counts were corrected for read-count depth effects using the SCnorm package4 with a single-group design matrix. The RUVSeq47 (version 1.10.0) was used for between-sample normalization by applying the 'betweenLaneNormalization' function with 'full' quantile regression. For PCA analysis, transcript counts were transformed using a $a\sinh(x/2)$ transformation with per-gene centring to obtain near-Gaussian and zero-centred count distributions. The prcomp function of the stats package in R (version 3.4.1) was applied to the count matrix and single cells were projected into the plane of the first two eigenvectors.

Independently, sequenced reads from all single cell samples were also aligned to the human reference genome sequence GRCh38 using TopHat2 (version 2.1.1)⁴⁴ and parameters were optimized for 100-bp paired-end reads. Read counts per gene were calculated using the python package HTSeq (version 0.6.1)⁴⁸ and differential gene expression analysis was carried out using DESeq2 (version 1.10.1)⁴⁹. Read counts were normalized using the RPKM method⁵⁰ and hierarchical clustering of samples was performed to generate a heat map using the R package pheatmap (version 1.0.8). A previously published reference control dataset³ was integrated in the heat map and hierarchical clustering. Principal components analysis was performed using the stats (version 3.2.2) R package on a previously published single cell RNA-seq dataset covering different stages of preimplantation development³⁰ together with our own OCT4-targeted samples and controls.

The scripts used to generate the figures have been deposited in GitHub and can be accessed using the following link: https://github.com/Genalico/RNAseq-BlaCy_pub. The read-depths for each sample are provided in Supplementary Table 2 and via the above GitHub link.

Data availability. Source Data are provided for figures. MiSeq and RNA-seq data have been deposited into Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under accession numbers GSE100119 and GSE100120, respectively. Scripts used for bioinformatics analysis can be found on the following GitHub page: https://github.com/Genalico/RNAseq-BlaCy_pub. Any additional information is available upon request from the corresponding author.



- Auton, A. et al. A global reference for human genetic variation. Nature 526, 35.
- 68–74 (2015). Ran, F. A. *et al.* Genome engineering using the CRISPR–Cas9 system. *Nat. Protocols* **8**, 2281–2308 (2013). 36.
- Cong. L. et al. Multiplex genome engineering using CRISPR/Cas systems. Science **339**, 819–823 (2013). 37
- Brinkman, E. K., Chen, T., Amendola, M. & van Steensel, B. Easy quantitative assessment of genome editing by sequence trace decomposition. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 42, e168 (2014).
- Güell, M., Yang, L. & Church, G. M. Genome editing assessment using CRISPR Genome Analyzer (CRISPR-GA). *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2968–2970 (2014). Park, J., Lim, K., Kim, J. S. & Bae, S. Cas-analyzer: an online tool for assessing 39.
- 40. genome editing results using NGS data. *Bioinformatics* **33**, 286–288 (2017). 41. Fragouli, E. *et al.* Analysis of implantation and ongoing pregnancy rates
- following the transfer of mosaic diploid-aneuploid blastocysts. Hum. Genet. 136, 805–819 (2017).
- Lou, X., Kang, M., Xenopoulos, P., Muñoz-Descalzo, S. & Hadjantonakis, A. K. A rapid and efficient 2D/3D nuclear segmentation method for analysis of early mouse embryo and stem cell image data. Stem Cell Reports 2, 382-397 (2014).

- 43. Vallier, L. Serum-free and feeder-free culture conditions for human embryonic stem cells. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **690**, 57–66 (2011). 44. Kim, D. *et al.* TopHat2: accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of
- insertions, deletions and gene fusions. Genome Biol. 14, R36 (2013).
- Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K. & Shi, W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 30, 923–930 (2014).46. Bacher, R. *et al.* SCnorm: robust normalization of single-cell RNA-seq data.
- Dacher, N. et al. Schmin House Honnauzation of Single-Cell RVM-Seq data Nat. Methods 14, 584–586 (2017).
 Risso, D., Ngai, J., Speed, T. P. & Dudoit, S. Normalization of RNA-seq data
- using factor analysis of control genes or samples. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **32**, 896–902 (2014).
- Anders, S., Pyl, P. T. & Huber, W. HTSeq—a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 166–169 (2015). Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and 48.
- 49.
- dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 15, 550 (2014).
 Mortazavi, A., Williams, B. A., McCue, K., Schaeffer, L. & Wold, B. Mapping and quantifying mammalian transcriptomes by RNA-Seq. Nat. Methods 5, 621–628 (2008).



Extended Data Figure 1 | *POU5F1* targeting and comparison of sgRNAs. a, Schematic representation of the human *POU5F1* locus and sgRNA targeting sites. The location (not to scale) and sequences of the sgRNAs tested are shown and the PAM sequences are underlined and in red font. Sequences within the exons are in uppercase and introns are in lowercase. The mouse sgRNA sequences are shown below. The exons encoding the N-terminal domain (NTD), POU DNA-binding domain or the C-terminal domain (CTD) are indicated. **b**, Representative flow cytometry analysis quantifying OCT4 expression in human ES cells induced to express each sgRNA over 5 days compared to uninduced controls. The percentage of

OCT4 protein expression is shown. c, qRT–PCR analysis after 4 days of sgRNA induction in mTeSR medium. Relative expression reflected as fold difference over uninduced cells normalized to *GAPDH*. Data points and mean for all samples are shown: n = 2 sgRNA1-1 clones; n = 3, sgRNA 1-2, 2b or 4 clones, representative of two independent experiments and \pm s.e.m. where there are three samples. Two-way ANOVA; *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001. d, Heat maps of selected genes showing unsupervised hierarchical clustering of uninduced and sgRNA2b-induced human ES cells. Normalized RNA-seq expression levels are plotted on a high-to-low scale (purple–white–green).



Extended Data Figure 2 | **Further characterization of sgRNA2b-induced human ES cells. a**, Human ES cells induced to express sgRNA2b for 4 days (+Tet) in chemically defined medium with activin A and FGF2 (CDM/AF) compared to uninduced controls (No Tet). Immunofluorescence analysis for the pluripotency markers OCT4, NANOG and SOX2 or markers associated with differentiation to early derivatives of the germ layers (SOX1-expressing ectoderm cells or SOX17-expressing endoderm cells). DAPI nuclear staining (blue) is shown. Scale bars, 400 µm. **b**, qRT–PCR analysis for selected genes associated with either pluripotency or differentiation to derivatives of the germ layers in human ES cells induced to express each of the sgRNAs for 4 days. Relative expression reflected as fold difference over wild-type human ES cells and normalized to *PBGD*. Data points and mean \pm s.e.m. are shown: n = 3 wild-type H9 and sgRNA2b, representative of two independent experiments. Two-way ANOVA; *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; ****P < 0.0001, ns, not significant.



Reference: CTCCAGCTTCACGGCACCAGGGGTGACGGGTGCAGGGGCT 6 bp deletion: CTCCAGCTTCACGGCACCAGGGGTG----CAGGGCT 1 bp deletion: CTCCAGCTTCACGGCACCAGGGG-GACGGGGGCT 14 bp deletion: CTCCAGCTTCACGGCACCAGGG-----CT 15 bp deletion: CTCCAGCTTCACGG-----TGCAGGGCT



Reference: TTGGGGCACTAGCCCCACTCCAACCTGGGGGCCCACAGTA 1 bp deletion: TTGGGGCACTAGCCCCACTCCA-CCTGGGGCCCACAGTA 4 bp deletion: TTGGGGCACTAGCCCCACTCC---TGGGGGCCCACAGTA 5 bp deletion: TTGGGGCACTAGCCCCACTCC----TGGGGGCCCACAGTA 1 bp insertion: TTGGGGCACTAGCCCCACTCCAACCTGGGGGCCCACAGTA





Reference: TCATTQACTTGCAGGTGGTCCGAGTG 11 bp deletion: TCATTCACTTGCAG-----GTGGTTCTGTAAC 1 bp insertion: TCATTCACTTGCAGGTGGTCCGAGTGGTGCTGGTACC

Extended Data Figure 3 | **On-target mutation spectrum in human ES cells induced to express sgRNA1-1, sgRNA1-2, sgRNA2b or sgRNA4.** Shown are frequent types of indel mutations and corresponding sequences observed in human ES cells induced to express sgRNA1-1, sgRNA1-2, sgRNA2b or sgRNA4. The cells were induced to express each sgRNA for 4 days and the data shown are representative of the types of indel mutations observed in other clonal lines (*n* = 2 sgRNA1-1 clones; *n* = 3, sgRNA 1-2, Db or 4 clones) and across time (from 1 to 4 days following induction of each sgRNA).



Extended Data Figure 4 | See next page for caption.



Extended Data Figure 4 | **Off-target analysis of sgRNA2b-induced human ES cells. a**, The *POU5F1* sgRNA2b 12-bp seed sequence is highlighted in green and the NGG PAM sequence in red. In black are the nucleotide sequences 5' to the sgRNA seed sequence. Seven putative off-target sequences and associated genes are shown including *POU5F1* pseudogenes. In orange are the nucleotides that differ from the sgRNA2b sequence. **b**, Percentage of indel mutations detected at putative off-target sites in human ES cells 4 days after tetracycline induction of sgRNA2b compared to uninduced controls. Data are percentages of indel mutations detected by targeted deep sequencing in the cell lines at each of the sites indicated. Comparisons made between three clonal human ES cell lines induced to express sgRNA2b versus uninduced controls. The percentage of indel mutations induced at the on-target site were significantly different while all other sites were not significantly different. Two-way ANOVA. ****P* < 0.001. **c**, Digenome-seq results displayed as a genome-wide circos plot. The height of the peak corresponds to the DNA cleavage score. The red arrow points to the *POU5F1* locus on chromosome 6. **d**, Percentage of indel mutations observed in sgRNA2b-induced human ES cells and in wild-type H9 control cells at each locus following targeted deep sequencing of putative off-target sites identified by Digenome-seq. **e**, Off-target candidate nucleotides displayed as sequence logos using the WebLogo program. **f**, Percentage of indel mutations observed in sgRNA2b-induced human ES cells and in wild-type H9 control cells following targeted deep sequencing of putative off-target sites determined by WebLogo sequence homology.



Extended Data Figure 5 | Assessing a range of Cas9 and sgRNA combinations for microinjection into mouse pronuclear zygotes. a, b, Additional conditions were tested in mouse embryos microinjected with the sgRNA2b either with *Cas9* mRNA (a) or as a complex with the Cas9 protein (b) at the ratios indicated. Quantification was performed on the proportion of mouse embryos at the blastocyst stage that are phenotypically null (loss of OCT4 and SOX17 protein expression), mosaic or heterozygous (partial OCT4 and/or SOX17 expression) or uninjected (strong OCT4 and SOX17 expression). Data are mean \pm s.d. from three independent experiments. Comparisons were made between the percentage of *OCT4*-null embryos observed versus wild-type uninjected control embryos. Chi-squared test. **P* < 0.001; *****P* < 0.0001. c, The types of indel mutations detected in mouse embryos microinjected with the sgRNA2b–Cas9 complex. The sgRNA sequence is boxed and the NGG PAM site underlined. Dash, deletion position. **d**, Further characterization of mouse embryos microinjected with sgRNA2b–Cas9 compared to uninjected control blastocysts. Immunofluorescence analysis for markers of the trophectoderm (CDX2) or primitive endoderm (GATA4, GATA6, PDGFRA and SOX7) lineages together with DAPI nuclear staining. Confocal *z*-section. Scale bars, 100 μ m. **e**, Quantification of blastocyst inner cell mass (ICM) or trophoblast outgrowths in mouse embryonic stem cell derivation conditions. Uninjected, Cas9-injected or Cas9 plus *Dmc1* sgRNA-injected cells (targeting a gene not essential for preimplantation development) were used as controls. Comparisons were made between the percentage of ICM outgrowths observed in blastocysts that developed following sgRNA2b–Cas9 microinjection. Two-tailed *t*-test. **P* < 0.05.

а	Karyotype	analysis o	f Cas9 control or sgRNA2b-Cas9 ribon	b Cas9 protein	
	Embryo ID	Stage	Sample collected	Karyotype (chromosome gain/loss)	
E	C1	Cleavage	1 cells from 3-cell arrested embryo	46 XX (-chr15, +chr18)	2.00
ie.	C2	Blastocyst	clump of 3-5 cells from small cavity	46 XY	2.40
ē	C3	Cleavage	1 cell from 8-cell arrested embryo	45 XO (-Xchr)	2.0 Later and the second second second second second
8_	C4	Cleavage	1 cell from 8-cell arrested embryo	46 XY	1.00
- Cle	C8 (sample1)	Blastocyst	clump of 3-5 cells from the TE	46 XY	1.20
sgRNA2b-Cas9 ribonucleoprotein complex injected	C8 (sample2)	Blastocyst	clump of 3-5 cells from the TE	46 XY	D 0.00
<u> </u>	C8 (sample3)	Blastocyst	clump of 3-5 cells from the TE	46 XY	
i z	C9	Blastocyst	clump of 2-3 cells from the TE	44 XXX (-chr7, +chr11, -chr12, -chr14, -chr18, +Xchr)	
Sec	C10	Cleavage	1 cell from 8-cell arrested embryo	46 XX (-chr7, +chr14)	Position along each chromosome
ųξ	C12 (sample1)	Blastocyst	clump of 3-5 cells from the TE	46 XX	
29	C12 (sample2)	Blastocyst	clump of 3-5 cells from the TE	46 XX	sgRNA2b+Cas9 protein
¥	C14	Cleavage	1 cell from 2-cell arrested embryo	47 XY (+chr16)	
Ř	C15	Cleavage	clump of 3 cells from 6-cell arrested embryo	46 XX (+chr1, +chr2, -chr12, -chr20)	45XY(-chr16)
Sc	C16 (sample1)	Blastocyst	clump of 3-5 cells from the TE	45 XY (-chr16)	Q 320 .
	C16 (sample2)	Blastocyst	clump of 3-5 cells from the TE	45 XY (-chr16)	
	5K	Blastocyst	clump of 3-5 cells from the TE	46 XX	2.00 2.00 Mile Astron Station of a state spectra of the se
Cas9 protein iniected	7K	Blastocyst	clump of 3-5 cells from the TE	45 XX (-chr14)	1.50
to to	8K	Blastocyst	clump of 3-5 cells from the TE	47 XX (-chr14, +chr15, +chr18)	1.20 -
_ <u> </u>	1K	Blastocyst	clump of 3-5 cells from the TE	46 XY	0.00
s; i	2K		clump of 3-5 cells from the TE	46 XY	0.40 ·
S.	3K	Blastocyst	clump of 3-5 cells from the TE	46 XX	- N 0 4 6 6 6 6 5 9 5 5 8 5 8 8 5 8 8 5 8 8 5 8 8 5 8 8 5 8 8 5 8 8 5 8 8 5 8 8 5 8 8 5 8 8 5 8 8 5 8 8 5 8 8 5
	4K	Blastocyst	clump of 3-5 cells from the TE	45 XX (-chr21)	Position along each chromosome
c segRrage-Case segRrage-Case segretariation and segretariation and se					



Extended Data Figure 6 | Further assessing human embryo quality. a, Karyotype analysis following whole-genome sequencing of either single a, Karyotype analysis following whole-genome sequencing of entire sing blastomeres, a clump of three cells from a cleavage stage embryo or a clump of 3–5 cells from trophectoderm biopsies. Multiple biopies were analysed from embryos C8, C12 and C16. Analysis was also performed on blastocysts that developed following microinjection of Cas9 protein. The type of chromosome gains and losses are indicated. b, Representative karyotype analysis by whole-genome sequencing of human blastocysts.

A representative graph indicating aneuploidy in embryos following Cas9 protein and sgRNA2b-Cas9 ribonucleoprotein complex microinjection. c, Phase-contrast images of starting blastocysts and blastocysts that developed following microinjection of the sgRNA2b–Cas9 complex compared to Cas9 protein-injected controls. White arrows point to the presumptive inner cell mass and a black arrow to a representative zona pellucida.



Extended Data Figure 7 | **Evaluating on-target and putative off-target mutations in human embryo cells. a**, The type and relative proportion of indel mutations observed compared to all observable indel mutations within each human embryo. **b**, Quantification of indels by TIDE analysis. Representative plots and Sanger sequencing chromatograms are shown from *OCT4*-null, heterozygous and wild-type human cells. **c**, Percentage of indel mutations detected at the sgRNA2b on-target site and putative off-target sites in single cells microdissected from Cas9 protein-microinjected control blastocysts or blastocysts that developed following sgRNA2b–Cas9

complex microinjection. Putative off-target sites were evaluated in cells that were previously determined to be *OCT4*-null (green), heterozygous (orange) or wild-type (blue) along with samples from Cas9 protein-microinjected embryos (red). Three representative examples of wild-type and edited cells are shown. **d**, Sanger sequencing chromatograms from *OCT4*-null single cells collected from human blastocysts that developed following sgRNA2b-Cas9 microinjection. The chromatograms exemplify the sequence detected in all of the other samples analysed. Underlined is the sequence of the putative off-target site.



Extended Data Figure 8 | **Phenotypic characterization of OCT4targeted embryos. a**, Immunofluorescence analysis for OCT4 (green) and DAPI nuclear staining (blue) in human cleavage stage embryos following sgRNA2b–Cas9 complex microinjection (n = 5). Confocal *z*-section. Arrow, OCT4-expressing cell. Scale bars, 100 µm. **b**, Immunofluorescence analysis for OCT4 (green), SOX17 (red) and DAPI nuclear staining (blue) in an uninjected control blastocyst (n = 3) or a human blastocyst that developed following sgRNA2b–Cas9 complex microinjection (n = 3). Confocal *z*-section. Scale bars, 100 µm. **c**, **d**, Immunofluorescence analysis for OCT4 (green), NANOG (red) and DAPI nuclear staining (blue) in a human blastocyst that developed following sgRNA2b–Cas9 complex

microinjection (c, n = 3) or in a mouse uninjected control blastocyst or in blastocysts that developed following sgRNA2b–Cas9 complex microinjection (d, n = 7). Confocal *z*-section. Scale bars, 100 µm. e, Quantification of NANOG and OCT4 expression in mouse uninjected control blastocysts (n = 5) or in blastocysts that developed following sgRNA2b–Cas9 complex microinjection (n = 7). One-tailed *t*-test. **P < 0.01. f, Immunofluorescence analysis for GATA2 (green) and DAPI nuclear staining (blue) in a human blastocyst that developed following sgRNA2b–Cas9 complex microinjection (n = 3). Confocal projection. Scale bar, 100 µm.



Extended Data Figure 9 | **Transcriptome analysis of OCT4-targeted embryos. a**, Hierarchical clustering and heat map of a selection of genes following single-cell RNA-seq analysis of human embryos. Embryos C8, C9, C12 and C16 (samples denoted in orange font) were targeted with the sgRNA2b-Cas9 complex. Embryos 2, 5, 7 and 8 were microinjected with Cas9 protein as a control. An uninjected control reference dataset labelled PE (primitive endoderm cells), EPI (epiblast cells) or TE (trophectoderm cells) is included³. Control cells clustered according to lineage and are indicated with the coloured bars: red, primitive endoderm; green, epiblast; and blue, trophectoderm. Grey bar highlights the samples that have low





Extended Data Table 1 | Reagent list

a Engineering tetracycline-inducible hESCs targeting the endogenous AAVS1 locus

sgRNA	Top oligo for cloning	Bottom oligo for cloning
sgRNA1-1	TCCCGCTTCACGGCACCAGGGGTGA	AAACTCACCCCTGGTGCCGTGAAGC
sgRNA1-2	TCCCGCACTAGCCCCACTCCAACC	AAACGGTTGGAGTGGGGCTAGTGC
sgRNA2b	TCCCACCCACCAAATAGAACCCCC	AAACGGGGGTTCTATTTGGTGGGT
sgRNA4	TCCCACTTGCAGGTGGTCCGAGTG	AAACCACTCGGACCACCTGCAAGT

In vitro transcription of human sgRNAs

sgRNA	Forward	Reverse	T7 Guide Primer
sgRNA1-1	CACCGCTTCACGGCACCAGGGGTGA	AAACTCACCCCTGGTGCCGTGAAGC	TTAATACGACTCACTATAGGGGGGCGTTCTCTTTGGTGGGT
sgRNA2b	CACCGACCCACCAAATAGAACCCCC	AAACGGGGGTTCTATTTGGTGGGTC	TTAATACGACTCACTATAGGACCCACCAAATAGAACCCCC
sgRNA4	CACCGACTTGCAGGTGGTCCGAGTG	AAACCACTCGGACCACCTGCAAGTC	TTAATACGACTCACTATAGGACTTGCAGGTGGTCCGAGTG

In vitro transcription of mouse sgRNAs

sgRNA	Forward	Reverse	T7 Guide Primer
sgRNA1-1	CACCGCTTCACGGCATTGGGGCGGT	AAACACCGCCCCAATGCCGTGAAGC	TTAATACGACTCACTATAGGCTTCACGGCATTGGGGCGGT
sgRNA1-2	CACCGGCAAAGTCTCCACGCCAACT	AAACAGTTGGCGTGGAGACTTTGCC	TTAATACGACTCACTATAGGGCAAAGTCTCCACGCCAACT
sgRNA2b	CACCGACCCACCAAAGAGAACGCCC	AAACGGGCGTTCTCTTTGGTGGGTC	TTAATACGACTCACTATAGGACCCACCAAAGAGAACGCCC
sgRNA4	CACCGCATCCTAGGTGGTTCGAGTA	AAACTACTCGAACCACCTAGGATGC	TTAATACGACTCACTATAGGCATCCTAGGTGGTTCGAGTA
Dmc1 sgRN	A CACCGTAGGAATCTGTACCATTAA	AAACTTAATGGTACAGATTCCTAC	TTAATACGACTCACTATAGGGTAGGAATCTGTACCATTA

Universal Reverse Primer for IVT AAAAGCACCGACTCGGTGCC

MiSeq and/or Sanger sequencing analysis of human cells

Site	Forward oligo	Reverse oligo
sgRNA1-1 on-target site	CTGTGGGCCCCAGGTT	ATCAGGCTGCCCTGTCAT
sgRNA1-2 on-target site	TGGAGGTGATGGGCCAG	ACCAGGGGTGACGGTG
sgRNA2b on-target site (used primarily)	AGGGGAGATTGATAACTGGTGT	ACTAGGTTCAGGGATACTCCTTAG
sgRNA2b on-target site 1000bp	CGCCCAGCAAAGAACTTCTA	GAGAACCACTGCACCAAAGA
sgRNA2b on-target site 800bp	TGCATGAGTCAGTGAACAGG	GAGAACCACTGCACCAAAGA
sgRNA2b on-target site 140bp	CATGGGTGAGGGTAGTCTGC	TGGGATATACACAGGCCGAT
sgRNA2b adjacent to on-target site1	GCCTGACTGCTTGGACATTC	GGCTCGAGAAGGATGTGAGT
sgRNA2b adjacent to on-target site2	CAGGTGGTGGTGTGAAAAGG	TCGTAGCTCTCCGTCTTTGG
sgRNA2b adjacent to on-target site3	CAGATGGTCGTTTGGCTGAA	TCTGGGAAGAGGTGGTAAGC
sgRNA2b adjacent to on-target site4	CTTCAGGAGCTTGGCAAATTG	AGGGGAGATTGATAACTGGTGT
sgRNA2b adjacent to on-target site5	ACCCATTCCCTGTTCACTGA	GCCAGGGTCTCTCTTTCTGT
sgRNA2b adjacent to on-target site6	TCTCTCACTCAAGTATCACCCC	AAAGCAAGCTGGGGAGAGTA
sgRNA4 on-target site	TGTCCTCCTCTAACTGCTCT	CAGAGGAAAGGACACTGGTC
NR 036440 putative off-target site	CCTGCACGAGGGTTTCTG	AAGGAGTCCCAGGACATCAA
NM 001159542 putative off-target site	AACCCGGAGAAGTCCCAG	TGTTGTCAGCTTCCTCCAC
NR 034180 putative off-target site	GCAGGAGTCCCAGAACATC	GGGTTTCTGCTTTGCATGTC
NR_131184 putative off-target site	CCAGTCCCAGGACATCTCAA	ACTTCTGCAGCAAGGGC
chr3:+101807899 putative off-target site	TATGTGGGCTGGCATTCATAC	TTTTCACCATGGAGCATTGAGTA
chr3:+128394390 putative off-target site	ACGGGGTTTCTCCATGTTAC	CTCTTCATTGTTGGCTGCTT
chr19:-9072444 putative off-target site	CCGAGGTATCCAGGACAGAT	ATCCACAGAGGGAGGACTTG

MiSeq analysis of mouse cells

Site		Forward oligo	Reverse oligo
sgRNA2b	on-target site	GAACAGTTTGCCAAGCTGCT	CCCCACCTCTGACAGTTCAA
		GATGGACACGAAGCTCATGAC	CAATAAGCTTGTTGGCTGCCTC
qRT-PCR			
Gene	Forward of	ligo Reverse	opigo

Gene	Forward oligo	Reverse oligo
POU5F1	AGTGAGAGGCAACCTGGAGA	ACACTCGGACCACATCCTTC
GAPDH	GATGACATCAAGAAGGTGGTG	GTCTACATGGCAACTGTGAGG
NANOG	CATGAGTGTGGATCCAGCTTG	CCTGAATAAGCAGATCCATGG
SOX2	TGGACAGTTACGCGCACAT	CGAGTAGGACATGCTGTAGGT
GATA6	TCCACTCGTGTCTGCTTTTG	TCCTAGTCCTGGCTTCTGGA
SOX17	CGCACGGAATTTGAACAGTA	GGATCAGGGACCTGTCACAC
AFP	ACCATGAAGTGGGTGGAATC	TGGTAGCCAGGTCAGCTAAA
PAX6	TCTAATCGAAGGGCCAAATG	TGTGAGGGCTGTGTCTGTTC
PAX6	CTTTGCTTGGGAAATCCGAG	AGCCAGGTTGCGAAGAACTC
NESTIN	GAAACAGCCATAGAGGGCAAA	TGGTTTTCCAGAGTCTTCAGTGA
ISL1	GTGCAAGGACAAGAAGCGAA	TATGTCACTCTGCAAGGCGA
NKX2.5	CCTCAACAGCTCCCTGACTC	AGGCTGCAGGATCACTCATT
KRT18	CTGCTGCACCTTGAGTCAGA	TGGTGGTCTTTTGGATGGTT
CDX2	GGAACCTGTGCGAGTGGAT	TCGATATTTGTCTTTCGTCCT
GATA2	CAGACGAAGGCAACCATTTT	CAGAGGAGAAGAGGGTGCAG
GSC	GAGGAGAAAGTGGAGGTCTGGTT	CTCTGATGAGGACCGCTTCTG
SOX1	FW+ REV: Quantitec primers (Qiagen)	QT00215299
TBX6	AAGTACCAACCCCGCATACA	TAGGCTGTCACGGAGATGAA
PBGD	GGAGCCATGTCTGGTAACGG	CCACGCGAATCACTCTCATCT

Antibody	Product Number	Source	Dilution
Anti NANOG	REC-RCAB0001P	2B Scientific	1:250
Anti NANOG	AF1997	R&D	1:100
Anti GATA4	SC-25310	Santa Cruz	1:250
Anti SOX7	AF2766	R&D	1:250
Anti PDGFRA	14-1401-82	e-Boiscience labs	1:250
Anti CDX2	MU392A-UC	Biogenex	1:250
Anti GATA6	AF1700	R&D	1:250
Anti OCT4	SC-5279	Santa Cruz	1:250
Anti SOX17	AF1924	R&D	1:500
Anti SOX1	AF3369	R&D	1:1000
Anti PAX6	PAX6	DSHB	1:10
Anti ZO-1	61-7300	Thermo Fisher	1:250
Anti GATA2	sc-9008	Santa Cruz	1:250

a, Oligonucleotides used for cloning, Sanger sequencing, MiSeq or qRT–PCR analysis. b, Antibodies used for immunofluorescence and flow cytometry analysis.

natureresearch

Corresponding author(s): Kathy Niakan

Initial submission Revised version

on 🛛 🕅 Final submission

Life Sciences Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form is intended for publication with all accepted life science papers and provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. Every life science submission will use this form; some list items might not apply to an individual manuscript, but all fields must be completed for clarity.

For further information on the points included in this form, see Reporting Life Sciences Research. For further information on Nature Research policies, including our data availability policy, see Authors & Referees and the Editorial Policy Checklist.

Experimental design

1.	Sample size						
	Describe how sample size was determined.	The R statistical package pwr was used to determine the number of human embryos required to determine the function of OCT4 compared to microinjected controls. A two-sample t-test was performed to a significance level of 0.05 p-value. The effect size was 0.8 which assumes an observable difference between the CRISPR injected and control embryos. Sample size was estimated to be 25 CRISPR- targeted embryos.					
2.	Data exclusions						
	Describe any data exclusions.	No data was excluded from the analyses.					
3.	Replication	Replication					
	Describe whether the experimental findings were reliably reproduced.	Yes attempts at replication were successful.					
4.	Randomization						
	Describe how samples/organisms/participants were allocated into experimental groups.	Randomization was not performed in our studies.					
5.	Blinding						
	Describe whether the investigators were blinded to group allocation during data collection and/or analysis.	The computational analysis of cell lines and human embryos was performed blind to the identity of the samples.					
	Note: all studies involving animals and/or human research participants must disclose whether blinding and randomization were used.						
6.	Statistical parameters						
	For all figures and tables that use statistical methods, confirm that the following items are present in relevant figure legends (or in the Methods section if additional space is needed).						
n/a	Confirmed						
	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement (animals, litters, cultures, etc						
	A description of how samples were collected, noting whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly						
] 🔀 A statement indicating how many times each experiment was replicated						
	The statistical test(s) used and whether they are one- or two-sided (note: only common tests should be described solely by name; more complex techniques should be described in the Methods section)						

- || A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as an adjustment for multiple comparisons
- The test results (e.g. P values) given as exact values whenever possible and with confidence intervals noted
- A clear description of statistics including central tendency (e.g. median, mean) and variation (e.g. standard deviation, interquartile range)
- 🔀 Clearly defined error bars

See the web collection on statistics for biologists for further resources and guidance.

Software

Policy information about availability of computer code

7. Software

Describe the software used to analyze the data in this study.

The TIDE, CRISPR Genome Analyser or CRISPR Cas Analyser tools were used to estimate the percentage, position and size of indels for each of the PCR amplicons evaluated. We used Prism (7.0) for the gRT-PCR analysis. We used TopHat, Bowtie2 and DESeq2 for the RNA-seq analysis. We used FlowJo (10.0.7) for flow cytometry analysis

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the paper but not yet described in the published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers upon request. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). Nature Methods guidance for providing algorithms and software for publication provides further information on this topic.

methods section.

gene expression, etc.

No.

Materials and reagents

Policy information about availability of materials

8. Materials availability

Indicate whether there are restrictions on availability of unique materials or if these materials are only available for distribution by a for-profit company.

There are no restrictions on availability of the material and we are happy to share all of the materials freely.

The H9 cell line was obtained under licence and SLA agreement with WiCell.

The cell lines were tested for mycoplasma and were found to be negative

The H9 cell line has been exhaustively tested include STA profiling, karyotpying,

9. Antibodies

This information is included in Extended Data Table 1 and other details are in the Describe the antibodies used and how they were validated for use in the system under study (i.e. assay and species).

- 10. Eukaryotic cell lines
 - a. State the source of each eukaryotic cell line used.
 - b. Describe the method of cell line authentication used.
 - c. Report whether the cell lines were tested for mycoplasma contamination.
 - d. If any of the cell lines used are listed in the database of commonly misidentified cell lines maintained by ICLAC, provide a scientific rationale for their use.

Animals and human research participants

Policy information about studies involving animals; when reporting animal research, follow the ARRIVE guidelines

11. Description of research animals

Provide details on animals and/or animal-derived materials used in the study.

All animal research was performed in compliance with the UK Home Office Licence Number 70/8560. 4-8 week old B6CBF1 mice were used.

Policy information about studies involving human research participants

12. Description of human research participants

Describe the covariate-relevant population characteristics of the human research participants. This is not applicable as we used donated embryos surplus to IVF treatment.

CORRECTIONS & AMENDMENTS

ERRATUM

doi:10.1038/nature24292

Erratum: Genome editing reveals a role for OCT4 in human embryogenesis

Norah M. E. Fogarty, Afshan McCarthy, Kirsten E. Snijders, Benjamin E. Powell, Nada Kubikova, Paul Blakeley, Rebecca Lea, Kay Elder, Sissy E. Wamaitha, Daesik Kim, Valdone Maciulyte, Jens Kleinjung, Jin-Soo Kim, Dagan Wells, Ludovic Vallier, Alessandro Bertero, James M. A. Turner & Kathy K. Niakan

Nature 550, 67-73 (2017); doi:10.1038/nature24033

In this Article, the received date appeared wrongly in the advance online publication (AOP) version as 12 June 2016 rather than 12 June 2017. This error was corrected online on 22 September 2017; the print version is also correct.